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Executive Summary

The Large Hadron Collider at CERN will open a new energy frontier in ultrarelativistic heavy-ion physics. The collisions of heavy nuclei at energies \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5 \text{ TeV} \), thirty times larger than previous experiments at RHIC, will probe quark and gluon matter at unprecedented values of energy density. The prime goal of this research programme is to study the fundamental theory of the strong interaction — Quantum ChromoDynamics (QCD) — in extreme conditions of temperature, density and parton momentum fraction (low-\( x \)). Such studies — with impressive experimental and theoretical advances in recent years thanks to the wealth of high-quality data collected at RHIC and the SPS — address not only basic aspects of the strong interaction, such as the nature of confinement or the mechanism of mass generation via chiral symmetry breaking, but shed light on a wide variety of fundamental physics problems such as the high-energy limit of all scattering cross sections involving hadronic objects, the inner structure of compact stellar objects, the evolution of the early universe between the electroweak transition and primordial nucleosynthesis, etc. To carry out this research programme, the Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) experiment must be prepared to deal with the large particle multiplicities expected in nucleus-nucleus collisions, be able to measure low momentum observables which provide information on the bulk properties of the produced medium and, most importantly, be capable of triggering on and reconstructing the rare perturbative probes expected to yield the most direct insights into the properties of the produced high-density strongly-interacting matter.

The principal aim of this Technical Design Report is to present the capabilities of the CMS experiment to explore the rich heavy-ion physics programme offered by the LHC. This document updates and extends the first comprehensive studies carried out within the CMS collaboration a few years ago (“Heavy Ion Physics in CMS” CMS-NOTE-2000-060). A recent description of the CMS detector configuration and performance — including track and jet reconstruction in the high particle-multiplicity environment of nucleus-nucleus collisions — has been presented in the CMS Physics Technical Design Report, Volume 1. In Volume 2 of the same report, a benchmark heavy-ion physics probe, the measurement of the charmonium \( (J/\psi, \psi') \) and bottomonium \( (\Upsilon, \Upsilon', \Upsilon'') \) resonances in PbPb collisions at 5.5 TeV, was also described. This report covers in detail the potential of the CMS experiment to address physics with lead beams at the LHC based on a series of representative measurements simulated and reconstructed within the official CMS software framework.

Structure of the Report

Chapter 1, the Introduction, presents the physics interest of heavy-ion collisions at LHC energies to address important open questions on high-density QCD matter.

Chapters 2–4 describe the bulk observables — charged particle multiplicity, low \( p_T \) inclusive
hadron identified spectra and elliptic flow — which provide information on the collective properties of the system and which are accessible with a standard “minimum bias” trigger in CMS. The PbPb level-1 trigger and the method to determine the reaction centrality are also outlined.

Chapter 5 presents the hard probes physics reach and triggering capabilities. Hard probes constitute privileged perturbative “tomographic” probes of the hottest and densest phases of the reaction, and Chapters 6 and 7 detail the capabilities for the measurement of quarkonia, heavy-quarks, jets and high \( p_T \) hadrons.

Chapter 8 discusses the CMS capabilities for measuring photon-induced processes in electromagnetic (ultraperipheral) nucleus-nucleus interactions which will allow us to study the small-\( x \) gluon distribution in the nuclei in an unexplored domain. Finally, chapter 9 outlines a few other observables which can certainly be measured in CMS but for which no (fast or slow) simulation studies are available at the time of writing this report.
## Contents

1 Introduction .................................................. 3  
  1.1 Physics motivation ................................... 3  
  1.2 High-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions ................. 6  
  1.3 The CMS detector ..................................... 8  
  1.4 Heavy-ion observables with CMS .................. 12  
    1.4.1 PbPb rapidity density: low-$x$ gluon saturation .... 12  
    1.4.2 Soft spectra: baryochemical potential, freeze-out temperature ... 12  
    1.4.3 Elliptic flow: thermalization time, medium shear viscosity ... 13  
    1.4.4 Hard processes: “tomographic” probes of QCD matter ........ 15  
    1.4.5 Jets and high-$p_T$ hadrons: parton number density and medium transport coefficient ........... 17  
    1.4.6 Quarkonia: critical temperature and energy density ... 18  
    1.4.7 Electromagnetic PbPb interactions: high-energy photoproduction .... 19  
    1.4.8 Forward physics: low-$x$ partons, baryon-rich QCD matter, and the cosmic-ray connection .......... 20  
  1.5 Physics schedule ..................................... 22  

2 Global observables and event characterization ............. 25  
  2.1 Introduction .......................................... 25  
  2.2 Event-by-event charged particle multiplicity with the silicon tracker ... 26  
  2.3 Centrality determination ................................ 27  
  2.4 Minimum-bias trigger at Level 1 .................... 29  
    2.4.1 Introduction ................................... 29  
    2.4.2 Minimum bias in pp collisions at 14 TeV ............... 30  
    2.4.3 Minimum bias in PbPb collisions at 5.5 TeV ............. 34  
  2.5 Transverse energy flow ................................ 36  
  2.6 Summary ........................................... 36  

3 Low $p_T$ hadron spectra ................................... 37  
  3.1 Introduction .......................................... 37  
  3.2 Track reconstruction .................................. 37  
    3.2.1 Modified hit triplet finding ....................... 38  
    3.2.2 Triplet cleaning ................................ 38  
    3.2.3 Low $p_T$ tracking results ....................... 39  
  3.3 Neutral hadron (V0) and (converted) photon identification ....... 41
3.4 Further developments ................................................. 43
3.5 Conclusions ............................................................... 45

4 Elliptic flow ................................................................. 47
4.1 Introduction ............................................................... 47
4.2 Reaction plane reconstruction ......................................... 47
  4.2.1 General method ...................................................... 47
  4.2.2 Generator level studies ............................................ 49
  4.2.3 Reaction plane via calorimetry .................................. 50
  4.2.4 Reaction plane determination with the tracker ................. 51
4.3 The cumulant method for flow studies ............................... 52
4.4 Conclusions ............................................................... 54

5 Triggering on hard probes .................................................. 55
5.1 Introduction ............................................................... 55
5.2 Basic constraints for triggering in heavy-ion collisions ............. 55
  5.2.1 Trigger channels ..................................................... 58
5.3 Event size and timing measurements ................................... 59
  5.3.1 Event size ........................................................... 59
  5.3.2 Trigger timing studies ............................................ 60
5.4 HLT simulation procedure and results ................................ 62
  5.4.1 Trigger signal rates ............................................... 62
  5.4.2 Trigger background rates ........................................ 63
  5.4.3 Quarkonia and jet physics with the HLT ......................... 65
5.5 Summary ................................................................. 65

6 Quarkonia and heavy-quarks ............................................... 69
6.1 Quarkonia ................................................................. 69
  6.1.1 Introduction .......................................................... 69
  6.1.2 Simulation of physics and background processes ............... 69
  6.1.3 Reconstruction and analysis ..................................... 71
  6.1.4 Results .............................................................. 75
  6.1.5 Conclusions ........................................................ 79
6.2 Heavy Quarks ............................................................ 82
  6.2.1 Introduction .......................................................... 82
  6.2.2 High-mass dimuons ............................................... 82
  6.2.3 Secondary $J/\psi$ production .................................... 84
  6.2.4 $B$-jet tagging via energetic muons ................................ 85

7 Jets and high-$p_T$ hadrons .................................................. 87
7.1 Introduction ............................................................. 87
7.2 Jets ............................................................... 88
  7.2.1 Introduction .......................................................... 88
Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Physics motivation

The study of the fundamental theory of the strong interaction — Quantum ChromoDynamics (QCD) — in extreme conditions of temperature, density and parton momentum fraction (low-$x$) has attracted an increasing experimental and theoretical interest during the last 20 years. Indeed, QCD is not only a quantum field theory with an extremely rich dynamical content — such as asymptotic freedom, infrared slavery, (approximate) chiral symmetry, non-trivial vacuum topology, strong CP violation problem, $U_A(1)$ axial-vector anomaly, colour superconductivity, . . . — but also the only sector of the Standard Model (SM) whose full collective behaviour — phase diagram, phase transitions, thermalization of fundamental fields — is accessible to scrutiny in the laboratory. The study of the many-body dynamics of high-density QCD covers a vast range of fundamental physics problems (Fig. 1.1):

Deconfinement and chiral symmetry restoration:

Lattice QCD calculations [2] predict a new form of matter at energy densities (well) above a critical value, $\epsilon_c = (6 \pm 2)T_c^4 \approx 1$ GeV/fm$^3$ (Fig. 1.2) — where $T_c \approx 150–190$ MeV [3, 4] is the critical temperature — consisting of an extended volume of deconfined and current-mass quarks and gluons: the Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP) [5, 6]. The vanishing of the chiral condensate at $T_c$ and the sudden liberation of quark and gluon degrees of freedom are clearly visible in Figure 1.2. The scrutiny of this new state of matter — equation-of-state (EoS), order
of the phase transition, transport properties, etc. — promises to shed light on basic aspects of
the strong interaction such as the nature of confinement, the mechanism of mass generation
(chiral symmetry breaking, structure of the QCD vacuum) and hadronization, which still
evade a thorough theoretical description [7, 8] due to their highly non-perturbative nature.

Figure 1.2: Left: The light quark chiral condensate versus the temperature computed in lat-
tice QCD with various number of flavours and values of the \(u, d, s\) quark masses [9]. Right:
The energy density in QCD with 0, 2 and 3 degenerate quark flavours as well as with two
light and one heavier (strange) quarks. The horizontal arrow shows the value of the Stefan-
Boltzmann limit for an ideal quark-gluon gas [2].

**Early universe cosmology:**

The quark-hadron phase transition took place some 10 \(\mu\)s after the Big Bang and is believed
to have been the most important event in the Universe between the electroweak (or SUSY)
transition and Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN), see Figure 1.3. Depending on the order
of the transition\(^1\), several cosmological implications have been postulated [10] such as the
formation of strangelets and cold dark-matter (WIMP) clumps, Jupiter-sized black holes, or
baryon fluctuations leading to inhomogeneous nucleosynthesis. In any event, the thermody-
namics of the QCD transition epoch plays a background role in the determination of various
hypothetical dark-matter relic densities (axion, WIMP, ... see Fig. 1.3, bottom) [11].

**Parton structure and evolution at small-\(x\):**

HERA results [12, 13] indicate that when probed at high energies, hadrons consist of a very
dense system of gluons with small (Bjorken) momentum \(x = p_{\text{parton}}/p_{\text{hadron}}\). At low-\(x\),
the probability to emit an extra gluon is large, proportional to \(\alpha_s \ln(1/x)\), and gluon-gluon
fusion processes will eventually dominate the parton evolution in the hadronic wavefunc-
tions. At high virtualities \(Q^2\) and moderately low \(x\), such evolution is described by linear
DGLAP [14–16] or BFKL [17–19] equations, suitable for a dilute parton regime. At \(x \lesssim 10^{-2}\),
and for \(Q\) values below an energy-dependent saturation momentum \(Q_s\), hadrons are how-
ever more appropriately described as dense, saturated parton systems in the context of the
“Colour-Glass Condensate” (CGC) [20] effective theory with the corresponding non-linear
JIMWLK [21–23] (or BK [24]) evolution equations (Fig. 1.4). Low-\(x\) gluons in nuclei overlap
and, so, saturation effects are expected to set in earlier for ultrarelativistic heavy nuclei (for
which \(Q^2_s \propto A^{1/3}\), with \(A\) the number of nucleons) than for free nucleons.

\(^1\)The order itself is not exactly known: the transition — which is 1\(^{\text{st}}\)-order in pure SU(3) gluodynamics and of
a fast cross-over type for \(N_f = 2+1\) quarks [2] — is still sensitive to lattice extrapolations to the continuum limit.
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Figure 1.3: Top: Effective number of degrees of freedom $g_\varepsilon(T) = \varepsilon(T)/\left(\pi^2/30 T^4\right)$ predicted by the Standard Model (the dashed line is a minimal supersymmetric extension of the SM) [10]. Bottom: Sequence of significant events in the early Universe until the BBN epoch: electroweak and QCD transitions, decoupling of several SM and hypothetical particles, $e^\pm$ annihilation, etc. [10, 11].

Figure 1.4: QCD phase diagram in the $1/x, Q^2$ plane (each circle represents a parton with transverse area $\sim 1/Q^2$ and fraction $x$ of the hadron momentum). The different evolution regimes (DGLAP, BFKL, saturation) are indicated, as well as the saturation scale and geometric scaling curves between the dense and dilute domains [25, 26].

Gauge/String duality:

Theoretical applications of the Anti-de Sitter/Conformal-Field-Theory (AdS/CFT) correspondence [27, 28] provide results in strongly coupled (i.e. large 't Hooft coupling $\lambda = g^2 N_c \gg 1$)
SU($N_c$) gauge theories in terms of a weakly-coupled dual gravity theory. Recent applications of this formalism for QCD-like ($N = 4$ super Yang-Mills) theories have led to the determination of transport properties accessible to experimental study — such as the QGP viscosity [29], the jet quenching parameter ($\hat{q}$) [30], or the heavy-quark diffusion coefficient [31–33] — from black hole thermodynamics calculations. Such results provide valuable insights on dynamical properties of strongly-coupled QCD that cannot be easily treated by perturbative or lattice methods, and open novel phenomenological and experimental directions in high-energy heavy-ion physics.

