Tetraquark mixing framework for light mesons in  $J^P = 0^+$ 

Hungchong Kim (김흥종) Korea Aerospace U. & Korea U.

#### References:

- 1. EPJC (2017) 77:173, Hungchong Kim, M.K.Cheoun, K.S.Kim
- 2. EPJC (2017) 77:435, K.S. Kim, Hungchong Kim
- 3. PRD (2018) 97:094005, Hungchong Kim, K.S.Kim, M.K.Cheoun, M.Oka
- 4. PRD (2019) 99:014005, Hungchong Kim, K.S.Kim, M.K.Cheoun, D.Jido, M.Oka
- 5. PRD (2019) 100:034021, Hee-Jung Lee, K.S.Kim, Hungchong Kim

2<sup>nd</sup> CENuM Workshop, 3-4 July 2020 (On-line workshop)

- In PDG, there are two nonets with  $J^P = 0^+$  which we call light and heavy nonet.
  - They seem to form SU(3) flavor nonet as they are composed of  $I = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$  members.
  - Two nonets are separated by huge mass gap ( $\gtrsim 500 \text{ MeV}$ )



 $\ll K_0^*(800)$  has been renamed as  $K_0^*(700)$  in the latest PDG.

- In this work, we investigate tetraquark possibility from the two nonets.
- We introduce two types of tetraquark based on diquark-antidiquark model and their mixing in order to explain the two nonets in PDG.

According to the diquark-antidiquark model, tetraquarks are constructed by  $qq \times \bar{q}\bar{q} \Rightarrow qq\bar{q}\bar{q}\bar{q}$  assuming all the quarks are in an *S*-wave.

Two tetraquark types in  $J^P = 0^+$ 

#### Type 1:

 $\left[qq \in \left(J_{12} = 0, \overline{3}_c, \overline{3}_f\right)\right] \otimes \left[\overline{q}\overline{q} \in \left(J_{34} = 0, 3_c, 3_f\right)\right] \Longrightarrow qq\overline{q}\overline{q} \in \left(J = 0, 1_c, 8_f \oplus 1_f\right)$ 

- Spin:  $|J J_{12} J_{34}\rangle = |000\rangle$
- Color:  $\overline{3}_c \otimes 3_c \Rightarrow \mathbf{1}_c, |\mathbf{1}_c \overline{3}_c 3_c \rangle$

Jaffe's tetraquark originally introduced for the light nonet  $f_0(500), f_0(980), K_0^*(800), a_0(980)$ 

## **Type 2 :**

 $\left[qq \in \left(J_{12} = 1, 6_c, \overline{3}_f\right)\right] \otimes \left[\overline{q}\overline{q} \in \left(J_{34} = 1, \overline{6}_c, 3_f\right)\right] \implies qq\overline{q}\overline{q} \in \left(J = 0, 1_c, 8_f \oplus 1_f\right)$ 

- Spin:  $|J J_{12} J_{34}\rangle = |011\rangle$
- Color:  $6_c \otimes \overline{6}_c \Rightarrow \overline{1_c}, |1_c 6_c \overline{6}_c\rangle$

2<sup>nd</sup> tetraquark proposed to accommodate the heavy nonet  $f_0(1370), f_0(1500), K_0^*(1430), a_0(1450)$ 

Flavor: Both types have the **same** flavor structure!

 $\overline{3}_f \otimes 3_f = 8_f \oplus 1_f$  forming a nonet.

Common flavor structure



Notation:  $[ud] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(ud - du)$ , etc.

Main characteristics of tetraquark

• The mass ordering among the octet members,  $(I = 1) > (I = \frac{1}{2}) > (I = 0),$ ex)  $M([su][\bar{d}\bar{s}]) > M([su][\bar{u}\bar{d}]).$ 

This is opposite to what expected from two-quark system  $(q\bar{q})$ ,  $(I = 0) > (I = \frac{1}{2}) > (I = 1)$ .

Two nonets in PDG satisfy the mass ordering !

Light nonet:  $M[a_0(980)] > M[K_0^*(800)] > M[f_0(500)].$ Heavy nonet:  $M[a_0(1450)] > M[K_0^*(1430)]$  with  $\Delta M \sim 50$  MeV,  $M[K_0^*(1430)] \gtrsim M[f_0(1370)].$  (marginal mass ordering)

First indication that the two nonets could be tetraquark.

