New RPCs for High-Energy Physics Experiments and for Applications to Nuclear Science

Kyong Sei Lee / Korea University

Traditional role of trigger RPCs

Provide fast trigger signals with a time resolution of ~ 1 ns and a position resolution of ~ 1 cm

Time resolutions

- \checkmark Trigger RPCs (panel shape) $\hat{ }$ 1 ns
- \checkmark Timing RPCs (bar shape) \sim 50 ps

RPCs are the detectors for both tracking and triggering with a best time resolution of less than 1 ns

Tracking & trigger RPCs where not so high position (not better than 1 mm) is not required.

↔ 2D tracking capability with a sub ns time and a sub-cm position resolutions

- \rightarrow Muon trigger RPC systems for accelerator-based experiments like CMS and ATLAS
- **→ Large-scale accelerator-based experiments pursuing New Physics (BSM, Dark matters…) like SHiP and Matshula**
- **→ Neutrino-physics experiments**
- **→ Various applications like muon radiography and medical purpose**

SHiP experiment to address beyond Standard Model Physics

To search for 'hidden particles' in theoretically 'hidden sectors'

Searching for new particles in a mass range from sub-GeV to *О*(10) GeV

- Dark photons (vector portal)
- HNLs (neutrino portal)
- Scalar portal: hidden sector interacting with a known sector of SM Higgs fields
- Light SUSY particles decaying into a light neutralino χ ~0→ *K* + *l*
- \triangleright Axion like Particle

Tau neutrino physics measuring tau-neutrino cross section

 \rightarrow Structure functions F_4 & F_5 RPC system will differentiate tau and anti-tau reactions requiring a position resolution of \sim 4 mm.

SHiP: Dump experiment for 5 years using 2×10²⁰ protons of 400 GeV

- \triangleright Called an intensive frontier experiments
- \triangleright Collider experiments -> energy frontier experiments

Unfortunately, European strategy meeting (ESPPU) prefers e⁺e⁻ Higgs factory and ILC support in future.

Heavy neutral leptons (sterile neutrinos, neutrino portal)

 $D \to K\ell + HNL$

 $D_s \rightarrow \ell + HNL$

- $D_s \to \tau \nu_\tau$ followed by $\tau \to \ell \nu + HNL$ or $\tau \to \pi + HNL$
- $B\to \ell + HNL$

 $B \to D\ell + HNL$

 $B_s \to D_s \ell + HNL$

Branching ratios for HNL (model: $U^2 = [4.47e-10, 7.15e-09, 1.7e-09]$)

Dark photon (Vector portal assuming a new U1 symmetry) to be produced via

 \triangleright Mesons (π^0, K, D) produced by 400 GeV proton beam

i.e., $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma A'$ via mixing proportional to ε^2 (ε : mixing between gauge particles of $U(1)$ and $U(1)$)

- Dark photon via Bremsstrahung processes
- \triangleright QCD process: $q + q \rightarrow A', g + g \rightarrow g + A'$
- $A' \rightarrow \chi \chi^*$, $A' \rightarrow l^+l^-$, $A' \rightarrow$ hadronic decays

2020-07-04 2020 CENuM 5

R&D target: SHiP tau neutrino RPCs

- \triangleright SHiP detector system
- **≻** Target
- Hadron absorber
- \triangleright Muon Absorber
-

Better triggers for tau associated muons

Better VETO for neutrino associated muons impinging the decay vessel

 \checkmark TOF RPC

Collaboration of ~ 250 members from 52 institutes, 17 countries Technical Proposal: *arXiv:1504.04956* **(2015)**

2020-07-04

2020 CENuM

Muon tagger

11 layers of RPC planes and Fe absorbers

Tagging tau-decayed muons and VETO of neutrino associate muons

- \triangleright 8 layers of muon tagging RPCs (σ \sim 1 ns) also used for muon VETO
- \geq 3 layers of TOFs (σ < 300 ps) for muon VETO

RPCs for muon tagger requiring $3 \sim 4$ mm resolution \rightarrow able to differentiate muon charges from the tau neutrino interactions ($ν$ _τ → τ[−] →μ⁻, $ν$ _τ → τ⁺ →μ⁺)