**Compact object astrophysics:**

At high baryon densities and not too high temperatures, the attractive force between (colour antisymmetric) quarks can lead to the formation of bound $\langle q\bar{q} \rangle$ condensates of Cooper pairs. Cold and dense matter is thus expected to behave as a colour superconductor with a non-trivial quark pairing structure due to the combination of the various quantum numbers involved (spin, colour, flavour) [34]. This regime, currently beyond the direct reach of accelerator-based research — except indirectly in the region of large baryon densities around the QCD critical point, Fig. 1.5 — may be realized in the core of compact stars (neutron, hybrid or other exotic stars) and, thus, open to study through astronomical observation.

![Figure 1.5: QCD phase diagram in the temperature vs. baryochemical potential ($T, \mu_B$) plane. The arrows indicate the expected crossing through the deconfinement transition during the expansion phase in heavy-ion collisions at different accelerators. The (dashed) freeze-out curve indicates where hadro-chemical equilibrium is attained in the final stage of the collision [35]. The ground-state of nuclear matter at $T = 0$ and $\mu_B \approx 0.4$ GeV and the approximate position of the QCD critical point at $\mu_B \approx 0.93$ GeV [36] are also indicated.](image)

**1.2 High-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions**

The only experimental means available so far to investigate the (thermo)dynamics of a multiparton system involves colliding large atomic nuclei at ultrarelativistic energies. Figure 1.6
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left, shows the total center-of-mass energy available for particle production (i.e. subtracting the rest mass of the colliding hadrons) at different accelerators as a function of the first operation year (“Livingston plot”) [37]. The exponential increase in performance translates into an energy doubling every 2 (3) years for the ion (\(\bar{p}, p\)) beams. Head-on collisions of heavy ions (AA) can produce extremely hot and dense QCD matter by concentrating a substantial amount of energy (\(O(1 \text{ TeV})\) at mid-rapidity at the LHC, see Fig. 1.6 right) in an extended cylindrical volume, \(V = \pi R_A^2 \tau_0 \approx 150 \text{ fm}^3\) for a typical large nucleus with radius \(R_A = 6.5 \text{ fm}\), at thermalization times of \(\tau_0 = 1 \text{ fm/c}\).

Figure 1.6: Left: “Livingston plot” for (anti)proton and ion accelerators in the period 1960-2008 (adapted from [37]). Right: Measured transverse energy per unit rapidity at \(\eta = 0\) and corresponding Bjorken energy density \(\epsilon_{\text{Bj}}(\tau_0 = 1 \text{ fm/c})\) [38], in central heavy-ion collisions at various c.m. energies [39, 40], fitted to a logarithmic parametrization.

The hot and dense systems produced in high-energy AA collisions are not prepared under controlled thermodynamical conditions but follow a dynamical trajectory along the phase diagram shown in Figure 1.5. After the collision, the system (with a temperature profile decreasing from the center) expands with relativistic longitudinal (transverse) velocities \(\langle \beta \rangle \approx 0.5(0.0)\) and cools as a function of time as \(T \propto \tau^{-1/n}\), with \(n = 3\) for a longitudinal-only expansion [38]. When \(T\) reaches \(T_c \approx 190 \text{ MeV}\), the quark matter undergoes a phase transition into hadrons. The produced hadronic gas stops self-interacting collectively at freeze-out times \(\tau \approx 10–20 \text{ fm/c}\) [41]. At the initial stages of the reaction (1 fm/c after impact), the commonly used “Bjorken estimate” [38] gives energy densities attained at mid-rapidity of \(\epsilon_{\text{Bj}} = dE_T/d\eta|_{\eta=0}/(\pi R_A^2 \tau_0) \approx 5\) and 10 GeV/fm\(^3\), at RHIC and the LHC, respectively (Fig. 1.6 right). Although these values can only be considered as a lower limit since they are obtained in a simple 1+1 D expansion scenario ignoring any effects from longitudinal work, they are already about 5 and 10 times larger, respectively, than the QCD critical energy density for deconfinement. High-energy heavy-ion colliders provide therefore the appropriate conditions for the study of highly excited quark-gluon matter.

At the LHC, the ion-ion center of mass energies will exceed those at RHIC by nearly a factor of 30, providing access to a completely uncharted regime. Our current understanding is that this regime will be characterized by the following properties [42]:

1. An initial-state dominated by high-density (saturated) parton distributions. The relevant range of parton momentum fraction \(x\) probed at LHC will be as low as \(10^{-5}\)
(Fig. 1.7) and the characteristic saturation momentum, $Q_s^2 \approx 5$–$10$ GeV$^2$ [43], will be a factor of 2–3 larger than at RHIC, accessing a novel perturbative regime where deviations from the standard linear evolutions in $Q^2$ and $x$ are expected. Important aspects of particle production and the early time evolution of the system should be governed by classical chromodynamics, as described in the Color-Glass-Condensate framework.

2. Hard probes — such as jets, high-$p_T$ hadrons, heavy-quarks, heavy-quarkonia — will be produced abundantly (Table 1.1). The cross sections for these processes can be calculated using the perturbative QCD framework and their potential attenuation in the medium will provide precise “tomographic” information about the hottest and densest phases of the reaction.

3. Weakly-interacting perturbative probes (direct photons, dileptons, $Z^0$ and $W^\pm$ bosons) unaffected by final-state interactions in the medium will be produced with large yields (Table 1.1), providing direct information on the parton distributions of the colliding ions and an undistorted reference when produced back-to-back with (quenched) jets.

4. Parton dynamics will dominate a significant fraction of the medium evolution. The initial energy density, temperature, volume, and lifetime of the QGP state is expected to be much larger than at RHIC. Partonic degrees of freedom will thus dominate the fireball expansion and the collective features of the hadronic final state.

![Figure 1.7: Parton kinematic range in the $(x, M^2)$ plane for PbPb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$ TeV, compared to that of the top RHIC and SPS energies [42].](image)

**1.3 The CMS detector**

The CMS experiment [48, 49] at the LHC is a general purpose detector designed to explore the physics at the TeV energy scale. The primary goals of the experiment are to reveal the electroweak (EWK) symmetry breaking mechanism and provide evidence of physics beyond the SM in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV, as well as to study the properties of the strongly interacting matter produced in PbPb collisions at the highest energy densities ever reached in the laboratory. When running in the heavy-ion mode, the LHC will collide two
The electromagnetic calorimeter consists of 6 layers within the 55–120 cm radius range, and two endcap detectors (TEC and TID) in an inner barrel (TIB) with 4 layers within the 20–50 cm radius range, an outer barrel (TOB) double-sided silicon microstrip detectors covering a total of 200 m$^2$ µ

The CMS detector can also deal with the large particle multiplicities (see Fig. 1.8) anticipated for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.75$ TeV at an energy of 2.75 TeV each (centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.5 TeV per nucleon pair). Since the detector subsystems have been designed with a resolution and granularity adapted to cope with the extremely high luminosities expected in the proton-proton running mode ($L \sim 10^{34}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at 14 TeV) with up to 25 simultaneous (pile-up) pp collisions per bunch crossing, CMS can also deal with the large particle multiplicities (see Fig. 1.8) anticipated for PbPb collisions at 5.5 TeV (where $L \sim 10^{27}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$).

The CMS apparatus measures roughly 22 m in length, 15 m in diameter and 12,500 metric tons in weight. A drawing of the detector can be seen in Figure 1.9. Figure 1.10 shows schematic representations of the response to various types of particles superimposed on a transverse slice through the detector. A detailed description of the construction and performance of each detector system can be found in [50]. Its central feature is a 4 T solenoid, 13 m in length and 6 m in diameter. Along with the central silicon pixel and microstrip tracking detector, the electromagnetic ($|\eta| < 3$) and hadronic ($|\eta| < 5$) calorimeters are contained within the solenoid coil. Muon detectors ($|\eta| < 2.4$) are embedded in the flux return iron yoke of the magnet. Two other detectors cover the very forward hemisphere (Fig. 1.11): CASTOR (5.3 $|\eta| < 6.6$) and the Zero-Degree Calorimeters (ZDC, $|\eta| > 8.3$ for neutrals). The TOTEM experiment, which shares the interaction point with CMS, provides two extra trackers at forward rapidities (T1, $3.1 < |\eta| < 4.7$, and T2, $5.5 < |\eta| < 6.6$).

The innermost tracking is accomplished with three layers of silicon pixel detectors (at radii of 4.4, 7.3 and 10.2 cm) with a total area of approximately 1 m$^2$, composed of 66 million 100 $\times$ 150 µm$^2$ area pixels. The remaining tracking layers are composed of 9.3 million single- and double-sided silicon microstrip detectors covering a total of 200 m$^2$ of detectors, organized in an inner barrel (TIB) with 4 layers within the 20–50 cm radius range, an outer barrel (TOB) with 6 layers within the 55–120 cm radius range, and two endcap detectors (TEC and TID).

The electromagnetic calorimeter consists of $\sim$76,000 Lead-Tungstate crystals ($\sim$25 $X_0$ with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Yield/10^6 s</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Yield/10^6 s</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>jet($p_T &gt; 50$ GeV)</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>[44]</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jet($p_T &gt; 250$ GeV)</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>[44]</td>
<td>$5.2 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z^0$</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>[46]</td>
<td>$6.8 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W^+$</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>[46]</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W^-$</td>
<td>$5.3 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>[46]</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.1: The expected yield of several hard probes in 10^6 s PbPb and pPb LHC runs.
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Figure 1.8: CMS event display of a simulated $\Upsilon \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ produced in a PbPb collision at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$ TeV with charged multiplicities at mid-rapidity $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} = 3500$.

Figure 1.9: The CMS detector.

granularity $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi = 0.0174 \times 0.0174$) read out by Avalanche Photodiodes, plus $\sim 6000$ end-cap silicon pre-shower detectors. Hadronic calorimetry is achieved with scintillators embedded in a brass absorber (9072 readout channels for a granularity of $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi = 0.087 \times 0.087$ at central rapidities and $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi = 0.175 \times 0.175$ at forward rapidities), where the light is
1.3. The CMS detector

Figure 1.10: A transverse slice through one segment of the CMS detector indicating the responses of the various detecting systems to different types of particles.

Figure 1.11: CMS acceptance of tracking, calorimetry, and muon identification in pseudorapidity ($\eta$) and azimuth ($\phi$). The size of a jet with cone $R = 0.5$ is also depicted for illustration.

read out using Hybrid Photodiodes (HPD).

The CMS muon system covering $|\eta| < 2.4$, is composed of three technologies. Drift tubes (DT) are used in the CMS barrel, Cathode Strip Chambers (CSC) in the endcaps, and Resistive Plate Chambers (RPC) are used in parallel with the other detectors in both the barrel and endcap. In total, the muon system contains about 25 000 m$^2$ of active detection planes, and nearly 1 million electronic channels.

The CASTOR and ZDC detectors are tungsten+quartz sampling Čerenkov calorimeters with hadronic and electromagnetic sections and a few hundred readout channels in total.
1.4 Heavy-ion observables with CMS

The aim of this section is to give a concise experimental and phenomenological overview of the heavy-ion observables that can be measured in CMS. Emphasis is put on those measurements which can help clarify some of the current open issues at RHIC. Chapters 2–8 describe in detail the analysis and physics reach for the sample of measurements introduced here.

1.4.1 PbPb rapidity density: low-$x$ gluon saturation

The charged-particle multiplicity per unit rapidity at mid-rapidity, $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$, is related to the produced entropy density in the PbPb collision and fixes the global properties of the produced medium. Before the start up of RHIC, extrapolations from SPS measurements at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \approx 20$ GeV varied widely, mostly overestimating the result [51]. The bulk hadron multiplicity measured in central AuAu at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} \approx 700$, is comparatively lower than the $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} \approx 1000$ expectations of “minijet”-dominated scenarios [52], soft Regge models [53] (without accounting for strong shadowing effects [54]), or extrapolations from an incoherent sum of proton-proton collisions [51]. On the other hand, Colour Glass Condensate (CGC) approaches [55, 56] which effectively take into account a reduced initial number of scattering centers in the nuclear PDFs, $f_{A/A}(x,Q^2) < A f_{N/N}(x,Q^2)$, can reproduce the RHIC data. In the saturation models, non-linear effects (gluon-gluon fusion processes at low values of $x$) become important and saturate the parton densities when the area occupied by the partons becomes similar to that of the hadronic system, $\pi R_A^2$. For a nucleus with $A$ nucleons, radius $R_A \sim A^{1/3}$ and total gluon distribution $xG_A(x,Q^2) = A xg(x,Q^2)$, where $g(x,Q^2)$ is the gluon density in a single nucleon, this condition translates into the following “saturation momentum” [57, 58]:

$$Q^2_s(x) \simeq \alpha_s \frac{1}{\pi R_A^2} xG_A(x,Q^2) \sim A^{1/3} x^{-\lambda} \sim A^{1/3}(\sqrt{s})^\lambda \sim A^{1/3} e^{\lambda y}, \quad (1.1)$$

with $\lambda \approx 0.2–0.3$ [55]. The mass number dependence implies that, at comparable energies, non-linear effects will be $A^{1/3} \approx 6$ times larger in a heavy nucleus ($A \sim 200$ for Au or Pb) than in a proton. Based on the general expression (1.1), CGC-based models can reproduce the centrality and c.m. energy dependences of the bulk AA hadron production (Fig. 1.12, right). Compared to RHIC, the relevance of low-$x$ QCD effects will certainly be enhanced at the LHC due to the increased center-of-mass energy and rapidity of the produced partons [26, 44]. The expected hadron multiplicities at midrapidity are of the order $dN/d\eta|_{\eta=0} \approx 2000$ (Fig. 1.12, left), much lower than the $dN/d\eta|_{\eta=0} \approx 8000$ predictions before RHIC results.