We need to investigate further signatures for tetraquark from two nonets in PDG.

# Question

How to describe

two nonets in PDG

- Light nonet:  $f_0(500), f_0(980), K_0^*(800), a_0(980)$
- Heavy nonet:  $f_0(1370), f_0(1500), K_0^*(1430), a_0(1450)$

by

two tetraquark types

- $|000\rangle_{\overline{3}_c,3_c} \Rightarrow |000\rangle$
- $|011\rangle_{6_c,\overline{6}_c} \Rightarrow |011\rangle$

that differ by the spin and color configurations ?

# $\Rightarrow$ Tetraquark mixing framework !

#### Crucial observation is that

the two tetraquark types, |000>, |011>, in each isospin channel, mix through the color-spin interaction!

$$V_{CS} \propto -\sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \cdot \lambda_j \frac{J_i \cdot J_j}{m_i m_j}$$

 $\lambda_i$ : Gell-Mann matrix for color  $J_i$ : spin  $m_i$ : constituent quark mass

• i.e.,  $\langle 011|V_{CS}|000\rangle \neq 0$ ,  $\langle V_{CS}\rangle$  forms a 2x2 matrix constituting so called the hyperfine mass matrix.

## The upshot is that

 physical states, the two nonets in PDG, can be identified by the eigenstates that diagonalize the 2x2 matrix,

 $|\text{Heavy nonet}\rangle = -\alpha |000\rangle + \beta |011\rangle$  $|\text{Light nonet}\rangle = \beta |000\rangle + \alpha |011\rangle$ 

This is our tetraquark mixing framework for the two nonets in  $J^P = 0^+$ .

The steps that I have taken in this research

- Construct the wave functions for  $|000\rangle$ ,  $|011\rangle$ , in spin, color, flavor space.
- Calculate the hyperfine mass matrix of  $V_{CS}$  in the basis  $|000\rangle$ ,  $|011\rangle$ .
- Then diagonalize the matrix to determine the hyperfine mass  $\langle V_{CS} \rangle$  and the mixing parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , in

 $|\text{Heavy nonet}\rangle = -\alpha |000\rangle + \beta |011\rangle$  $|\text{Light nonet}\rangle = \beta |000\rangle + \alpha |011\rangle$ 

 Investigate the phenomenological signatures of tetraquark and some interesting consequences. **Example)** In the I = 1 channel [corresponding to  $a_0(980)$ ,  $a_0(1450)$ ].

Diagonalization leads to the physical hyperfine masses

$\langle V_{CS} \rangle$	$ 000\rangle$	$ 011\rangle$		$\langle V_{CS} \rangle$	$ 0^{a_0}_A\rangle$	$ 0^{a_0}_B angle$
$ 000\rangle$	-173.9	-222.3	$\rightarrow$	$ 0^{a_0}_A\rangle$	-16.8	0.0
$ 011\rangle$	-222.3	-331.5		$ 0^{a_0}_B angle$	0.0	-488.5

and eigenstates corresponding to  $a_0(980)$ ,  $a_0(1450)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |0_A^{a_0}\rangle &= -0.817|000\rangle + 0.577|011\rangle & \Longrightarrow |a_0(1450)\rangle \\ |0_B^{a_0}\rangle &= \underbrace{0.577}_{\Theta}|000\rangle + \underbrace{0.817}_{\alpha}|011\rangle & \Longrightarrow |a_0(980)\rangle \,. \end{aligned}$$

This identification follows from  $\langle 0_A^{a_0} | V_{CS} | 0_A^{a_0} \rangle > \langle 0_B^{a_0} | V_{CS} | 0_B^{a_0} \rangle$ 

Note, the **strong mixing** causes **large separation** in hyperfine masses  $[\Delta \langle V_{CS} \rangle = -16.8 - (-488.5) \approx 471.7 \text{ MeV}].$ 

# Results: some features of our mixing model

$\langle V_{CS} \rangle$ in the diagonal basis.										
$\checkmark$										
Light nonet	$M_{exp}$	$\langle V_{CS} \rangle$	Heavy nonet	$M_{exp}$	$\langle V_{CS} \rangle$	α	$\beta$			
$a_0(980)$	980	-488.5	$a_0(1450)$	1474	-16.8	0.8167	0.5770			
$K_0^*(800)$	824	-592.7	$K_0^*(1430)$	1425	-26.9	0.8130	0.5822			
$f_0(500)$	475	-667.5	$f_0(1370)$	1350	-29.2	0.8136	0.5814			
$f_0(980)$	990	-535.1	$f_0(1500)$	1506	-20.1	0.8157	0.5784			

1.  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are almost independent of the isospin!

