Low-energy exclusive  $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$ cross sections and g-2 of the muon



J. William Gary U. California, Riverside

on behalf of the Babar Collaboration

ISMD, Jeju Island, South Korea 29 August – 02 September 2016





• Gyromagnetic ratio g:  $\vec{\mu} = g \frac{e}{2mc} \vec{s}$ 

$$\overleftarrow{\mu}$$

- → The relationship between angular P momentum L (or s) and magnetic moment  $\mu$
- Dirac (tree-level) result for a charged lepton I:
   g<sub>I</sub> = 2 (exactly)
- Radiative corrections alter the prediction: g<sub>l</sub> = 2 (1 + a<sub>l</sub>), introducing sensitivity to new physics through loops
- The "anomalous" moment  $a_l = \frac{g_l 2}{2}$

The muon anomaly  $a_{\mu}$  is much more sensitive to virtual heavy particle production in loops than the electron anomaly  $a_e$ : the relative virtual terms scale like  $(m_u/m_e)^2 \approx 43,000$ 

In the standard model,  $a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^{QED} + a_{\mu}^{EW} + a_{\mu}^{hadronic}$ 



Gnendiger et al., PRD88 (2013) 053005

$$a_{\mu}^{hadronic} = a_{\mu}^{had,LO-VP} + a_{\mu}^{had,NLO-VP} + a_{\mu}^{had,LbLS}$$

Leading-order hadronic vacuum polarization

 $a_{\mu}^{had,LO-VP} = 6923 \pm 42 \times 10^{-11}$ Davier et al., EPJC71 (2011) 1515  $[6949 \pm 43 \times 10^{-11}$ : Hagiwara et al., J. Phys. G38 (2011) 085003]

Higher-order hadronic vacuum polarization

$$a_{\mu}^{had,HO-VP} = -98.4 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-11}$$

Hagiwara et al., J. Phys. G38 (2011) 085003

Light-by-light scattering

$$a_{\mu}^{had,LbLS} = 105 \pm 26$$

Prades et al., arXiv:0901.0306 (2009)







Diagrams from Jegerlehner and Nyffeler, Phys. Rept. 477 (2009) 1

Summary: individual SM contributions:

$a_{\mu}^{\text{QED}}$	116584718.951 ± 0.080
$a_{\mu}^{EW}$	153.6 ± 1.0
${a_\mu}^{had,LO-VP}$	6923 ± 42
$a_{\mu}^{had,HO-VP}$	-98.4 ± 0.7
$\mathbf{a}_{\mu}^{\text{had,LbLs}}$	105 ± 26

all in units of 10<sup>-11</sup>

Total SM prediction compared to measurement

$a_{\mu}^{\text{total-SM}}$	116591802 ± 49
$a_{\mu}^{\text{BNL-E821}}$	116592089 ± 63
Data - SM	287 ± 80

 ~ 3.5 σ difference between data and SM prediction

 Uncertainty in the SM prediction dominated by LO-VP term

Davier et al., EPJC71 (2011) 1515

### LO hadronic vacuum polarization term a, had, LO-VP

- Energy scale too low for perturbative calculations
- Lattice calculations not yet sufficiently precise [M Della Morte et al., JHEP 1203 (2012) 055]
- The most precise result for  $a_{\mu}^{had,LO-VP}$  obtained from low-energy ٠  $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$  data and an integral over a dispersion relation

had

see Phys. Rep. 477 (2009) 1

 $a_{\mu}^{had,LO-VP} = \left(\frac{\alpha m_{\mu}}{3\pi}\right)^2 \int_{m^2}^{\infty} \frac{K(s)R_{had}}{s^2} ds \qquad R_{had} = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to hadrons)}{\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-)}$ Š had

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons production$ through a photon coupling

 $1/s^2$  term  $\rightarrow$  low-energy contributions dominate

K(s) = kinematic factor

- Need precise measurements of  $R_{had}$  at low  $\sqrt{s}$
- Use sum of exclusive channels for E < 2 GeV: background to inclusive channel from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  events very large & inclusive detection efficiency not precise below 2 GeV [generic MC (Jetset) doesn't work]
- Perturbative calculation or inclusive  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons)$  data used for E > 2 GeV

# LO hadronic vacuum polarization term $a_{\mu}^{had,LO-VP}$



- Contribution of the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  state to the dispersion integral: 75%
- The  $3\pi$ ,  $4\pi$ , and KK channels the next most important
- Final states with kaons, e.g.,  $KK\pi$ ,  $KK\pi\pi$ , important above the  $\phi$  mass