1.4.2 Soft spectra: baryochemical potential, freeze-out temperature

Measurements of hadron momentum spectra and ratios at low $p_T$ ($p_T \lesssim 2$ GeV/c) are an important tool to determine the amount of collective radial flow generated and the thermal and chemical conditions in the final (freeze-out) phase of the reaction. The measured single hadron $p_T$ spectra at RHIC and SPS have an inverse slope parameter $T_{eff}$ larger than that measured in pp collisions, increasing with reaction centrality and with hadron mass, as expected if collective expansion blue-shifts the hadron spectra. Phenomenological fits of the spectra to “blast wave” models ($T_{eff} \approx T + \langle \beta_T \rangle_2 m$) yield transverse flow velocities $\langle \beta_T \rangle \approx 0.6$ [60]. Full hydrodynamical calculations which start with a partonic phase very shortly after impact ($\tau_0 < 1$ fm/c) develop the amount of collective radial flow needed to accurately reproduce all the measured hadron spectra (Fig. 1.13).
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Figure 1.12: Left: Model predictions for $dN/d\eta$ in central PbPb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$ TeV [43, 54]. Right: Collision energy and centrality dependences of the charged particle multiplicity at mid-rapidity, $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$ ($dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$ is normalized by the number of participating nucleon pairs, $N_{\text{part}}/2$, and the centrality is given in terms of $N_{\text{part}}$): PHOBOS data [59] versus the gluon saturation model prediction [56].

Measurement of the bulk pion, kaon and (anti)proton spectra in PbPb at 5.5 TeV and their comparison to the hydrodynamical predictions will provide the first estimates of the thermodynamical conditions characterizing the initial- (thermalization time, baryochemical potential) and final- (freeze-out temperature) states of the produced medium. In particular, the abundance of strange hadrons or the $p/\bar{p}$ ratio at mid-rapidity, determine the strangeness undersaturation factor $\gamma_s$ or the baryo-chemical potential, $\mu_B$, respectively of the thermalized fireball. At the LHC, the expected formation of a virtually baryon-free system with $\mu_B$ close to zero at mid-rapidity, will result in a $p/\bar{p} \approx 1$ at $y = 0$. In addition, the possibility to identify various hadron species and measure their yield with respect to the reaction plane (see next section) will more strongly constrain the equation of state and dynamical evolution of the system.

1.4.3 Elliptic flow: thermalization time, medium shear viscosity

The initial-state in nucleus-nucleus collisions with non-zero impact parameter is characterized by an anisotropic distribution in coordinate-space given by the lenticular- or almond-like shape of the overlapping zone (Fig. 1.14 left). If the produced system behaves collectively, the initial spatial anisotropy translates into a final elliptical asymmetry in momentum-space with respect to the reaction plane because the pressure gradient is larger for directions parallel to the smallest dimension of the lens. As a result, the final azimuthal distributions, $dN/d\Delta \phi$, of the produced hadrons ($\Delta \phi = \phi - \Phi_{RP}$) show a strong harmonic modulation with a preferential “in-plane” emission in non-central collisions. The strength of this asymmetry is quantified via the second Fourier coefficient, $v_2(p_T, y) \equiv \langle \cos(2\Delta \phi) \rangle$, of the azimuthal decomposition of single inclusive hadron spectra relative to the reaction plane [64, 65],

$$E \frac{d^3 N}{d^3 p} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d^2 N}{p_T d\eta} \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n \cos[n(\phi - \Phi_{RP})] \right).$$ (1.2)
Figure 1.13: Transverse momentum spectra for pions, kaons, and (anti)protons measured at RHIC below $p_T \approx 3$ GeV/$c$ in 0-10% most central AuAu collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV compared to hydrodynamics calculations [61]. Above $p_T \approx 2$ GeV/$c$ the expected perturbative contributions of hard scattering products [62, 63] (scaled as described in [61]) are also shown.

At RHIC, a large $v_2$ value has been measured (Fig. 1.14, right), $v_2 \approx 0.2$, indicative of a strong degree of collectivity (pressure gradients) building up in the first instants of the collision. Such a strong $v_2$ is not consistent with the much lower values, $v_2 \lesssim 0.06$, expected by transport models of hadronic matter [66] or for a partonic system rescattering with perturbative cross sections ($\sigma_{gg} \approx 3$ mb) [67]. The magnitude, and the $p_T$ and hadron mass dependences of the radial and elliptic flows below $p_T \approx 2$ GeV/$c$ are, on the other hand, well described by ideal hydrodynamic models whose space-time evolution starts with a realistic QGP equation of state (EoS) with initial energy densities $\varepsilon_0 \approx 30$ GeV/fm$^3$ at thermalization times $\tau_0 \approx 0.6$ fm/$c$ [41, 68–70] (Fig. 1.14). Such a degree of accord between relativistic hydrodynamics and the data was absent at lower CERN-SPS energies [71]. Figure 1.14 (right) shows the particle-density dependence of the $v_2$ parameter (scaled by the eccentricity of the reaction $\epsilon$ to remove centrality-dependent geometrical effects) in semi-central $\bar{A}$ collisions at different c.m. energies. RHIC $v_2$ data in the range $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \approx 62–200$ GeV [72–74] are close to the hydrodynamical limit curves [75, 76] estimated for a completely thermalized system.

The robust collective flow generated in the first instants of the reaction, the fast (local) thermalization times, and the good agreement of the data with ideal relativistic hydrodynamic models which assume a fluid evolution with zero viscosity (i.e. with negligible internal shear stress), have been presented as evidence that the matter formed at RHIC is a strongly interacting QGP (sQGP) [78–82]. This new state of matter with liquid-like properties, challenges the anticipated paradigm [5, 6] of a weakly interacting gas of relativistic partons, lending...
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Figure 1.14: Left: Spatial asymmetry with respect to the reaction plane of the produced “fireball” in non-central nucleus-nucleus collisions. Right: Elliptic flow (normalized by the participant eccentricity) \( v_2/\epsilon \), as a function of the charged hadron rapidity density, \( dN_{ch}/d\eta \) \( |\eta|=0 \), normalized by the reaction overlap area \( A_{\perp} \), measured at SPS [71, 74] and RHIC [72, 74], compared to the “hydrodynamical limit” expectations for a fully thermalized system with QGP or hadron EoS [75, 76]. Adapted from [72] (10% errors have been added to account for the \( v_2 \) and \( \epsilon \) systematic uncertainties).

Support to the application of strongly-coupled-gauge/weakly-coupled-gravity duality techniques [29–33] to compute relevant sQGP parameters. It is worth noting that in the range of temperatures attained at RHIC, \( T \lesssim 2T_c \), lattice QCD predictions for the pressure and energy-density are still far from fulfilling the expected \( P \approx \epsilon/3 \) ideal-gas relation. Figure 1.15 shows recent calculations [83] of the interaction measure \( I = (\epsilon - 3P) \), normalized by \( T^4 \), which clearly deviate from the ideal-gas \( I \approx 0 \) value in the range \( T \lesssim 3T_c \). This result shows that strong interactions between the plasma constituents persist in the deconfined phase at several times \( T_c \). The initial temperatures in central PbPb collisions at the LHC\(^2\) will be of the order of \( T \approx 3T_c \) where the interaction measure approaches its asymptotic value. The measurement of the differential elliptic flow properties in PbPb collisions at the LHC will be of primary importance to confirm or reject the sQGP interpretation as well as to search for a possible weakening of \( v_2 \), indicative of the existence of a weakly-interacting QGP phase at higher temperatures than those of the liquid-like state found at RHIC [84, 85]. In addition, at LHC energies the contribution from the QGP phase to the collective particle flow(s) is expected to be much larger than at RHIC or SPS and, therefore, \( v_2 \) will be less dependent on the details of the late hadronic phase.

1.4.4 Hard processes: “tomographic” probes of QCD matter

Among all available experimental observables, the so-called hard probes (particles with large transverse momentum \( p_T \) and/or high mass [86, 87]) are of crucial importance for several reasons (Fig. 1.16): (i) they originate from parton scattering with large momentum transfer \( Q^2 \) and thus are directly coupled to the fundamental QCD degrees of freedom; (ii) their production timescale is very short, \( \tau \approx 1/p_T \lesssim 0.1 \, \text{fm}/c \), allowing them to propagate through, and potentially be affected by, the medium; (iii) their cross sections can be theoretically pre-

---

\(^2\)A particle multiplicity in central PbPb of \( dN/d\eta_{\eta=0} \approx 2000 \) corresponds to an energy density \( \epsilon_0 \approx (0.5 \rho)^{1/3} \approx [0.5 \, dN/d\eta_{\eta=0}/(\tau_0 A_T)]^{1/3} \approx 270 \, \text{GeV}/\text{fm}^3 \) at an initial time \( \tau_0 \approx 0.2 \, \text{Xfm}/c \). A fully equilibrated QGP at these energy densities would have a temperature of \( T_0 \approx (\epsilon_0/12)^{1/4} \approx 0.65 \, \text{GeV} \).
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Figure 1.15: Lattice QCD calculations of the “interaction measure”, \( I/T^4 = (\varepsilon - 3P)/T^4 \), as a function of the temperature for various lattice spacings \( N_t = 4, 6 \) and quark masses \( m_{u,d} = 0.1, 0.2m_s \) [83], with \( T_c \approx 170 \text{ MeV} \).

Predicted using the perturbative QCD (pQCD) framework. Hard processes thus constitute experimentally- and theoretically-controlled (self-generated) “tomographic” probes of the hottest and densest phases of the reaction.

Figure 1.16: Examples of hard probes whose modifications in high-energy AA collisions provide direct information on properties of QCD matter such as the medium transport coefficient \( \langle \hat{q} \rangle \), the initial gluon rapidity density \( dN_g/dy \), and the critical temperature and energy density [87].
1.4.5 Jets and high-\(p_T\) hadrons: parton number density and medium transport coefficient

Among the most exciting results of the RHIC physics programme is the factor \(\sim 5\) suppression of high-\(p_T\) leading hadrons in central AuAu [88] compared to an incoherent superposition of pp collisions (Figure 1.17). Such a result is consistent with the predicted attenuation of the parent quark and gluon jets due to energy loss in a dense QCD medium (“jet quenching”) [89, 90]. The dominant contribution to the energy loss is believed to be of non-Abelian radiative nature (“gluon-strahlung”) as described in the GLV [91, 92] and BDMPS [93–96] formalisms. In the GLV approach, the initial gluon density \(dN_g/\dy\) of the expanding plasma (with transverse area \(A_\perp\) and length \(L\)) can be estimated from the measured energy loss \(\Delta E\),

\[
\Delta E \propto \frac{\alpha_S^3}{\zeta} C_R \frac{1}{A_\perp} \frac{dN_g}{\dy} L,
\]

where \(C_R\) is Casimir colour factor of the parton (4/3 for quarks, 3 for gluons). In the BDMPS framework, the transport coefficient \(\langle \hat{q} \rangle\), characterizing the scattering power of the medium\(^3\), can be derived from the average energy loss according to

\[
\langle \Delta E \rangle \propto \alpha_S C_R \langle \hat{q} \rangle L^2.
\]

From Eqs. (1.3) and (1.4), very large initial gluon rapidity densities, \(dN_g/\dy \approx 1100 \pm 300\) [92], or, equivalently, transport coefficients \(\langle \hat{q} \rangle \approx 11 \pm 3\) GeV\(^2\)/fm [97–100], are required in order to explain the observed amount of hadron suppression at RHIC, as quantified by the nuclear modification factor:

\[
R_{AA}(p_T, y; b) = \frac{d^2N_{AA}/\dydp_T}{(T_{AA}(b)) \times d^2\sigma_{pp}/\dydp_T},
\]

which measures the deviation of \(AA\) at impact parameter \(b\) from a simple incoherent superposition of \(NN\) collisions. In Eq. 1.5, \(T_{AB}(b)\) (normalized to \(A \cdot B\)) is the nuclear overlap function at \(b\) determined within a geometric Glauber eikonal model using the known Woods-Saxon distribution for the colliding nuclei [101]. The corresponding predictions for the inclusive charged hadron suppression at LHC are shown in Fig. 1.17.