For SHiP: in 2018, 1st prototype: 2-mm single-gap 2D RPCs

Baseline structure for SHiP RPCs

One of the backup designs for CMS iRPCs

- **Cathode + anode strips (orthogonal)**
- Cathode signals: positive polarity
- Anode signals: negative polarity
- **Avalanche mode**
- **2 orthogonal strip readouts for 2D trigger measurements**
- Strip pitch = 10.625 mm for both coordinates

In July 2018, KODEL provided RPC electrodes and strips for an experiments using CERN SPS 400 GeV/c proton beam at H4 area

KODEL provided RPC gaps and strips.

Measurement of muon flux

- \checkmark **SHIP target replica:** TZM 58 cm-thick + Tungsten 58 cm-thick
- **Spectrometer (Goliath+DTs)** to measure momentum and charge of the muons
- **Muon tagger (RPCs)** to identify muons

- **ECC (Emulsion Cloud Chamber) target**: 12.5×10 cm² lead plates interleaved with emulsion films to detect both production and decay of the charmed hadrons
- **Spectrometer (Goliath+DTs)** to measure momentum & charge of charm daughters
- **Muon tagger (RPCs)** to identify muons

In 2019, R&D on 1-mm single-gap 2D RPCs

Same structure but with a narrower gap thickness

- \checkmark Aiming for faster time response < 10 ns and a time resolution \sim 500 ps for the trigger system
- \checkmark 2 orthogonal strip readouts for 2D trigger measurements + a time resolution mush better than 1 ns

```
Thickness of Bakelite = 1.4 mm 
Thickness of gap = 1.0 mm
Strip pitch for both x and y = 20 mm
```


A small prototype using a 100 cm x 70 cm 1-mm single gap

64 cm x 64 cm active area using two orthogonal strips

2020-07-04 11 2020 CENuM

Intrinsic time resolution of 1-mm single-gap RPCs

σFEB ~ 390 ps σtrigger ~ 300 ps

Th = 1.0 mV (\approx 150 fC) for *x* strips

= 0.8 mV (~ 120 fC) for *y* **strips**

 $\sigma_{x, \text{ int}} = \text{sqrt}(672.7^2 - 390^2 - 300^2) = 458.7 \text{ ps}$

Th = 0.4 mV (\approx 60 fC) for *x* strips **= 0.3 mV (~ 45 fC) for** *y* **strips**

 $\sigma_{x, \text{ int}} = \text{sqrt}(684.4^2 - 390^2 - 300^2) = 475.7 \text{ ps}$ **σ** *^y***, int = sqrt (787.5² – 390² – 300²) = 614.9 ps**

2020-07-04 12 2020 CENuM

Time measurement and corrections for *x* **strips using a** *y* **strip position**

Signal arrival times vary position by the position on the strips Can apply time corrections for *x* strips using *y* strip positions using a speed of **signal transfers of ~ 19.2 cm/ns** (valid when impedance \sim a few 10s Ω)

Position corrected anode time $\rightarrow t_x^c = t_x + 52.08 \text{ ps cm}^{-1} \times y$

 \rightarrow Hit-position corrected time distribution of the anode (x) strip times using vertical y-strip positions

Corrections for signal arrival times on ^x strips

 $t_x^c = t_x + 52.08 \text{ ps cm}^{-1} \times y$

After the hit-position corrections, the signal arrival time on anode strips are corrected for a reference position of the detector layer.

Adding all the time data measured at 8 positions after the position-time corrections

 \rightarrow $\sigma_{\text{x, all}}$ = 707.9 ps, then,

$$
\sigma_{x, all int}
$$
 = sqrt (707.9² – 390² – 300²) = 508.4 ps

In 2019, R&D on 1.6-mm single-gap 2D RPC

Same detector structure but with a 1.6 mm gap

- \checkmark The WP HV (~ 8.2 kV) is lower compared to 2-mm gap RPCs (~ 9.6 kV) \rightarrow WP HV < 11 kV when using a new HFO1234a based eco gas
- \checkmark Applied 2 orthogonal strip readouts for 2D trigger measurements with a time resolution better than 1 ns
- The intrinsic time resolution is relatively poor compared to the 1.0-mm case