# Relative contributions of different channels to the <u>uncertainty</u> in a<sub>u</sub><sup>had,LO-VP</sup>



- "Isospin" refers to processes with unmeasured cross sections estimated from isospin relations: largest contributions are KK $\pi$  and KK $\pi\pi$
- \* New results (preliminary) shown here on  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$  and for KK $\pi$ , KK $\pi\pi$  states
- Recently published Babar results on  $K^+K^-$ ,  $K_SK_L$  not yet accounted for in  $a_{\mu}^{had,LO-VP}$

### Partial list of experiments: $R_{had}$ at $\sqrt{s} < 2 \text{ GeV}$

Experiment	Collider	Location	Beam energy
KLOE	DAφNE	Frascati	1.02 GeV
DM1. DM2	DCI	Orsay	1.35-2.4 GeV
CMD2, SND	VEPP-2M	Novosibirsk	0.4-1.4 GeV
CMD3, SND	VEPP-2000	Novosibirsk	0.3-2 GeV
BESIII	BEPC-II	IHEP, Beijing	2-4.6 GeV
CLEO-c	CESR	Cornell	3.67-4.17 GeV
Babar	PEP-II	SLAC	10.6 GeV



### The Babar experiment

- PEP-II rings: asymmetric e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collider @ **SLAC** 9 GeV e<sup>-</sup> and 3.1 GeV e<sup>+</sup>
- Collected data 1999-2008; data analysis still active (~10 new papers in 2016)



### The Babar ISR $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons program$

#### A long-term project nearing completion

### Published results on low-energy exclusive cross sections:

<b>米</b> π⁺π⁻ (232 fb⁻¹)	PRL 103 (2009) 231801
<b>≭</b> π⁺π⁻π⁰ (89 fb⁻¹)	PRD 70 (2004) 072004
2(π⁺π⁻)	PRD 85 (2012) 112009
K <sup>+</sup> K <sup>-</sup> π <sup>+</sup> π <sup>-</sup> , K <sup>+</sup> K <sup>-</sup> π <sup>0</sup> π <sup>0</sup> , K <sup>+</sup> K <sup>-</sup> K <sup>+</sup> K <sup>-</sup>	PRD 86 (2012) 012008
2(π⁺π⁻)π⁰, 2(π⁺π⁻)η, Κ⁺Κ⁻π⁺π⁻π⁰, К⁺К⁻π⁺π⁻η	PRD 76 (2007) 092005
3(π <sup>+</sup> π <sup>-</sup> ), 2(π <sup>+</sup> π <sup>-</sup> π <sup>0</sup> ), K <sup>+</sup> K <sup>-</sup> 2(π <sup>+</sup> π <sup>-</sup> )	PRD 73 (2006) 052003
K⁺K⁻η, K⁺K⁻π⁰, K₅K⁺π⁻	PRD 77 (2008) 092002
рр	PRD 87 (2013) 092005 PRD 88 (2013) 072009
$\Lambda\Lambda,\Lambda\Sigma^0,\Sigma^0\Sigma^0$	PRD 76 (2007) 092006
K⁺K⁻, tagged ISR	PRD 88 (2013) 032013
K⁺K⁻, untagged ISR	PRD 92 (2015) 072008
K <sub>s</sub> K <sub>L</sub> , K <sub>s</sub> K <sub>s/L</sub> π <sup>+</sup> π <sup>-</sup> , K <sub>s</sub> K <sub>s</sub> K <sup>+</sup> K <sup>-</sup>	PRD 89 (2014) 092002



Essentially the complete set of significant exclusive channels

\* The  $\pi^+\pi^-$  and  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  studies being updated to include the full ~490 fb<sup>-1</sup> Babar data sample

Bill Gary, ISMD 2016, August 30, 2016

### Current status of published results: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

Most precise published  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  measurements: Babar (2009), KLOE (2009, 2011, 2013), and BESIII (2016)



- Each of the three experiments claims ~1% precision in the measurement of the π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup> cross section.
- However, the Babar and Kloe results differ by 3-6%, with BESIII in between
- May need additional studies from BESIII, CMD3, and Babar



### The Babar ISR $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons program$

Babar tagged ISR analyses

- e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collisions at ~10.6 GeV
- ≥ 1 photon identified in the detector with E\* > 3 GeV (\* = CM frame)
- ISR photon γ<sub>ISR</sub> = photon with highest E\*
- Boost of the recoil system provides good efficiency for soft particles, allowing measurements of cross sections down to the production threshold