Most of the empirical properties of the quenching factor for light-flavour hadrons — the magnitude, \(p_T\), centrality, and the \(\sqrt{s_{NN}}\) dependences of the suppression — are in quantitative agreement with the predictions of non-Abelian parton energy loss models [105]. However, the fact that the high-\(p_T\) \(e^\pm\) spectrum from semi-leptonic \(D\) and \(B\) decays is as suppressed as the light hadrons in central AuAu [106, 107] is in apparent conflict with the robust \(\Delta E_Q < \Delta E_g < \Delta E_g\) prediction of radiative energy loss models. In order to reproduce the measured high-\(p_T\) open charm/beauty suppression, jet quenching models require either initial gluon rapidity densities \(dN_g/\dy \approx 3000\) [108]) inconsistent with the total hadron multiplicities \(dN_g/\dy \approx 1.8 \times dN_{ch/\dy}|_{y=0}\) [105]) or with the values \(dN_g/\dy \approx 1100\) needed to describe the quenched light hadron spectra, or they need a smaller relative contribution of \(B\) relative to \(D\) mesons than theoretically expected in the measured \(p_T\) range [99]. This discrepancy may point to an additional contribution from elastic energy loss for heavy quarks [109–111], so far considered negligible [90]. At the LHC, the original capability to fully reconstruct jets [44], to tag them with unscathed prompt \(\gamma\) [112] or \(Z^0\) [113], and to

\(^3\)The \(\hat{q}\) parameter is the squared average momentum transfer of the hard parton per unit distance, \(\hat{q} = \langle k_T^2 \rangle / \lambda\), and can be identified [30] with the coefficient in the exponential of an adjoint Wilson loop averaged over the medium length \(L\): \(\langle W^A(C) \rangle \equiv \exp \left( (-1/4\sqrt{2}) \hat{q} L^2 \right)\).
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Figure 1.17: Nuclear modification factor, $R_{AA}(p_T)$, for high-$p_T$ neutral pions at CERN-SPS [102, 103] and RHIC [104] compared to the predictions of the GLV parton energy loss model [92] for two values of the initial gluon rapidity density, $dN_g/dy$. The bottom bands show the expected suppression of inclusive high-$p_T$ charged hadrons in central PbPb collisions at 5.5 TeV as given by the GLV ($dN_g/dy = 2000–4000$) and the PQM ($\langle q \rangle \approx 30–80$ GeV$^2$/fm) [97, 100] models.

carry out high-statistics studies in the heavy-flavour sector [47], will be very valuable to clarify the apparently conflicting results at RHIC and to provide accurate information on the transport properties of QCD matter.

1.4.6 Quarkonia: critical temperature and energy density

The study of heavy-quark bound states in high-energy AA collisions has long been proposed as a sensitive probe of the thermodynamical properties of the produced medium [114]. Analysis of quarkonia correlators and potentials in finite-$T$ lattice QCD indicate that the different charmonium and bottomonium states dissociate at temperatures for which the colour (Debye) screening radius of the medium falls below their corresponding $Q\bar{Q}$ binding radii. Recent lattice analyses of the quarkonia spectral functions [115–118] indicate that the ground states ($J/\psi$ and $\Upsilon$) survive at least up to $T \approx 2T_c$ whereas the less bound $\chi_c$ and $\psi'$ melt near $T_c$. Experimental confirmation of such a threshold-like dissociation pattern would provide a direct means to determine the transition temperature reached in the system and their comparison to ab initio lattice QCD predictions. A significant amount of experimental data on $J/\psi$ production in different proton-(deuteron)-nucleus and nucleus-nucleus collisions have been collected at SPS [119–121] and at RHIC [122]. The corresponding nuclear modification factors, compiled in Ref. [123], are shown in Fig. 1.18 as a function of $N_{\text{part}}$. The surprisingly similar amount of $J/\psi$ suppression observed at SPS and RHIC energies (with expected tem-
perature differences of a factor of $\sim 2$) has been interpreted in a sequential-dissociation scenario [124] where the $J/\psi$ survives up to $T \approx 2T_c$ in agreement with the lattice predictions, and the observed suppression at both c.m. energies is due to the absence of (30% and 10%) feed-down decay contributions from $\chi_c(1P)$ and $\psi'(2S)$ resonances which melt at $T \approx T_c$. The confirmation of such an interpretation would set an upper limit of $T < \sim 2T_c \approx 400$ MeV for the temperatures reached at RHIC.

![Figure 1.18: $J/\psi$ nuclear modification factor versus centrality [123] (given by the number of participant nucleons in the collision) measured in AA and deuteron-A collisions at SPS [119–121] and RHIC [122]. Fixme: are there really p-A or d-Au points in the figure? plot and caption mismatch](image)

Other explanations of the comparatively low depletion of $J/\psi$ yields at RHIC have been put forward based on a much stronger direct $J/\psi$ suppression (at temperatures close to $T_c$) combined with $c\bar{c} \rightarrow J/\psi$ regeneration from the abundant charm quarks\(^4\) in the dense medium [125]. The LHC measurements will be also crucial to resolve this issue. A strongly suppressed $J/\psi$ yield in PbPb at 5.5 TeV — where the expected initial temperatures will be well above $2T_c$ — would support the sequential-screening scenario, whereas recombination models predict a strong enhancement due to the larger density of $c\bar{c}$ pairs in the medium. In addition, the abundant production of the $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$ states at LHC energies will open up a unique opportunity to study the threshold dissociation behaviour of the whole bottomonium family. The $\Upsilon$ is expected to survive up to $4T_c$ and, therefore, direct suppression of the $b\bar{b}$ ground state would be indicative of medium temperatures around 1 GeV at the LHC.

### 1.4.7 Electromagnetic PbPb interactions: high-energy photoproduction

Lead nuclei accelerated to ultrarelativistic energies at the LHC are a powerful source of quasi-real photons due to the coherent action of the $Z = 82$ proton charges and the Lorentz contraction factor $\gamma \approx 2900$ of the electromagnetic field at such high energies. In ultra-peripheral collisions (UPCs) of heavy-ions at impact parameters larger than twice the nuclear radius, where no nucleon-nucleon collisions occur, a strong flux of photons is generated that can be used for high-energy photoproduction ($\gamma A, \gamma \gamma$) studies, as shown schematically in Fig. 1.19 [126–128]. The equivalent photon spectrum flux in UPCs increases with $Z^2/E_\gamma$ and,\(^4\)On average, 10 charm pairs are produced in a central AuAu collision at the top RHIC energy.
thus, for Pb there is a $Z^2 \sim 7000$ enhancement factor compared to electron or proton beams. The upper bound in the equivalent photon energy spectrum is of the order of the inverse Lorentz-contrasted radius $R_A$ of the charge: $\omega_{\text{max}} \approx \gamma/R_A$. The requirement that all the charges act coherently in the generation of the equivalent quasi-real photon imposes very small virtualities for the photoproduction process. Therefore, the beam charges are barely deflected in the process and any produced particles have very low transverse momenta of the order of $p_T < 2/R_A \approx 50 \text{ MeV}/c$ or $p_T \sim m_X/\gamma \approx 30 \text{ MeV}/c$. At the LHC, lead beams at 2.75 TeV/nucleon have Lorentz factors $\gamma = 2930$ leading to maximum (equivalent) photon energies $\omega_{\text{max}} \approx 80 \text{ GeV}$. These photons can then collide either with the other incoming nucleus at maximum c.m. energies of $W_{\gamma\text{Pb}}^{\text{max}} \approx 1 \text{ TeV}/\text{nucleon}$ (3–4 times larger than those of equivalent $ep$ collisions at HERA), or they can interact with another similarly-radiated photon leading to two-photon collisions at $W_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{max}} \approx 160 \text{ GeV}$, comparable to (or slightly higher than) those studied at LEP.

The physics interest of ultraperipheral PbPb collisions at LHC is twofold. On the one hand, $\gamma A$ collisions open up the possibility to carry out precision QCD studies — e.g., of the gluon distribution function in the nucleus or of (photoproduced) vector-meson absorption in cold nuclear matter — with a low background and much simpler initial state than in equivalent $pA$ or $AA$ studies. On the other hand, photon-photon collisions provide the conditions to study QED in a non-perturbative regime (with $\alpha_{\text{em}} Z \sim 0.6$), as well as the production of $C$-even heavy mesons ($c\bar{c}, b\bar{b}$) or the physics of quartic gauge couplings ($\gamma \gamma W^+W^-$) [129]. The feasibility of such studies has been proven at RHIC energies where the existing UPC measurements include $\rho^0$ [130] and $J/\psi$ [131] photoproduction in photon-gold collisions as well as low- [132] and high-mass [131] di-electron production in two-photon processes.

1.4.8 Forward physics: low-$x$ partons, baryon-rich QCD matter, and the cosmic-ray connection

Forward coverage is essential for measurements of the low-$x$ parton distribution functions, particularly the gluon distributions, in protons and nuclei. Indeed, from leading-order kinematics the rapidities and momentum fractions of two colliding partons are related via

$$x_2 = \left(\frac{p_T}{\sqrt{s}}\right) \cdot \left(e^{-y_1} + e^{-y_2}\right) \text{ and } x_1 = \left(\frac{p_T}{\sqrt{s}}\right) \cdot \left(e^{y_1} + e^{y_2}\right),$$

(1.6)
and the minimum momentum fractions probed in a $2 \rightarrow 2$ process with a particle of momentum $p_T$ produced at pseudo-rapidity $\eta$ are [133]

$$x_2^{\min} = \frac{x_T e^{-\eta}}{2 - x_T e^{-\eta}}, \quad x_1^{\min} = \frac{x_2 x_T e^\eta}{2x_2 - x_T e^{-\eta}},$$

where $x_T = 2p_T/\sqrt{s}$.

(1.7)

$x_2^{\min}$, in particular, decreases by a factor of about 10 every 2 units of (pseudo)rapidity. At LHC energies, the relevant $x$ values in AA and pA collisions will be 30–45 times lower than at RHIC: $x_2 \approx 10^{-5} - 10^{-6}$ for processes with a hard scale of a few GeV$^2$ at rapidities $\eta \approx 5–6$ (see Fig. 1.7), far exceeding the reach of previous parton distribution measurements. In this kinematic regime, the nonlinear evolution of the parton densities can be fully mapped out, thereby clarifying the nature of the gluon saturation predicted by CGC models.

In addition, the study of the bulk particle and energy flow in AuAu and p(d)Au collisions at forward rapidities has proven of great interest at RHIC to fully characterize the longitudinal dependence of the properties of the produced medium [134, 135]. On the one hand, several measurements [136–138] have given evidence for the existence of an extended longitudinal scaling (also called “limiting fragmentation” [139]) region close to beam rapidity, which increases with $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (Fig. 1.20, left). On the other hand, measurements in the forward region can be used to study baryon density effects on particle production, essentially changing the chemistry of the produced quark-gluon system [140, 141]. Thermal and chemical analyses of the current data in different rapidity slices indicate that the system has larger baryo-chemical potential, less transverse flow and fewer degrees of freedom at forward rapidity [142]. Based on extrapolations from BRAHMS data (Fig. 1.20, right), the maximum net baryon density at the LHC is expected in the pseudorapidity region $\eta \approx 5–6$. Studies of baryon production in the forward rapidities will thus shed light on the mechanism of baryon stopping and transport as well as of partonic matter over a wide range of baryo-chemical potentials.

Figure 1.20: Left: Pseudorapidity distributions, $dN_{ch}/d\eta'$, normalized by the number of participating nucleon pairs, for primary charged hadrons in central AuAu collisions at RHIC ($\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 19–200$ GeV) and extrapolation for PbPb at LHC ($\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5500$) [134, 135]. Right: Rapidity distribution ($y' = y - y_{beam}$ where $y_{beam} = \ln(\sqrt{s}/m_N)$ is the beam rapidity) of net protons ($N_p - N_{\bar{p}}$) in central AuAu and PbPb collisions at RHIC and SPS energies (compiled in Refs. [135, 140]).

Last, but not least, the interest in hadron production at forward rapidities in pA and AA colli-
sions at LHC energies has interesting connections with cosmic-ray physics. First, knowledge of the particle multiplicities and energy flow in proton and nucleus collisions at forward rapidities is a prerequisite for calibrating the existing hadronic codes used to study the interaction of ultra-high energy (UHE, \(E > 100\) PeV) cosmic rays\(^5\) in the upper atmosphere. The only way currently known to study UHE cosmic rays is via extended air-showers in the atmosphere whose cascade development is dominated by forward and soft QCD interactions, which are poorly known at such high energies. The LHC data will help constrain the models and validate their extrapolation up to the highest cosmic-ray energies measured. Second, emulsion experiments at high altitudes have observed exotic cosmic-rays events with energies \(E \sim 10^{15} - 10^{17}\) eV featuring a very low production of electromagnetic secondaries [143]. Such “Centauro” events have been interpreted in some theoretical scenarios [144] as due to the disintegration of a small lump of strange quark matter (strangelets), a hypothetical state consisting of roughly equal numbers of \(u\), \(d\) and \(s\) quarks, or as due to the formation and decay of a Disoriented Chiral Condensate [145], a region of matter where the quark condensate is misaligned with respect to the physical vacuum and results in coherent excitations of the pion fields along particular directions in isospin space. Accelerator searches of signatures of any such unusual objects at the SPs [146, 147], Tevatron [148], SPS [149, 150] or RHIC [151] have been unsuccessful so far. The much higher energies and rapidities attainable at the LHC should provide more favourable conditions for such investigations [152].