Thickness of Bakelite = 2.0 mm Thickness of gap = 1.6 mm Strip pitch for both *x* and *y* = 20 mm

Engagementionnement

200mV Ω

Adding new RPCs to the Compact Muon Solenoid

Baseline design for CMS iRPCs

Reading *φ-r* **positions (2-dimensional tracking)**

- \triangleright Position resolutions: ~ 3 mm for φ and ~ 20 mm for *r*
- ≥ 1.4 -mm thick double-gap RPCs
	- WP efficiency > 0.97 @ HV=7.3 kV
	- \checkmark Mean C_s at WP ~ 2.2 @WP
- \triangleright Reading signals from both ends of strips Signal propagation speed in the strips = 18.4 cm/ns @ strip 30 Ω < Z < 70 Ω

Front-end electronics: **PETIROCASIC + TDC**

- ≥ 16 channels
- \triangleright Gain 25: lowest digitization threshold \sim 80 fC \rightarrow **The minimum threshold < 20 fC in a new version essential for rate capability & longevity (chemical hardness)**
- \triangleright Fast pre-amplifier and fast discriminator in SiGe technology \rightarrow time resolution (jitter) <100 ps **essential for HSCP**

10- mm thick Honeycomb panel

Another 2D RPC model with double gaps

Asymmetric detector structure for the signal readout

- \checkmark Anode signals from double gaps
- \checkmark Cathode signals from top single gap

Anode side efficiency @WP ~ 0.98 Cathode side efficiency $@WP \approx 0.96$ Coincidence efficiency @ WP ~ 0.96

Merit:

- higher anode side efficiency compared to the singlegap case
- \checkmark Thin 2D double-gap detectors for the CMS RPC system

Drawback:

- \checkmark Operational complexity. Have to make the WPs matching as close as possible by adjusting the thresholds
- \checkmark Cathode strips are the lower part of the reference ground. They affect to the impedance for the anode strips

6.6

6.8

 7.2

 7

 HV_{eff} (kV)

7.4

7.6

6.6

6.8

 $\overline{7}$

 HV_{eff} (kV)

7.2

7.6

7.4

Conclusions

2-mm single-gap 2D RPCs: KODEL provided gaps & strips

→ successfully tested for beam test at H4 in 2018 and satisfied the SHiP requirement.

1-mm single-gap 2D RPC: capable of 2D tracking with ~ 500-ps time resolution

Applied position corrections to *x*-strip signal arrival times using *y* strip positions

 \sim Over all time resolution adding all measured data after the position corrections \sim 700 ps With better front-end-electronics with σ _{FFB} ~ 100 ps, then, we expect σ _{system} ~ 500 ps for multi-layer RPCs

1.6-mm single-gap 2D RPC: the WP HV can be reduced from 10 kV to \sim 8.2 kV

-> Will provide a good chance for using an eco gas mixture whose WPs < 11 kV

1.4-mm asymmetric double-gap RPCs: thin detector structure -> nice candidate for a backup solution for CMS iRPCs

The present model RPCs with better time & position resolutions

- **Nice to apply to accelerator-based experiments like CMS**
	- -> Provides a better condition of selecting heavy slow charge particles **(HSCP)** for CMS
- **Nice to accelerator-based experiments pursuing New Physics (BSM, Dark matters, etc…) and neutrino physics that not so high position and time resolutions are required.**

Milestones

CMS iRPCs

2020 07: Completion of production facilities for gap electrodes and the QC

2020 09 - 2021 03: Preproduction for gap electrode and first RE4.1 prototypes

2021 06: Final version Front-end electronics (digitization + TDC)

2021 08: Final version Back-end electronics

2021 04 - 2022 06: Main production of gap electrodes for iRPCs (during preproduction period)

2022 12: 1^{st} 4 \sim 6 RE3.1 or RE4.1 iRPCs

2021 10 - 2023 04: Production of iRPCs and completion of installations.

SHiP SND RPCs

2020 11: Delivery of $3 \sim 4$ gap electrodes to CERN (the 1.6-mm 2D single-gap model is the final.) New detector R&Ds to produce a few real size prototypes

The final model will provide the solution for the use of the environmental friendly gas mixture.