 $\Rightarrow$  Support our identification of the two nonets in PDG as flavor nonet.

 $|\text{Heavy nonet}\rangle = -\alpha |000\rangle + \beta |011\rangle$  $|\text{Light nonet}\rangle = \beta |000\rangle + \alpha |011\rangle$ 

2.  $\alpha > \beta$ ; Light nonet has more probability to stay in  $|011\rangle$  rather than in  $|000\rangle$ !

|Light nonet> =  $\beta |000\rangle + \alpha |011\rangle$ 

Surprising ! But recent QCDSR [PRD(2019)] also supports this result.

Light nonet	$M_{exp}$	$\langle V_{CS} \rangle$	Heavy nonet	$M_{exp}$	$\langle V_{CS} \rangle$
$a_0(980)$	980	-488.5	$a_0(1450)$	1474	-16.8
$K_0^*(800)$	824	-592.7	$K_0^*(1430)$	1425	-26.9
$f_0(500)$	475	-667.5	$f_0(1370)$	1350	-29.2
$f_0(980)$	990	-535.1	$f_0(1500)$	1506	-20.1

3. Our  $\langle V_{CS} \rangle$  help to understand the light nonet mass below 1 GeV.

- For the light nonet,  $\langle V_{CS} \rangle$  is negatively huge  $\sim -500$  MeV due to the strong mixing.  $\Rightarrow$  the light nonet even if viewed as a four-quark state can have mass below 1 GeV.
- On the other hand, for the heavy nonet,  $\langle V_{CS} \rangle \sim -20$  MeV,
- $\Rightarrow$  So the heavy nonet mass is not far from  ${\sim}4m_q$ .

# 4. Our results satisfy the mass splitting formula $\Delta M \approx \Delta \langle V_{CS} \rangle$ relatively well.

Isospin	$\Delta M_{exp}$	$\Delta \langle V_{CS} \rangle$
I = 1	494	472
I = 1/2	601	566
$I = 0 \ (\sim 8_f)$	875	612
$I = 0  (\sim 1_f)$	515	515(fit)

- Indeed, the large separation in hyperfine masses  $\Delta \langle V_{CS} \rangle$  qualitatively explains the large mass gap ( $\Delta M_{exp} \gtrsim 500$  MeV) between the two nonets!
- $\Rightarrow$  It is the strong mixing that gives rise to this result also.

# 5. $\langle V_{CS} \rangle$ gives a partial explanation for the marginal mass ordering in the heavy nonet.

					-	Lxpt.	1103363
Isospin	Light	$\langle V_{CS} \rangle$	Heavy	$\langle V_{CS} \rangle$		Light	Heavy
I = 1	<i>a</i> <sub>0</sub> (980)	-488.5	$a_0(1450)$	-16.8	<mark>~10</mark> ≕ 1	980	1474
I = 1/2	$K_0^*(800)$	-592.7	$K_0^*(1430) \ \sim 75$	-26.9	ncreasi	824	1425
$I=0~(\sim 8_f)$	$f_0(500)$	-667.5	$f_0(1370)$	-29.2	gr	475	1350
$I = 0 \; (\sim 1_f)$	$f_0(980)$	<u>-535.1</u>	$f_0(1500)$	<u>-20.1</u>		990	1505

- For the octet, our hyperfine masses are ordered as the expt. masses,  $\langle V_{CS} \rangle_{I=1} > \langle V_{CS} \rangle_{I=1/2} > \langle V_{CS} \rangle_{I=0} \xrightarrow{\text{the same ordering}} M[a_0] > M[K_0^*] > M[f_0].$  $\mathbb{C} \langle V_{CS} \rangle$  is also responsible for the mass ordering (in addition to  $m_q$ ).
- $\Delta \langle V_{CS} \rangle \lesssim 100 \text{ MeV}$  for the light nonet,  $\Delta \langle V_{CS} \rangle \lesssim 10 \text{ MeV}$  for the heavy nonet
- The hyperfine mass spitting is almost ineffective on the heavy nonet. It explains partially the marginal mass ordering,  $M[a_0(1450)] - M[K_0^*(1430)] \approx 50$  MeV.