### 1.5 Physics schedule

The timescale with which the various physics topics presented here can be addressed is determined by the machine luminosity and by the beam time allocated to the different running modes at the LHC. Table 1.2 shows the estimated plan for the LHC heavy-ion programme. Using increasing luminosity a range of physics topics starting with basic global event properties and moving on to more and more rare processes can be addressed. A preliminary schedule of physics goals is shown in Table 1.3. The HI (heavy-ion) label includes planned proton-nucleus (pPb \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.8\) TeV) and lighter ion (ArAr) runs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Beam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Pilot pp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Physics pp, low luminosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pilot HI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Physics pp, towards full luminosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physics HI, at 1/20 luminosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Physics pp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physics HI full luminosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Physics pp full luminosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physics HI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pp at HI beam energy (5.5 TeV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Physics pp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physics HI (pPb or ArAr)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.2: The projected run schedule at the LHC with proton and ion beams.

\(^5\)The c.m. energy in pp collisions at the LHC corresponds to a 100 PeV fixed-target collision in the air.
Table 1.3: A preliminary schedule for the physics goals of the CMS heavy ion programme at the LHC.

The discussion presented in the next chapters will clearly demonstrate the significant capabilities of the CMS detector to perform the important measurements for heavy-ion physics discussed in this chapter. Experience at other recent collider facilities (LEP, Fermilab, RHIC) has shown the importance of having multiple detectors addressing a particular physics topic. However, the contribution of CMS to the heavy-ion effort will go well beyond a mere complementary role to ALICE, the dedicated heavy-ion detector at the LHC. Instead, inclusion of CMS provides a number of unique capabilities. These include:
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- Acceptance: Significantly broader coverage than ALICE near midrapidity (full $\phi$, $|\eta| < 2.5$) for layered detection of charged and neutral hadrons as well as muons, electrons and photons, over a wide range of $p_T$.

- Resolution: The best dimuon mass resolution of any LHC detector, leading to a clean separation of the various quarkonia ($J/\psi$, $\Upsilon$) states and an improved signal over background ratio. Significantly better (than ALICE) charged track momentum resolution over a much wider range of acceptance.

- Calorimetry: Full electromagnetic and hadronic calorimetry for complete jet triggering and reconstruction over a very large solid angle, leading to large statistics measurements of single jet and jet+$X$ channels, where $X$ is another jet, a (virtual) $\gamma$, or a $Z^0$.

- Forward coverage: Unparalleled forward physics capabilities thanks to the forward hadronic calorimeters ($3 < |\eta| < 5$), CASTOR-TOTEM ($5.3 < |\eta| < 6.6$), and the ZDCs ($|\eta| > 8.3$ for neutrals).

- Trigger: The DAQ is capable of delivering almost every PbPb event to the High Level Trigger (with an equivalent $\sim 50$ Tflops computing power), allowing maximum flexibility to select rare probes. Having the event selection implemented in the software makes it easy to update, improve, and modify for a variety of physics programmes or to react to new discoveries.
Chapter 2

Global observables and event characterization

2.1 Introduction

Our current understanding of how bulk QCD matter behaves with increasing energy density is based on results from heavy-ion collisions up to a maximum energy of $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, currently achievable at the Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider (RHIC). Measurements at RHIC suggest that the initial state described by the concept of parton saturation is directly reflected in the multiplicity of produced hadrons and their phase-space distribution. These global features of multiparticle production, measured by PHOBOS and others [59, 60, 142, 153], exhibit great simplicity, such as factorization into separate dependencies on energy and collision geometry as well as the general feature of extended longitudinal scaling over a large fraction of the rapidity range [136, 137]. In CMS, the high tracking efficiency and low rate of fake tracks provide a precise measurement of global event characteristics. Forward angle coverage is essential for studying the complete longitudinal distribution as well as pushing to the lowest possible $x$ values. An extrapolation of existing results for the energy dependence of hadron production predicts a much lower multiplicity at the LHC, $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} \approx 1300$ [134, 135], than can be accommodated by most current models. Confirmation of, or disagreement with, these expectations will undoubtedly severely constrain our understanding of the initial conditions and early evolution of the dense matter formed in heavy-ion collisions.

Experience at SPS and RHIC has demonstrated that global variables, such as the charged-particle pseudorapidity density ($dN_{ch}/d\eta$), transverse energy (both $E_T$ and $dE_T/d\eta$), and energy of neutral spectators are essential for event categorization (i.e. to estimate the attained initial parton and energy densities in a $\bar{A}$ collision at a given centrality) in various analyses, as well as for placing important constraints on fundamental properties of particle production. The large coverage of the CMS tracking detectors ($|\eta| < 2.5$) and the nearly full coverage of the CMS calorimeters ($|\eta| \lesssim 6.3$) provides access to all these measurements with high precision. In particular, the large acceptance will allow detailed studies of trigger and event selection biases. The resulting event-characterization observables will be available on an event-by-event basis for all other studies, allowing detailed measurements as a function of the centrality, collision volume, and related (derivable) quantities such as the number of nucleon participants and nucleon-nucleon collisions.

What follows is a brief overview of how we can measure event-by-event charged particle multiplicities in PbPb collisions utilizing the innermost layer of the silicon pixel tracker, of how to determine the centrality in heavy-ion collisions, and of what would be the optimal Level-1 minimum-bias trigger to measure these global observables in both pp and PbPb col-
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2.2 Event-by-event charged particle multiplicity with the silicon tracker

The measurement of the primary charged particle pseudorapidity density in PbPb collisions will be one of the initial measurements of the CMS collaboration. A method for determination of the primary charged-particle multiplicity in heavy-ion events at CMS is outlined. Further details of the analysis can be found in Ref. [154].

The technique is based on the relation between the pseudorapidity distribution of reconstructed clusters in the innermost layer of the pixel tracker ($|\eta| < 2.5$) and that of charged-particle tracks originating from the primary vertex. This study is performed using a set of 100 PbPb heavy-ion events with a midrapidity charged particle yield of $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} \approx 5000$ generated using the HIJING Monte Carlo [52, 155] (with default settings) and run through the CMS detector simulation CMSIM 125. Hits from all charged particles in the first layer of the pixel barrel detector are first connected into clusters of hits to incorporate the possibility that a single charged particle could deposit energy in more than one pixel. This clustering algorithm is still effective for multiplicities as high as $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta \approx 5000$ at midrapidity, i.e. much larger than expected in central PbPb collisions at the LHC, as the occupancy in that scenario remains less than 2%.

As the amount of energy deposited is proportional to the length traversed in the silicon, charged particle tracks with longer path lengths will deposit more energy. Thus, at higher pseudorapidities, or shallower angles, the charged particle path length from the “true” interaction point through the silicon in the barrel region is longer, resulting in a $\cosh \eta$ dependence of the energy deposited with pseudorapidity $\eta$, see Fig. 2.1 left. Background (or secondary) particles originating from dead material (e.g. the beam-pipe) produced at an effective high $\eta$ will deposit less energy as they will primarily be emitted perpendicular to the beam $z$-axis and thus will traverse less silicon. These background particles can be removed from the analysis via a cut in $\cosh \eta$ that selects only energy loss in the silicon consistent with particles emanating from the true collision vertex, see Fig. 2.1 right.

Once the background component of the secondaries is removed, the measured data can be corrected back to the number of primary charged particles via a correction function determined from Monte Carlo studies. The correction factor is essentially a ratio of $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$ for primary tracks to $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$ for reconstructed hits in layer 1 of the silicon tracker — where the effect of background secondaries at higher $\eta$ has been removed as discussed previously. The average correction factor from these studies is found to be $\sim 0.83$ for PbPb roughly independent of pseudorapidity, see Fig. 2.2 left, where spikes in the correction function are the result of gaps in the detector for the chosen nominal interaction point of the colliding Pb ions. It is worth noting that if all secondary particle hits are removed in the simulation, the baseline of the correction factor is found to be close to one, illustrating that the geometrical coverage of CMS is uniform and complete. The correction factor shown is thus primarily a consequence of the secondary particles produced in the collision. It will be necessary to apply this correction to the data in order to extract the primary charged particle production.

The results of this analysis applied to one PbPb event is shown in Fig. 2.2-right. On an event-by-event basis, the charged particle multiplicity estimated from the innermost layer of the
2.3 Centrality determination

Determining the impact parameter, $b$, or centrality of a heavy-ion collision is extremely important for event characterization. Knowledge of the reaction centrality provides a geometrical scale of the overlapping region between the colliding nuclei for use in any studies of the reaction dynamics.

Figure 2.1: Total ADC count for reconstructed hits in the first layer of the silicon pixel detector as a function of pseudorapidity, $\eta$, which is calculated event-by-event relative to that event’s primary vertex. Left: Energy deposition in the pixel clusters, which varies as $\cosh \eta$ for tracks originating from the primary vertex and is largely flat for background particles at high $\eta$. Right: Same distribution with the $\cosh \eta$ dependence factored out such that a simple cut can be utilized to remove hits at high $\eta$ from the other (non-primary) sources.

Figure 2.2: Left: The $\eta$-dependent correction factor used to convert the measured $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$, which include secondaries, to the primary charged particle multiplicity. Right: Comparison of the original distribution of primary simulated tracks (black large points) to the estimate obtained from the reconstructed hits in layer 1 of the Si tracker (red smaller points with statistical error bars, the grey band indicates the estimated systematical uncertainty).

silicon tracker using this technique is within $\sim 2\%$ of the true (simulated) primary multiplicity. Final systematic uncertainties are estimated to be below 10\%, based on experience with a similar analysis using the PHOBOS detector at RHIC [156].
the underlying collision dynamics and affords the possibility of a meaningful comparison to baseline data from simpler proton-proton or proton-nucleus collisions. The primary event centrality in high-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions is determined by measuring the charged particle multiplicities or transverse energies in various regions of pseudorapidity. These signals, through bins in the percentage of total cross section, provide a measure of centrality. The validity of this technique is based on the assumption that there is a monotonic relation between the charged particle multiplicity or the transverse energy and the amount of nucleus-nucleus overlap.

In CMS, a simple way to determine the impact parameter on an event-by-event basis, is to use the transverse energy deposited in the calorimeters, \( E_T^{\text{tot}} \), which decreases strongly from central to peripheral collisions [157]. Due to its relatively low initial parton density, the very forward rapidity region covered by the CMS Hadron Forward (HF) or CASTOR calorimeters, \(|\eta| > 3\), is expected to be nearly free of final-state rescattering compared to the central rapidity region. Therefore the (transverse) energy deposition in the CMS forward calorimeters is determined mainly by the initial nuclear geometry of the collision rather than by final-state dynamics [157, 158].

The impact parameter dependence of the total transverse energy produced in the pseudorapidity interval \( 3 < |\eta| < 5 \) (covered by the HF acceptance), as obtained at the generator-level with HIJING [52, 155], is presented in Fig. 2.3 for PbPb collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5 \) TeV and ArAr collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 6.3 \) TeV. The \( E_T - b \) correlation is diffuse due to fluctuations in the nucleus-nucleus collision dynamics, including fluctuations in the number of nucleon-nucleon sub-collisions at a given \( b \) and fluctuations of transverse energy flow in each nucleus-nucleon interaction. Inclusion of the detector response obtained with a full GEANT3-based CMS simulation [158] has shown that the finite energy and spatial resolutions of the HF calorimeter do not substantially degrade the accuracy of the impact parameter determination. The correlation between the total energy and the impact parameter has a similar shape to the \( E_T - b \) correlation. The impact parameter distribution at fixed values of \( E_T \) is Gaussian-like with a width, \( \sigma_b \), dependent on impact parameter. The estimated resolution \( \sigma_b \) is about \( \sim 0.5 \) fm for PbPb and ArAr collisions, at impact parameter up to twice the nuclear radius, \( b \sim 2R_A \). It is degraded by a factor of \( \sim 2 \) for very peripheral collisions, \( b > 2R_A \), due to the reduction of the produced energy in the HF pseudorapidity region. In order to improve the centrality determination for peripheral events, a correlation of the HF transverse energy with the total neutral energy deposited in the Zero Degree Calorimeters (ZDCs) is foreseen. Such a correlation technique has become de facto the standard for centrality determination by all experiments at RHIC.