#### Generic Babar ISR event



- Event acceptance larger for the ISR method than for the energy scan method since event is pointed into the detector and not down the beam pipe
- Can access a wide range of energy in a single experiment: from threshold to ~5 GeV

One of the least known cross sections important for g-2 of the muon

- Exactly 2 tracks, p > 100 MeV, opposite charge,  $d_0 < 1.5$  cm,  $z_0 < 2.5$  cm
- ISR photon candidate: highest energy photon, with E > 3 GeV in CM frame
- ≥ 4 other photons, E > 50 MeV
- Perform kinematic fit to the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$  hypothesis, constraining two  $2\gamma$  combinations to the  $\pi^0$  mass
- Select the overall combination of four photons yielding the smallest  $\chi_{4\pi\gamma}^2$ , requiring  $\chi_{4\pi\gamma}^2 < 30$
- Difference between the  $\chi_{4\pi\gamma}^{2}$  distributions of data and signal MC due to background in the former



- ISR background from  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$ ,  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\pi^+\pi^-2\gamma\gamma$  suppressed by rejecting events with kinematic fits consistent with those hypotheses; e.g., require  $\chi_{3\pi\gamma}^2 > 25$
- Largest remaining background is from non-ISR qq continuum events, arising from the misidentification of a photon from  $\pi^0$  decay as an ISR photon
- Subtract  $q\overline{q}$  background using simulation normalized to the  $\pi^0$  peak from  $\gamma_{ISR}\gamma$  combinations:
  - $\gamma_{ISR}$  = the selected ISR photon



- Background from residual ISR processes (K<sub>s</sub>K<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup>γ, K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup>π<sup>0</sup>π<sup>0</sup>γ, π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup>π<sup>0</sup>γ): use the existing measurements to correct simulation (rate and shape) & subtract
- Largest ISR background is from  $\pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0\gamma$  events
  - Cross section not well measured; perform new measurement to obtain reliable background estimate
  - Perform kinematic fit under the  $\pi^+\pi^-3\pi^0\gamma$ hypothesis; require  $\chi_{5\pi\gamma}^2 < 25$
  - Subtract  $q\overline{q}$  background using simulation normalized using  $\pi^0$  peak in  $\gamma_{\text{ISR}}\gamma$
  - Subtract residual ISR background using data sideband in  $\chi_{5\pi\gamma}{}^2$
  - Determine relative contributions of  $\omega \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$  $(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ ,  $\eta \pi^+ \pi^- (\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0)$ , and nonresonant channels; reweight simulation accordingly to evaluate efficiency



• Cross section corrected for detector acceptance and resolution [AfkQed & Phokhara MC]

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{2\pi 2\pi^{0}\gamma}(M)}{\mathrm{d}M} = \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{2\pi 2\pi^{0}\gamma}(M)}{\mathrm{d}M} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon(M)\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{tot}}(1+\delta)}$$
$$\delta = \text{radiative correction, including FSR}$$

• Interpret in terms of nonradiative cross section  $\sigma_{\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0}$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0\gamma}(M)}{\mathrm{d}M} = \frac{2M}{s} \cdot W(s, x, C) \cdot \sigma_{\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0}(M)$$

W(s, x, C) = radiator function, the probability for the initial e<sup>+</sup> or e<sup>-</sup> to radiate a photon within the CM polar angle range  $|\cos(\theta_{\gamma})| < C$ , thus lowering the effective annihilation energy from Vs to M

 $x = 2 E_{\gamma}/Vs$ ;  $E_{\gamma}$  measured in CM



- Babar results far more precise & covers wider energy range
- Contribution to a<sub>μ</sub> for 1.02<E<sub>CM</sub><1.8 GeV measured to be [175 ± 6 (stat+syst)] x 10<sup>-11</sup> (3.4% precision)
- Previous result, including the preliminary Babar data from 2007, is [180 ± 12 (stat+syst)] x 10<sup>-11</sup>
   (6.7% precision)



### (II) First measurements of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S K^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $K_S K^+\pi^-\eta$ cross sections