Muon radiography

뮤온 래디오그래피는 방사선이 물질에 흡수되지 않고 약하게 쿨롱 산란되는 원리(주된 산란이 한번일 확률이 높아야 무거운 대상 물질에서의 반응 위치를 찾아냄)를 이용하여 투과된 뮤온의 궤적 추적으로부터 투시 대상을 영상화하는 기술.

핵반응로 내에 존재할 물, 콘크리트, 철, 우라늄 등에 대한 radiation length는 각각 36.08, 26.57, 13.84, 6.00 g cm^{-2}

2020-07-04 2020 CENuM 21

Scattering and Neutrino Detector

- **Warm magnet**
- **Target system**
- **Muon system**

M. De Serio (University of Bari and INFN Bari) SHiP Collaboration Meeting, 2 April 2020

Muon Filter

Target System

ECC + CES

Emulsion Cloud Chamber

Compact Emulsion Spectrometer

New iRPCs in the RE3/1 and RE4/1 stations with the improved technology

RE3/1 in ME3/1 RE4/1 in ME4/1

RPC system will be used to reject neutrino associated charged particles coming into decay volume for hidden particles.

Charm measurement Sample data

Presented in ShiP-Charm meeting on 2nd October 2018 A. Pastore, INFN Bari and University of Bari

Reco-tracks in CHARM1-run6, run 2793

The muon charge was determined to be negative Entries 37222 from track curvature in the spectrometer (RPC hits) -1600 -1400 **Event plot** χ^2 / ndf $\begin{array}{ccc}\n\bullet & \bullet & \circ & \circ \\
\bullet & \bullet & \circ & \circ \\
\bullet & \bullet & \circ & \circ \\
\bullet & \bullet & \circ & \circ\n\end{array}$ $2.614/4$ 1200 p₀ 189.5 ± 0.5518 $\frac{2}{3}$ 240 1000 p1 -0.3453 ± 0.005458 $p2$ -0.003892 ± 0.0006894 8000 230 6000 4000 220 2000 210 Target Tracker hits $B(X)$ 200 x (cm) **RPC** hits 190 Entries 37222
0.004057 **Entries** 37222 Mean 16000 Mean 0.004165 16000 0.01539 Std Dev 180 Std Dev 0.007592 Underflow Underflow Overflow 14000 Overflow 14000 170 12000 a bandar dan dari bandar daeu ta di Tanthari 12000 -380 -360 -340 -320 -300 -280 -260 -240 -220 -420 -400 Z (cm) **Slop measurements** 10000 $8000 \frac{v_{\tau} \rightarrow \tau^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{-}}{\overline{v}_{\tau} \rightarrow \tau^{+} \rightarrow \mu^{+}}$ \times $6000 -$ 6000 4000 4000 2000 2000 <u>i biri biri bir basad ilwasali birl</u> -0.15 -0.1 -0.05 \circ 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 -0.08 -0.06 -0.04 -0.02 0 0.02 0.04 0.06

Muon radiography

RPCs system

- \triangleright Much cheaper to build a large system (detection area 2 m \times 2 m)
- \triangleright RPCs are themselves capable of triggers
- \triangleright Very low noise

Selection of muons with momentum range larger than 0.5 GeV/c For typical 1 GeV/c muons, $β = 0.995$

- \checkmark *σ*² 50 mrad in UO2
- \checkmark TOF in a 10 m track = 33.49 ns (light = 33.37 ns)
- To reject soft muons of 0.5 GeV/c (β = 0.983)

TOF in a 10 m track = 33.88 ns

 \rightarrow We need a detector system better than a 500 ps time resolution

Assuming 8 2D RPC system,

- \geq 50 cm gap between adjacent layers \rightarrow total detector thickness < 2 m
- \triangleright Position resolution of each detector layer \sim 3 mm
- \triangleright Angle resolution ~ 2 mrad
- \triangleright Expected position resolution for 'kink' point voxel image \sim 2 cm
- \triangleright Then, we select muon tracks with deflection angles > 30 mrad