Applying the method described here, any particle production rate, \( N_X \), can be measured in bins of \( E_T^{\text{tot}} \). The \( b \) and \( E_T^{\text{tot}} \) dependencies of \( N_X \) can be related by the \( E_T^{\text{tot}} - b \) correlation functions \( C_{AA} \) with corresponding Gaussian widths \( \sigma_{E_T} \) and \( \sigma_b \):

\[
N_X(E_T^{\text{tot}}) = \int d^2b N_X(b) C_{AA}(E_T^{\text{tot}}, b), \quad C_{AA}(E_T^{\text{tot}}, b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{E_T}(b)} \exp \left( -\frac{(E_T^{\text{tot}} - E_T^{\text{tot}}(b))^2}{2\sigma_{E_T}(b)^2} \right),
\]

\[
N_X(b) = \int dE_T^{\text{tot}} N_X(E_T^{\text{tot}}) C_{AA}(b, E_T^{\text{tot}}), \quad C_{AA}(b, E_T^{\text{tot}}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_b(E_T^{\text{tot}})} \exp \left( -\frac{(b - \overline{b}(E_T^{\text{tot}}))^2}{2\sigma_b(E_T^{\text{tot}})^2} \right),
\]

Similarly to what has just been described for the HF, also the CASTOR calorimeter can pro-
Figure 2.3: Correlation between the impact parameter \( b \) of the collision and the transverse energy, \( E_T \), measured in the forward rapidities covered by HF, \( 3 < \eta < 5 \), for 1000 minimum bias PbPb and ArAr collisions generated with HIJING [158].

Figure 2.4 shows again a monotonic correlation between the transverse energy produced in CASTOR pseudorapidity coverage and the impact parameter, \( b \), of the nuclear collision, according to the HIJING event generator. From the width of this bidimensional correlation plot (or explicitly from the right plot of Fig. 2.4 obtained from the total energy deposited in CASTOR) we see that the resolution of the \( b \) variable, as extracted solely from CASTOR data, is around 0.6 fm.

By combining information from various independent measurements, such as the \( E_T \) measurements in both HF and in both CASTOR calorimeters (on each side of the interaction point), plus the forward energy of spectator forward neutrons measured in both ZDCs, we can certainly determine the impact parameter of the reaction with an improved experimental resolution of a few tenths of fm.

2.4 Minimum-bias trigger at Level 1

2.4.1 Introduction

The minimum bias trigger in both pp and heavy-ion collisions serves many purposes in terms of absolute (high-level-trigger) normalization, detector performance and consistency determination, as well as evaluation of the event reconstruction quality. The resulting dataset will also provide valuable physics results to characterize the global properties of the (underlying) event in these collisions. In this section, sources of possible bias will be discussed in the context of good or bad triggering schemes for implementing a minimum bias trigger, where high efficiency and purity are desired. To better convey why this trigger is important
CASTOR transv. energy - impact parameter correlation  
( HIJING: Pb+Pb, 3000 minimum bias events, b=0-15 fm, 5.3<|η|<6.7 )

Impact parameter determination vs. CASTOR energy  
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Figure 2.4: Left: Correlation between the impact parameter, \( b \), and the transverse energy, \( E_T \), deposited in the forward rapidities, \( 5.3 < |\eta| < 6.7 \), covered by the CASTOR calorimeter, as simulated with HIJING for 3000 minimum bias PbPb collisions. Right: Impact parameter resolution in PbPb collisions using the total energy measured in CASTOR.

for both proton and heavy-ion running conditions, a brief discussion of its most probable use, in each physics analysis context, follows.

In pp collisions, the design luminosity is expected to be so large that 20 collisions per beam crossing (or read-out event) are expected. In this large collection of data are both the event of interest (e.g., a jet event) plus 19 other pileup events which are effectively true minimum bias collisions (true means no bias is applied). To study the events of interest, one must first understand the “uninteresting” background events. Analysis of these partner events will begin with the low luminosity pp data, expected at the start-up of the LHC machine. This data will also be used to analyse global properties of hadron production – \( dN_{ch}/d\eta \), \( dE_T/d\eta \), charged particle spectra and others – as reference for the heavy-ion physics programme.

In the ion-ion running mode, the first measurements are likely to be based on the initial minimum bias dataset. The minimum bias dataset is a vital reference for the geometrical overlap of the colliding nuclei (centrality). Besides, there are also some direct physics measurements that can only be made from this data sample such as the charged particle multiplicity, azimuthal anisotropy (elliptic flow), and particle spectra.

2.4.2 Minimum bias in pp collisions at 14 TeV

In order to evaluate the most effective way to trigger on minimum bias events, one must first consider the total underlying particle distributions, \( dN/d\eta \). To form the minimum bias sample studied here, \textsc{pythia} subprocesses 92, 93 and 94 (diffractive events) as well as 95 (low \( p_T \) production) were enabled. Thus, for these simulations, the total inelastic cross section of minimum bias events is 79 mb, including a 55 mb hard core, as well as 14 and 10 mb for single and double diffractive events, respectively. In Fig. 2.5, the expected primary and final hadron distributions predicted by \textsc{pythia} [159] are presented. Primary hadrons are defined as those which are produced close to the initial collision vertex and are not decayed (for example, \( \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \) decays are not considered). Final hadrons are those which reach the
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detectors. (In these examples, particles produced from dead material are not included.) Due to the \( \pi^0 \) decay, almost all neutral hadrons fail to reach the hadronic detectors.

Figure 2.5: Hadron multiplicity as a function of pseudo-rapidity in pp minimum bias collisions at 14 TeV (PYTHIA 6.4, see text for details): Initially produced hadrons (left), and remaining hadrons after removing all particle decays (right).

Once the number of particles is known, restrictions on the data can be placed to mimic the detector response to the physical limitations that will be applied at the triggering level. Figure 2.6 shows the final particles (black markers - top curve) with two trigger conditions applied. First, a cut on total energy, \( E > 1 \text{ GeV} \), is applied to the final particles (blue markers - middle curve). This cut represents a realistic noise level for the tower read-out of the Level 1 trigger and results in a significantly reduced number of particles reaching the detectors in the central rapidity region (\( |\eta| < 3.0 \)). The more forward region (\( 3.0 < |\eta| < 5.0 \), corresponding to the coverage of the forward calorimeters, HF) is only mildly affected. Second, a cut of transverse energy \( E_T > 1 \text{ GeV} \) is applied to the final particles (red markers - bottom curve). In this case all regions are significantly affected. The integrated particle yields for the central and HF regions are given in Table 2.1.

| Cut (GeV) | \( 3.0 < |\eta| < 5.0 \) | \( |\eta| < 3.0 \) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \( E > 0 \) | 44              | 70              |
| \( E > 1 \) | 42              | 39              |
| \( E_T > 1 \) | 4               | 10              |

Table 2.1: Final hadrons predicted by PYTHIA for minimum bias pp collisions at \( \sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV} \), integrated in the HF (\( 3.0 < |\eta| < 5.0 \)) and central calorimeter (\( |\eta| < 3.0 \)) regions.

The next step is to simulate the full response of the detectors in a more realistic environment. For these studies, full CMS Monte Carlo (ORCA/OSCAR) simulations are used, where particles from dead material (e.g. secondary particles originating from the beam-pipe) are also included. These minimum bias events were generated from PYTHIA 6.2 with the same diffraction and low \( p_T \) subprocesses activated as for the prior generator level study. Figure 2.7 shows the number of simulated tracks hitting the detector. The histogram represents all initially generated particles (from the pp vertex), the dark-shaded region shows the particles...
Figure 2.6: The same data as in Fig. 2.5, but with additional cuts to mimic hadronic calorimeter tower thresholds for total ($E$) and transverse ($E_T$) energy. The shaded regions show the HF pseudorapidity coverage.

that hit the detector, and the light-shaded region represents particles that do not originate from the initial vertex. In the forward region, additional hits from these secondary particles help provide a trigger for the minimum bias events.

**Optimal design for pp minimum bias triggering**

To maximize efficiency, it is desirable to trigger on regions with a large number of particles. Studies thus far show that by applying a total energy cut ($E > 1$ GeV), one should expect on average 21 hadrons in each HF, for a total of 42 (see Table 2.1). This number is significantly reduced after the transverse energy cut, when only 2 particles in each HF are expected, on average. In order to reject “non-collision” events, such as those due to beam-gas collisions, the trigger should require a coincidence of signals from both HF calorimeters (at the expense of reducing the efficiency for single diffractive processes). The rejection of such spurious events would not be achievable using only the central calorimeter as a trigger.

The trigger read-out at level 1 will utilize towers. For the central barrel and endcaps each hadronic cell is one tower, whereas in the forward calorimeter (HF) several cells are summed. An optimal minimum bias triggering scheme would be to count the number of towers in the HFs separately in the positive and negative $\eta$-regions. By requiring a specific number of towers, $n$, on both sides, a minimum bias trigger can be defined. As an example, Fig. 2.8 left shows the number of towers with energy above threshold in total energy $E$ for positive (P) and negative (N) HF $\eta$-regions. By requiring more than 10 towers in both HF calorimeters, an efficiency of $> 90\%$ can be obtained. This threshold can be increased in the case of higher backgrounds, at the expense of efficiency, as illustrated in Fig. 2.8-right.
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Figure 2.7: Pseudo-rapidity distribution of the generated tracks (upper curve), the tracks reaching the detector (dark-shaded area), and the tracks not originating from the primary vertex (light-shaded area) in fully simulated minimum bias pp collisions at 14. TeV.

Figure 2.8: Left: Number of HF towers hit above threshold for towers cut on total energy, $E$. Right: Estimated loss of low multiplicity events due to triggering requirements on $n_{\text{Towers}}$ for cuts on $E$. See text for discussion.

Repeating the above logic with transverse energy ($E_T$) rather than total energy, we see that the efficiency is significantly reduced, as illustrated in Fig. 2.9. Requiring $n_{\text{Towers}} > 1$ for this case gives only $\sim 60\%$ efficiency. This extremely low threshold and correspondingly poor efficiency severely restricts options for handling unexpectedly high backgrounds.
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2.4.3 Minimum bias in PbPb collisions at 5.5 TeV

To first order, one can classify minimum bias pp collisions (albeit at 14 TeV) as a very peripheral PbPb collision. From the studies already performed on the pp dataset, we concluded that it is possible to trigger with an efficiency greater than 90%. The purpose of the following studies is to check whether applying the same restrictions in heavy ions as for 14 TeV pp collisions will result in at least the same number of final particles and therefore a correspondingly good trigger efficiency. First, heavy-ion events are simulated with the HYDJET Monte Carlo [160] (see Appendix I) for various impact parameters ranging from $b = 0\, \text{fm}$ (central) to $b = 13.5\, \text{fm}$ (peripheral). Cuts in total and transverse energy have been applied to the generated data to check the total number of particles expected in HF. From this, the number of towers expected to be hit is known from full pp simulations.

Figure 2.10 illustrates the expected charged hadron multiplicity (black markers - top curve) from the HYDJET event generator. The maximum multiplicity considered is $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} \approx 3000$ (compared to about $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} \approx 8$ for pp at 14 TeV). The vastly increased number of particles represents a clear advantage in triggering. However, we find that although the number of particles is large, the same relative reduction is found from applying cuts on total (blue markers - middle curve) and transverse (red markers - bottom curve) energy. Although triggering will be possible for the most central collisions with transverse energy cuts, more peripheral collisions (with lower multiplicity) will suffer from inefficiency.

Following the proposed minimum bias triggering scheme for pp, Fig. 2.11 illustrates the expected number of particles in the HF pseudo-rapidity region versus impact parameter from the Monte Carlo simulation. Clearly, the number of particles hitting the detectors is expected to be large for very central collisions and decreases strongly for peripheral collisions. This large number of charged particles in the forward region is relatively insensitive to a small change in the total energy threshold of the trigger towers, as illustrated by the $E > 5\, \text{GeV}$ cut in Fig. 2.11-left. To estimate the loss of data in triggering due to the lower multiplicity peripheral events, Fig. 2.11-right gives the expected number of particles in the forward regions as a function of $b$ on a log scale. The dashed line represents the equivalent multiplicity in the minimum bias pp collisions at the point where the efficiency will begin to fall. For total
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Figure 2.10: Pseudo-rapidity distribution of charged hadrons in central PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$ TeV as generated by the HYDJET Monte Carlo simulation. Particle selection to mimic the level-1 trigger are applied for total ($E$) and transverse ($E_T$) energy. 

energy cuts, only events with $b > 12$ fm suffer from inefficiency, relative to the pp baseline. A cut on transverse energy reduces the particle number and, thus, the trigger would become inefficient for smaller impact parameters, i.e. less peripheral collisions.

Figure 2.11: Total number of final particles produced in PbPb minimum bias collisions within the HF $\eta$ windows, as a function of $b$, for cuts on total (left) and transverse (right) energies. The dashed line represents the equivalent minimum bias pp baseline.

One final, but important, point concerns the question of why a large efficiency is needed for heavy-ion collisions. In many pp analyses, ignoring the cross section is not very important because many physics quantities can be compared with, or be classified by, the total
measured multiplicity. In heavy ion studies, however, it is important to map the measured multiplicities to the number of participating nucleons \(N_{\text{part}}\), number of binary collisions \(N_{\text{coll}}\), impact parameter \(b\), and so on. This requires knowing the total inelastic cross section and the fractional cross section in a specific centrality bin. As these quantities are not directly available, the total number of minimum bias events, their efficiency (e.g. triggering efficiency) and the corresponding fractional cross section are needed. As the triggering efficiency directly enters this analysis, any inefficiency raises the relative uncertainty, and thus increases the uncertainty in modeled parameters such as \(N_{\text{part}}\), which are necessary in any detailed analysis of the centrality dependences of physics signals, as well as in model comparisons. Thus, compromising the triggering efficiency in the minimum bias heavy-ion sample will increase the uncertainties of many physics analyses.