- ISR photon = highest energy  $\gamma$  with CM E<sub> $\gamma$ </sub> > 3 GeV
- At least one  $K_s \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  candidate consistent with interaction point
- At least two additional photons, with  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  consistent with  $m_{\pi^0}$  or  $m_{\eta}$
- Two oppositely charged tracks, one identified as a pion & one as a kaon
- Background from non-ISR q $\overline{q}$  events (primarily  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S K^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$  and  $K_S K^+\pi^-\eta\pi^0$ ) evaluated from simulation normalized to data using the  $\gamma_{ISR}\gamma \pi^0$  peak
- Background from ISR e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> → K<sub>s</sub>K<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup> and K<sub>s</sub>K<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup>π<sup>0</sup>π<sup>0</sup> or K<sub>s</sub>K<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup>ηπ<sup>0</sup> [one more or one less π<sup>0</sup>] events evaluated from data sidebands



### (II) First measurements of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_s K^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $K_s K^+\pi^-\eta$ cross sections



### (III) First measurements of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S K_L \pi^0$ , $K_S K_L \eta$ , and $K_S K_L \pi^0 \pi^0$ cross section

- ISR photon = highest energy  $\gamma$  with CM E<sub> $\gamma$ </sub> > 3 GeV
- At least one  $K_s \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  candidate consistent with interaction point
- At least two additional photons, with  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  consistent with  $m_{\pi^0}$  or  $m_{\eta}$
- $K_L$  candidates identified as isolated calorimeter clusters with E > 0.2 GeV; detection efficiency as a function of the  $K_L$ energy and direction measured in data from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\gamma \rightarrow K_S K_L \gamma$  events
- Perform fit to all the signal processes ; retain  $K_{s}K_{L}\pi^{0}$ ,  $K_{s}K_{L}\eta$ , and  $K_{s}K_{L}\pi^{0}\pi^{0}$  candiate combinations with the lowest respective  $\chi^{2}$
- Background suppression and subtraction similar to that described for studies described previously



### (III) First measurements of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_s K_L \pi^0$ , $K_s K_L \eta$ , and $K_s K_L \pi^0 \pi^0$ cross section



First observations (all preliminary) of

$$B_{J/\varphi \to K_S K_L \pi^0} = (2.06 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$$
  

$$B_{J/\varphi \to K_S K_L \eta} = (1.45 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$$
  

$$B_{J/\varphi \to K_S K_L \pi^0 \pi^0} = (1.86 \pm 0.43 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$$

# $a_{\mu}$ for KK $\pi\pi$

Use the results presented above for

(II)  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_s K^+\pi^-\pi^0$  and

(III)  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S K_L \pi^0 \pi^0$ 

combined with our already published results for

- $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0$  [PRD 86 (2012) 012008];
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S K_S \pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $K_S K_L \pi^+\pi^-$  [PRD 89 (2014) 092002]

(including study of the intermediate states for all channels)



to calculate the contribution of KK $\pi\pi$  states to  $a_{\mu}$  with a <u>much reduced reliance</u> on isospin relations to cover unmeasured channels

- Contribution to  $a_{\mu}$  for  $E_{CM} < 1.8$  GeV is [8.5 ± 0.5 (stat+syst)] x 10<sup>-11</sup> (6% precision)
- Previous result was [13.5 ± 3.9 (stat+syst)] x 10<sup>-11</sup> (30% precision)

### (IV) Measurement of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ cross section



- Provides a sizable contribution to the total hadronic cross section in the region relevant for  $a_{\mu}$
- Based on the final Babar data set (469 fb<sup>-1</sup>) and the  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  decay mode
- Complements and improves the precision of the Babar result from 2007 [PRD 76 (2007) 092005], based on 232 fb<sup>-1</sup> and the  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  decay mode

### Summary

- Precise low-energy e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> hadronic cross section data needed to obtain an accurate SM prediction for a<sup>had,LO-VP</sup>
- New results on from Babar reduce the respective uncertainty in  $a_{\mu}^{\ \ had,LO-Vp}$  due to
  - $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$  from around 7% to around 3% [175 ± 6 (stat+syst)] x 10<sup>-11</sup>
  - $e^+e^- \rightarrow KK\pi\pi$  from around 30% to around 6% [8.5 ± 0.5 (stat+syst)] x 10<sup>-11</sup>
- With the new data, including recently published results on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$  and  $K_SK_L$  from Babar, can perhaps reduce the uncertainty in the SM prediction for  $a_{\mu}^{had,LO-VP}$  by up to 50% in the next few years [Blum et al., arXiv:1311.2198 (2013)]
- Besides the implications for  $a_{\mu}^{had,LO-VP}$ , the Babar ISR program has provided new tests of QCD, a wealth of information about low-mass resonances, and first observations of cross sections, and J/ $\psi$  and  $\psi$ (2S) branching fractions