A new charged particle detector for the KOTO experiment at J-PARC

HongMin KIM for the KOTO Collaboration

Division of Science Education, Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju 54896, Republic of Korea

E-mail: recenter@naver.com

Abstract. We installed a new detector called Downstream Charged Veto(DCV) in order to 1 suppress the $K_L \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decay background for the J-PARC KOTO experiment. Since 2 the background is caused by non-detected charged pions passing through the beam hole of the 3 electromagnetic calorimeter, the detector was installed in vacuum right behind. The DCV is 4 composed of two plastic scintillator pipes read out by Multi Pixel Photon Counter(MPPC)s 5 through wavelength shifting(WLS) fibers. From the test by using cosmic-rays during its 6 fabrication, we obtained about 60 photoelectrons at the center of the DCV is about 60. After 7 its installation, energy calibration was done with cosmic-rays surrounding the K_L beam, and 8 9 its signal is identified by detectors surrounding the DCV.

10 1. Introduction

The KOTO experiment at J-PARC is searching for the $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ decay, which is one of 11 the most sensitive probes to new physics beyond the standard model(SM). Its signature is a 12 pair of photons from a π^0 decay without any additional activity in a hermetic detector system 13 surrounding the decay region. To detect this highly suppressed decay, expected at the 3×10^{-11} 14 level, it is important to reject background events related to other kaon decay modes. At the single 15 event sensitivity of 1.30×10^{-9} achieved by data collected in 2015, the number of $K_L \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ 16 background was estimated as 0.05 ± 0.02 which corresponds to 2 at the SM sensitivity. The decay 17 becomes background when charged pions passing through the beam hole are not detected due 18 to their interaction with non-active materials. According to a Monte Carlo(MC) simulation, 19 as shown in Fig. 1, there are three materials where the π^+ and π^- interact and disappear. 20 One is the membrane which separate decay region be evacuated as 10^{-5} Pa from the detector 21 region where is relatively low level of vacuum (10^{-2} Pa) . The other source is a pipe made of 22 0.5-mm-thick G-10, which prevents the membrane from drooping down to beam. The last one 23 is a beam pipe made of 10-mm-thick aluminum for extending the highly evacuated decay region 24 far from the calorimeter. 25

To reduce the $K_L \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ background events, we have to detect the charged pions before they interact with non-active materials. For the purpose, we decided to install a new charged particle detector, DCV, inside a high vacuum region downstream of the electromagnetic calorimeter. To minimize the non-detected area, the DCV is placed as close as possible to the electromagnetic calorimeter.



Figure 1. Interacting point with π^+ and π^- . Red dots indicate where the charged pions disappeared.

31 2. Structure of the DCV

The DCV consists of two successive square pipes, and each of them is made of 4 sheets of 32 scintillators combined. The DCV1 is placed at 463 mm downstream from the calorimeter and 33 inside the membrane. The DCV1 is a trapezoidal pipe, and the length of its upstream side is 34 157.5 mm and its downstream side is 171.5 mm. The beam direction length is 1410 mm. The 35 DCV2 is placed at 76 mm downstream from the DCV1 and inside the aluminum beam pipe. 36 The DCV2 is a square pipe, and the length of its upstream and downstream side is 173.5 mm. 37 The beam direction length is 1460 mm. The scheme of the DCV is shown in Fig. 2. One 38 module of the DCV consists of a 5-mm-thick plastic scintillator (EJ200, Eljen Technology) with 39 18 embedded WLS fibers(Y-11(200M), Kuraray). The diameter of WLS fiber is 1 mm. Due to 40 very limited space for the DCV, we evaluated the new scheme of the light collection. In the 41 new scheme of the light collection, the 4 MPPCs are directly attached to the surface of the 42 scintillator through the light guide made of aluminum which is placed in the scintillator. The 43 WLS fibers in the grooved scintillator are routed into the light guide. In this design, the WLS 44 fibers are naturally bent to converge into the light guide. Figure 3 shows the light loss due to the 45 curvature of the WLS fiber. We measured the light yield by MPPC of the LED light(430 nm) 46 passing through the bent fiber. The light consistency was measured using PMT before injecting 47 LED light into the fiber. The light loss increases rapidly if the radius is less than 20 mm. 48



Figure 2. Scheme of the DCV.



Figure 3. The light loss due to the curvature of the WLS fiber. The radius is a parameter of curvature.

49 **3. Fabrication Process**

50 3.1. MPPC Gain Measurement and Fiber Test

⁵¹ The 4 MPPCs belonging to each scintillator plate were applied the same operating voltage.

To group the MPPCs into four similar gain sets, we measured the MPPC (S13360-6050PE, Hamamatsu)s single-photon gain using the LED light(430 nm). We also measured the light yield

of the WLS fibers. The LED light(430 nm) was injected on one side of the flagged WLS fiber

⁵⁵ that we assigned before, and the MPPC was attached on the other side. After the measurement

⁵⁶ of the light yield, we chose the WLS fiber with a high light yield.

57 3.2. Making the scintillator pipe

First, the WLS fibers were glued to the plastic scintillator using the optical cement(BC-600, Saint-Gobain). The scintillators were dried for at least 48 hours. Second, the scintillators were placed in a vacuum chamber. We extracted the outgas from the glued scintillators at less than 1 Pa, over 48 hours. Third, the scintillators were wrapped by a $12-\mu$ m-thick aluminized film. Next, the MPPCs were respectively attached on the light guide and fixed by aluminum plates. After the cosmic-ray test, the scintillators were assembled to a square pipe.

64 3.3. Cosmic-ray test

To evaluate the light yield of the DCV, we measured the number of p.e. using cosmic-rays at 8 points, as shown in Fig. 4. At the center, the average number of p.e. for 1 MeV was 60.2 8 for the DCV1 and 58.6 for the DCV2. By fitting the data with an exponential function, the 8 attenuation length was found to be 2469 ± 165.1 mm for the DCV1 and 2566 ± 166.0 mm for 8 the DCV2.



Figure 4. The number of p.e. at each cosmic-ray trigger point



Figure 5. Calibration method for the DCV with 4 MPPCs. There are 3 types of normalization factors(f_1 : for each MPPC, f_2 : for a pair of MPPC at upstream(downstream), f_4 : for all MPPCs).

70 4. Energy Calibration

After the installation of the DCV in the KL beamline, we took the cosmic-ray data for an 71 energy calibration. The CC04 and CC05 surrounding the DCV were used as the trigger counter. 72 Figure 5 shows the diagram of the calibration method for the DCV with 4 MPPCs which were 73 shared one energy deposit. The energy response to the cosmic-ray of each module of the DCV 74 was used to derive the 3 types of normalization factors. Each normalization factor was obtained 75 from MIP peak by fitting the pulse height distribution. During the beam time from Feb. to 76 Apr. 2019, we collected the cosmic-ray data. Figure 6 shows how the calibration factor varies 77 during the period. The calibration factors tend to increase over time. 78



Figure 6. Calibration Factor over time for DCV1(a) and DCV2(b).

79 5. Summary

We fabricated and installed a new charged particle detector, the DCV, for further rejection of the background events from the $K_L \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ decay. Based on the cosmic-ray test performed during its fabrication, the light yield is about 60 p.e. for 1 MeV at the center of the DCV. We established a method of its calibration by using cosmic-ray identified by detectors surrounding the DCV. The energy calibration was done for the MeV scale. Studies on stability of its performance during the beam time is undergoing.

86 Acknowledge

This work is supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea-2017R1A2B4006359, and the JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP23224007.

89 Reference

90 [1] J.K. Ahn et al. (KOTO Collaboration) 2019, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 021802