### 2.5 Transverse energy flow

The transverse energy rapidity density \(dE_T/d\eta\) is related, via the simple “Bjorken estimate”, to the energy density attained in a AA reaction (see Fig. 1.6, right). In several instances of this chapter as well as in chapter 4, the distribution of the transverse energy measured in different detectors has been presented in the context of discussions on reaction centrality and reaction plane determination. The measurement of \(dE_T/d\eta\) in CMS will be possible over the largest rapidity range at the LHC — ECAL-HCAL: \(|\eta| < 5\), CASTOR: \(5.3 < |\eta| < 5.6\), and the ZDCs: \(|\eta| > 8.3\) for neutrals. Comparison of these measurements with the predictions of hydrodynamical models will provide valuable insights on the thermodynamical conditions as well as on the longitudinal extent of the produced strongly interacting system.

### 2.6 Summary

We have analyzed the potential of CMS to study global observables in heavy-ion collisions, such as the event-by-event charged-particle multiplicities and the collision centrality, and we have presented the Level-1 trigger strategy for pp and PbPb collisions.

We have shown that the charged-particle multiplicity can be determined, on an event-by-event basis, using the innermost pixel layer of the silicon tracker, with an accuracy of \(\sim 2\%\). Systematic uncertainties are estimated to be below 10%, based on experience with a similar analysis using the PHOBOS detector at RHIC.

The impact parameter can be estimated, also on an event-by-event basis, using the transverse energy deposited in the forward CMS calorimeters, which monotonically decreases from central to peripheral collisions. The resolution of this measurement is about 0.5 fm for impact parameter values up to two nuclear radii, for PbPb and ArAr collisions.

Finally, we have shown that requiring a similar number of calorimeter towers hit in both HF calorimeters (read out as total energy) should provide an optimal minimum bias level-1 trigger for pp and PbPb collisions, besides providing useful information for further background rejection.
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Low $p_T$ hadron spectra

3.1 Introduction

The reconstruction of low $p_T$ charged and neutral hadrons (yields, spectra and correlations) is crucial to characterize the collective properties of the system produced in nucleus-nucleus collisions at the LHC (see Section 1.4.2). In pp collisions, the measurement of high $p_T$ observables also requires good understanding of the characteristics of the underlying event and backgrounds which are dominated by soft $p_T$ spectra [161].

In CMS, the measurement of charged particle trajectories is achieved primarily using the silicon tracker with both pixels and strips, embedded in the 4 T field, and with geometric coverage over $|\eta| < 2.5$. The highly segmented silicon pixel tracker consists of three barrel layers (with about 4, 7 and 11 cm radius) and two endcap disks, embedded in a 4 T magnetic field. There are about 66 million pixels with an area of $100 \times 150 \, \mu m^2$ and a thickness of 300 $\mu m$. The strip part is a combination of single- and double-sided layers with ten barrel and nine forward layers on each side (9.3 million channels). The silicon tracker has excellent reconstruction performance for $p_T > 1$ GeV/$c$: 95% efficiency for charged hadrons with high $p_T$, better than 98% for muons in pp and pA collisions and around 75% for central PbPb (see Section 7.3.2). The reconstruction capabilities at lower $p_T$ are limited by the high magnetic field and effects of the detector material. In addition, in central AA collisions the high occupancy of the silicon strips (see Fig. 3.1) makes the inclusion of these strips in charged particle tracking difficult [162]. Using only silicon pixels allows the same analysis to be used for low multiplicity pp, pA and high multiplicity AA events. At the same time, it enables the reconstruction of very low $p_T$ particles, even down to 0.1 GeV/$c$ for pions, with low fake rate.

3.2 Track reconstruction

The default track reconstruction algorithm in the CMS tracker for charged particles above 1 GeV/$c$ is based on seeded pattern recognition using a Kalman Filter formalism with four phases: (i) trajectory seed generation in the pixel detector, (ii) trajectory building (inside-out propagation), (iii) trajectory cleaning (resolution of ambiguities), and (iv) trajectory smoothing (final fit) [163, 164]. Several modifications are needed in the heavy-ion environment [162] to cope with the high occupancy in the Si-strip detectors (see Section 7.3.2). The alternative tracking algorithm described here allows one to reconstruct tracks down to 0.1 GeV/$c$ using just the three Si pixel layers, with the modified hit triplet finding and cleaning procedures discussed below.
3.2.1 Modified hit triplet finding

In our track finding procedure we start by pairing two hits from different layers (see Figure 3.2). During the search for the third hit, the following requirements must be fulfilled: the track must come from the cylinder of origin (given by its radius, half-length and position along the beam-line); the $p_T$ of the track must be above the minimal value $p_T,\text{min}$; and the track must be able to reach the layer where the third hit may be located. In the small volume of the pixel detector the magnetic field is practically constant and the charged particles propagate on helices. The projection of a helix or a cylinder onto the transverse plane is a circle. Each requirement defines a region of allowed track trajectories. They are enclosed by a pair of limiting circles which can be constructed using simple geometrical transformations. A third hit candidate is accepted if its position is within the expected deviation from multiple scattering. The details are described in Ref. [165].

3.2.2 Triplet cleaning

While high $p_T$ tracks are relatively clean, hits can often be combined to form fake low $p_T$ tracks. Therefore, it is important to filter out this undesirable background. A hit contains much more information than merely its position. The geometrical shape of the hit cluster depends on the angle of incidence of the particle: bigger angles will result in longer clusters. This observation can be exploited in various ways. It can be used to check whether the measured shape of the cluster is compatible with the predicted angle of incidence of the track: if even one of the hits in the triplet is not compatible, the triplet is removed from the list of track candidates. The connection between the geometrical envelope and the angle can be obtained from simulation or from data [165].
3.2. Track reconstruction

Figure 3.2: Left: Schematic comparison of the standard straight line prediction and the new helix prediction for finding the third hit. Limiting trajectories (solid blue) that touch the cylinder of origin cut out an arc (thick green) from the barrel layer of the third hit candidates. In the standard method, the azimuth of the outer hit, $P_2$, is used (solid black arrow).

Right: Transverse momentum distributions of the charged particles: simulated (solid red), accepted (green dashed) and reconstructed, with the standard method (with a minimum $p_T$ of 0.075 GeV/$c$, dotted black) or with the new helix method (dotted blue).

3.2.3 Low $p_T$ tracking results

The low-$p_T$ reconstruction studies are based on 25 000 minimum bias pp events (generated with PYTHIA [159], with the default minimum bias settings described in the previous chapter), reconstructed using the GEANT4 simulation package (ORCA v. 8.13.0) with the modified hit triplet finding. The algorithm uses standard settings, except for a much lower minimum $p_T$ (0.075 GeV/$c$). Simulated and reconstructed tracks can be compared to each other by associating their hits using spatial distances. A simulated track is tagged as reconstructed if there is a reconstructed track such that all its hits are associated with hits of the simulated track. A reconstructed track is partially (fully) matched to a simulated one if at least one (all) of its hits are associated. With these notions the following properties can be defined:

- **Acceptance**: ratio between reconstructible and all generated tracks. A charged particle is reconstructible if its vertex is in the cylinder of origin and if it has hits in at least three different groups of pixel layers (out of: first, second and third barrel layers; closer and farther endcap layers).
- **Efficiency**: ratio of reconstructed to reconstructible tracks. This is the fraction of reconstructible tracks which are indeed found.
- **Multiple counting**: fraction of simulated tracks which are reconstructed more than once (have more than one full match). They are mostly loopers.
- **Fake rate**: fraction of reconstructed tracks which have one or two correctly matched hits to any of the simulated tracks, but not all the three. They are from combinatorial background.

**Acceptance.** The acceptance of the pixel detector is limited in both $\eta$ and $p_T$. The limitation in $\eta$ is a purely geometric effect, while in $p_T$ it is due to the fact that a charged primary particle
can reach the third pixel barrel only if its transverse momentum is $p_T > 0.003 \cdot B \cdot R_3/2 \approx 60 \text{ MeV}/c$ (with $B = 4 \text{ T}$ and $R_3 \approx 10 \text{ cm}$). In reality, a higher momentum is needed because the particle loses energy (and momentum) in the beam-pipe, in the crossed pixel layers and in the supports. The specific energy loss is a function of $\beta\gamma = p/m$. Therefore, it is different for particles having the same momentum but a different mass. This reduces the accepted $p_T$ range of the protons and kaons with respect to the pions. The acceptance is also slightly influenced by the multiple scattering, which predominantly affects the heavier particles.

The acceptances rise sharply with $p_T$ (see Fig. 3.3-left), and become approximately flat above $p_T$ values around 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 GeV/$c$, respectively for pions, kaons and protons. In the range $|\eta| < 2$, their averages are 0.88 (pions), 0.85 (kaons) and 0.84 (protons).

**Efficiency.** The reconstruction efficiencies rise sharply with $p_T$ (see Fig. 3.3-right), and become nearly flat above $p_T$ values around 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 GeV/$c$, respectively for pions, kaons and protons. In the range $|\eta| < 1.5$, the average reconstruction efficiencies are 0.90, 0.90 and 0.86.

![Figure 3.3: Acceptance (left) and efficiency (right) as a function of $p_T$, for tracks in the range $|\eta| < 1$. Values are given separately for pions (circles), kaons (triangles) and (anti)protons (squares).](image)

**Multiple counting.** The fraction of multiply-counted tracks is less than 1% near $\eta = 0$, and it decreases with $\eta$ and $p_T$: it is at the per mil level for $|\eta| > 0.5$ or $p_T > 0.2 \text{ GeV}/c$.

**Fake rate.** Without triplet cleaning, the fake rate is $\sim 4\%$ at $\eta \sim 0$ and reaches $20\%$ at $|\eta| \sim 2$. With cleaning, the fake rate decreases very significantly (by a factor of 10), to around 0.5% and 2% at $\eta \sim 0$ and $\sim 2$, respectively. In the range $|\eta| < 1$, the fake rate decreases steeply with $p_T$, being about 4% at 0.1 GeV/$c$, 1% at 0.16 GeV/$c$ and at the per mil level for higher $p_T$ values.

**Resolution.** Figure 3.4-left shows, as a function of the generated $p_T$ and separately for pions, kaons and protons, the ratio between the reconstructed and the simulated $p_T$ (which we call "bias"). We see that the particles generated at low $p_T$ tend to be reconstructed with a slightly lower $p_T$ value, because of energy loss effects. This bias is negligible for high $p/m$ values but is quite significant for low momentum protons (or antiprotons): a correction of almost 10% is needed for protons of $p_T \sim 0.2 \text{ GeV}/c$. In what concerns the pseudorapidity variable, the reconstructed value agrees very well with the generated value, with less than 1% bias.
3.3 Neutral hadron (V0) and (converted) photon identification

Figure 3.4-right shows how the resolution of the reconstructed $p_T$ depends on the generated $p_T$. While at high $p_T$ values the resolution is $\sim 6\%$ for all particles, at low $p_T$ the multiple scattering and energy straggling effects are more important and lead to significantly degraded resolutions, in particular for protons.

![Figure 3.4: Degradation of the reconstructed $p_T$ as a function of the simulated $p_T$, in terms of “bias” (left) and resolution (right), for pions (circles), kaons (triangles) and (anti)protons (squares), in the range $|\eta| < 1$.](image)

**Performance under various conditions.** The performance of low $p_T$ reconstruction was studied under several running conditions. These studies are based on 25 000 minimum bias pp events (PYTHIA generator) and on 25 central HYDJET PbPb events with two multiplicity settings: total particle multiplicities 30 000 (“central”) and 15 000 (“mid-central”). In the PbPb case, the primary vertex of the event was determined first, with good precision, using high $p_T$ tracks. In a second step, the cylinder of origin was centered on this vertex, with a small half-length of 0.1 cm. In order to further reduce the reconstruction rate of fake tracks, the radius of the cylinder of origin was reduced to 0.1 cm. The reconstruction was made faster by increasing the minimum $p_T$ cut to 0.175 GeV/c.

The reconstruction efficiency is shown in Fig. 3.5, for pions, as a function of $\eta$ (left) and $p_T$ (right). Above $p_T$ around 0.4 GeV/c, the pion reconstruction efficiency in PbPb collisions is $\sim 90\%$, only 5% (or less) smaller than in pp collisions. Figure 3.6 shows that the reconstruction rate of fake tracks falls steeply with increasing $p_T$. It drops below 10% for $p_T \sim 0.2$ GeV/c in high-luminosity pp collisions and for $p_T \sim 0.4$ GeV/c in central PbPb collisions.

3.3 Neutral hadron (V0) and (converted) photon identification

We have seen in the previous sections that silicon detectors can detect charged particles with good position and momentum resolution. Some weakly-decaying neutral particles (V0s) such as $K^0_S$, $\Lambda$ and $\bar{\Lambda}$, have a sizeable probability to decay far from the primary event vertex ($c\tau = 2.68$ and 7.89 cm for $K^0_S$ and $\Lambda$, respectively). Their reconstruction is, thus, less challenging than that of other resonances decaying very close to the primary vertex. Such long-lived neutral particles can thus be reconstructed via their charged decay modes ($K^0_S \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-; \Lambda \rightarrow p\pi$).
Figure 3.5: Pion reconstruction efficiency as a function of pseudo-rapidity $\eta$ (left) and $p_T$ (right, for tracks in the range $|\eta| < 1$), for minimum bias pp events (squares) and for central PbPb collisions (circles). The difference in the $\eta$ dependence for pp and PbPb is mostly due to the different $p_{T,\text{min}}$ settings (0.075 and 0.175 GeV/$c$, respectively).