Evet maccor



## The PP prediction tested on isovector channel works quite well !

		Based on expt. analysi		
	Theory	Bugg	PDG	
$\frac{\Gamma[a_0(980) \to \pi\eta]}{\Gamma[a_0(1450) \to \pi\eta]}$	2.51 – 2.54	2.53	2.93 - 3.9	
$\frac{\Gamma[a_0(980) \to K\bar{K}]}{\Gamma[a_0(1450) \to K\bar{K}]}$	0.52 – 0.89	0.62	0.61 - 0.81	

Bugg: PRD78,074023(2008)

# PRD(2019) 99:014005

# VV modes from the two nonets

- The enhancement factor is about ~15 !
- But most channels are not allowed due to M(mother) < M<sub>1</sub> + M<sub>2</sub>(daughters).

	Mode	$a_0^+(980)$	$a_0^+(1450)$	Ratio
I = 1	$ar{K}^{*0}K^{*+} \ \phi ho^+$	-0.0449 0.0449	-0.6439 0.6439	14.33
	Mode	$K_0^{*+}(800)$	$K_0^{*+}(1430)$	Ratio
I = 1/2	$ ho^+ K^{*0}  ho^0 K^{*+} \omega K^{*+}$	-0.0408 -0.0289 0.0289	-0.6442 -0.4555 0.4555	15.78
	Mode	$f_0(500)$	$f_0(1370)$	Ratio
$I = 0 \; (\sim 8_f)$	$ ho^0 ho^0 ar{K}^{*0}K^{*0} ar{K}^{*0} ar{\phi} \omega \omega$	0.0185 -0.0133 0.0188 -0.0185	0.2869 -0.2069 0.2927 -0.2869	15.54
d.	Mode	$f_0(980)$	$f_0(1500)$	Ratio
$I = 0 \; (\sim 1_f)$	$ ho^0 ho^0 ar{K}^{*0}K^{*0} ar{k}^{*0} ar{k}^{*0} ar{\omega} ar{\omega}$	0.0100 0.0276 -0.0390 -0.0100	0.1463 0.4057 -0.5737 -0.1463	14.70

Thank you for your listening !

Extra slide: PDG full listing of the mesons in  $J^P = 0^+$ 

	Category	Name	Isospin	JPC	M(MeV)	Г(MeV)	
		f <sub>o</sub> (500)	0	0++	400-550	400-700	
	Light	f <sub>o</sub> (980)	0	0++	990	10-100	
$(m \leq 1  \text{GeV})$	nonet	K <sub>o</sub> *(800)	1/2	0+	824	478	
		a <sub>o</sub> (980)	1	0++	980	50-100	Resonances in each isospin
		f <sub>0</sub> (1370)	0	0++	1200-1500	200-500	$\sim$ channel are separated by huge mass gap $> 500$ MeV/
	Heavy nonet	f <sub>o</sub> (1500)	0	0++	1505	109	
$(1 < m \leq 1.5 \;  ext{GeV})$		K <sub>0</sub> *(1430)	1/2	0+	1425	270	_
		a <sub>0</sub> (1450)	1	0++	1474	265	
		f <sub>0</sub> (1710)	0	0++	1723	139	
No nonet structure here		f <sub>0</sub> (2020)	0	0++	1992	442	
	The rest	f <sub>0</sub> (2100)	0	0++	2101	224	
	higher	f <sub>o</sub> (2200)	0	0++	2189	238	
		f <sub>o</sub> (2330)	0	0++	2314	144	
		к <sub>о</sub> *(1950)	1/2	0+	1945	201	

 $K_0^*(800)$  has been renamed as  $K_0^*(700)$  in the latest PDG