Figure 3.6: Reconstruction rate of fake tracks as a function of $p_T$, for tracks in the range $|\eta| < 1$, for single, low luminosity and high luminosity minimum bias pp events, and for central and mid-central PbPb collisions.

Likewise, the silicon detectors can be used to reconstruct photons through their conversion to $e^+e^-$ pairs in the material of the beam-pipe, silicon pixels and supports. For a thin material, the probability of conversion is approximately $7/9 \times X_0$, where $x$ is the thickness of the material and $X_0$ is its radiation length. For a thickness of 0.1 cm this amounts to a conversion probability of 0.22% in beryllium and 0.83% in silicon. While the physics process is quite different, photon conversions are very similar to decays in most other aspects and will be treated together.

The analysis presented here only uses charged particles reconstructed from pixel hit triplets. Therefore, we can only find neutral particles which decay up to the first pixel barrel layer. About half of the produced $K^0_S$ and $\Lambda$ particles satisfy this condition. The probability that a
particle decays within a radius $r$ is

$$P(r) = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{r m}{\tau p_T}\right)$$

(3.1)

which is independent of pseudo-rapidity $\eta$.

These studies are based on 25 000 single minimum bias (PYTHIA) pp events, reconstructed with the modified hit triplet finding discussed in the previous Section, using the standard settings except for a much wider cylinder of origin (originRadius = 3.0 cm) and a minimum $p_T$ of 0.075 GeV/$c$.

**V0 finding.** As already mentioned, in the small volume of the pixel detector the magnetic field is practically constant and the charged particles propagate on helices. The search for V0 candidates reduces to the determination of the closest point between two helices, as described in detail in Ref. [166].

**Cuts.** A neutral primary particle can only be formed if the two tracks have opposite electric charge. If there are $n$ reconstructed tracks in an event, the number of such pairs is around $\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2$. For high multiplicity events, the number of combinations is enormous. Therefore, it is important to properly filter tracks and track-pairs in order to speed up the computations and reduce the background.

The distribution of the distance between the decay vertex and the beam-line ($r$) is shown in Fig. 3.7-left. The $r$ distributions for V0s show an exponential behaviour, steeper for $K_S^0$ than for $\Lambda$, reflecting their different $c\tau$ values. The $r$ distribution for photons is completely different. There are two peaks at $r \approx 4.2$ and 4.7 cm, due to conversions in the inner and outer silicon wafers of the first pixel barrel layer (the conversions in the 1-mm beryllium beam-pipe at 4 cm are hardly visible). The background distribution peaks near zero, as expected, and a cut at 0.5 cm rejects a big fraction of the background. A more detailed discussion is presented in Ref. [166].

**Acceptance and efficiency.** In the plateau region $|\eta| < 1.5$, about 30% of the produced $K_S^0$ and about 20% of $\Lambda$ or $\bar{\Lambda}$ are reconstructed. Less photons are reconstructed, because they come mostly from $\pi^0$ decays and have low $p_T$.

**Mass spectra.** The invariant mass distribution of reconstructed $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ decays is shown in Fig. 3.7-right. The $K_S^0$ is reconstructed with a resolution of 16 MeV/$c^2$, with an average mass of 0.496 GeV/$c^2$, in agreement with the nominal mass value. Figure 3.8 shows the $\Lambda$ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ peaks, located at 1.114 GeV/$c^2$ and with a resolution of 6 MeV/$c^2$. The $dE/dx$ selection cut removes almost all the background.

**Performance.** In the case of single collisions or low-luminosity pp running, the resonances can be exclusively identified. For high-luminosity pp running or PbPb collisions, the inclusive yield can still be extracted, with a reasonable background.

### 3.4 Further developments

**Low $p_T$ tracking.** The study described above only used pixel hits. The reason for this limitation is the high occupancy in the strip layers, as already discussed in the introduction. Of course, even low $p_T$ particles leave many hits in the strip layers. The pixel triplets should give good seeding for the inclusion of the strip layers of the tracker, which could be used
Chapter 3. Low $p_T$ hadron spectra

Figure 3.7: Left: Distribution of the distance ($r$) between the "decay vertex" and the beamline for $K^0_S$, $\Lambda$ and converted photons. The position of the inner and outer silicon wafers of the first pixel barrel layer are clearly visible in the $r$ distribution of the photons. The scaled-down background is also shown. Right: Invariant mass distribution of reconstructed $K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$. The mass distribution of the background is indicated with a black dashed histogram. The results of a Gaussian fit to the signal are given in units of GeV/$c^2$.

Figure 3.8: Invariant mass distribution of reconstructed $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda$ baryons. The dotted black line on the left shows the distribution before using $dE/dx$ to select the secondary proton or antiproton, while the solid histograms (plotted on the right with adjusted vertical scale) give the final result. The mass and resolution values extracted by a Gaussian fit are given in units of GeV/$c^2$.

for tracking (in low multiplicity pp collisions) or for vetoing (in high multiplicity nucleus-nucleus collisions). This extension should improve the momentum resolution for high $p_T$ particles, where the curvature of the track is not sufficiently determined from the small volume of the pixel detector. Also in the case of the strip layers the analysis of the cluster shapes should provide useful information. In general, the use of the strip information should reduce the rate of fake tracks, although not many strip hits are expected for the lowest $p_T$ tracks (up to a few 100 MeV/$c$), where the fake tracks are most abundant.
3.5 Conclusions

**V0 reconstruction.** The found $\Lambda$s can be used for the reconstruction of other weakly-decaying parent baryons, if the impact parameter cut is relaxed. By adding a $\pi^-$, we can reconstruct the doubly strange $\Xi^-$, through the decay $\Xi^- \to \Lambda\pi^-$ (100% branching ratio). The combination with a $K^-$ gives the triple strange $\Omega^-$, which decays via $\Omega^- \to \Lambda K^-$ with 67.8% branching ratio. In these cases, the V0 finding corresponds to the analysis of the point of closest approach between the $\Lambda$ line and the helix of the $\pi^-$ or $K^-$. 

**Particle identification.** The most probable energy loss of the charged particles can be estimated from the charge deposited in the individual pixels of the clusters [167], using a maximum likelihood analysis on the well known physical model of energy loss. Even with only three hits, it gives 9–10% relative $dE/dx$ resolution for minimum ionising pions. In the case of very low momenta, it enables the identification of pions, kaons and protons. The inclusive yields can be extracted up to $p \sim 1$ GeV/$c$ for pions and kaons, and up to about 2 GeV/$c$ for protons. The expected $p_T$ distributions of pions, kaons and protons, for pp and PbPb collisions, are shown in Fig. 3.9.

![Figure 3.9: Reconstructed (solid lines) and generated (dotted lines) $p_T$ distributions of the pions, kaons and protons produced in 25 000 minimum bias pp Pythia events at 14 TeV (left) and in 125 central PbPb HYDJET events at 5.5 TeV/nucleon (right). The particles are shown separately where the unfolding of their $dE/dx$ distributions is possible [167].](image)

3.5 Conclusions

With a modified hit triplet finding algorithm, the pixel detector can be employed for the reconstruction of low $p_T$ charged hadrons in high luminosity pp collisions, as well as in PbPb reactions. The acceptance of the method extends down to 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 GeV/$c$ in $p_T$ for pions, kaons and protons, respectively. The fake track rate can be greatly reduced by using the geometrical shape of the pixel clusters. Acceptances and efficiencies around 80–90% can be achieved, with $\sim 6\%$ $p_T$ resolution in the midrapidity region. The fake track rate for single, low-luminosity and high-luminosity pp collisions is smaller than 2% above $p_T$ values of 0.13, 0.2 and 0.35 GeV/$c$, respectively. In case of central (mid-central) PbPb events the fake rate is below 10% (5%) for $p_T > 0.4$ GeV/$c$.

Although neutral particles do not create hits in the pixel detectors, they can be observed via their charged products. The combination of helices of secondary pions and/or protons...
enables the reconstruction of low $p_T$ weakly-decaying hadrons ($K_S^0$, $\Lambda$ and $\bar{\Lambda}$) decaying before the first pixel layer. The observed mass widths are compatible with the resolution of the momentum reconstruction. Low $p_T$ photons converting in the beam-pipe or in the first pixel barrel layer are also detectable.

In summary, the CMS detector is able to provide good quality data on low $p_T$ charged and neutral particle spectra and yields, thus contributing to the soft hadronic physics program at the LHC.
Chapter 4

Elliptic flow

4.1 Introduction

Measurements of the azimuthal anisotropy of particle production with respect to the reaction plane are one of the important tools for studying the properties of the dense matter created in ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions (see Section 1.4.3). At RHIC, the elliptic flow parameter, \(v_2\), defined as the second harmonic coefficient of the particle azimuthal distribution with respect to the reaction plane, Eq. (1.2), appears to grow monotonically with increasing \(p_T\) and saturates for \(p_T\) above \(\sim 2 \text{ GeV}/c\), at \(v_2 \approx 0.2\). Below \(p_T \approx 2 \text{ GeV}/c\), the amount of anisotropic flow for all hadron species can be well described by hydrodynamical models, indicating that the produced matter develops a strong collective flow in the first \(\sim 5 \text{ fm}/c\) of the collision and behaves as a “perfect fluid”, with a viscosity near a conjectured lower bound [29]. Above \(p_T \approx 2 \text{ GeV}/c\), particle production is dominated by the fragmentation of hard-scattered quarks and gluons. Azimuthal anisotropies arise from parton energy loss effects in the different medium path-lengths \(L\) traversed by the produced partons. Therefore, study of high-\(p_T\) particle production with respect to the reaction plane provides a quantitative cross-check of the expected \(L^2\) dependence of non-Abelian (gluon bremsstrahlung) energy loss models [169, 170]. Since the initial gluon densities in PbPb reactions at \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5 \text{ TeV}\) at the LHC are expected to be significantly higher than at RHIC, a stronger partonic energy loss and, correspondingly, larger high-\(p_T\) elliptic flow [44] is anticipated. In this chapter we analyze the capabilities of CMS calorimetric and tracker systems to study collective elliptic flow at low \(p_T\), and parton energy loss induced azimuthal anisotropies at high \(p_T\).

4.2 Reaction plane reconstruction

4.2.1 General method

Several methods have been proposed and used at the SPS and RHIC to determine the reaction plane. These can also be used at the LHC [171, 172]. The quantity under study in the most general case is the triple differential distribution with respect to the reaction plane, written as a Fourier series, Eq. (1.2). The reaction plane angle, \(\Psi_n\), can then be determined from the measured \(n\)-th harmonic via the equation [173]

\[
\tan n\Psi_n = \frac{\sum i \omega_i \sin n\varphi_i}{\sum i \omega_i \cos n\varphi_i},
\]

where \(\varphi_i\) is the azimuthal angle of the \(i\)-th particle, \(\omega_i\) is a weight, and the sum runs over all particles. A procedure to optimize the weights is usually needed in order to achieve
the best accuracy, e.g. using particle transverse momentum, \( \omega_i = p_T, \) or (for calorimetric measurements) energy deposition in calorimetric sector \( i \) at azimuthal angle \( \varphi_i, \omega_i = E_i(\varphi_i). \) The \( \Psi_n \) angle lies within \( -\pi/n < \Psi_n < \pi/n. \)

The distribution of the difference, \( \Delta \Psi_n, \) between the measured angle, \( \Psi_n, \) and the “true” angle, \( \Psi_0, \) is independent of \( \Psi_0 \) if non-flow particle correlations are neglected, and has the form [65, 173]

\[
\frac{dw}{d(n \Delta \Psi_n)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ e^{-\xi^2/2} + \xi \sqrt{\pi/2} \cos (n \Delta \Psi_n) \left[ e^{-\xi^2 \sin^2 (n \Delta \Psi_n)/2} \left( 1 + \text{erf} \left( \frac{\xi \cos (n \Delta \Psi_n)}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right) \right] \right],
\]

(4.2)

where \( w \) is the weighting variable. The dimensionless parameter \( \xi \) depends on particle multiplicity and strength of the anisotropy, and determines the resolution of the reaction plane: \( \Psi_n(\xi \rightarrow \infty) = \Psi_0. \)

When the azimuthal distribution of particles is described by an elliptic form, as is the case in non-central collisions where the initial state is characterized by an anisotropic distribution in coordinate-space given by the lens- or almond-like shape of the overlapping zone (Fig. 1.14 left), then

\[
\frac{dN}{d\varphi} = \frac{N_0}{2\pi} \left[ 1 + 2v_2 \cos 2(\varphi - \Psi_0) \right], \quad N_0 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\varphi \frac{dN}{d\varphi},
\]

(4.3)

where \( N_0 \) is the total particle multiplicity in the event, and the reaction plane angle \( \Psi_0 \) can be determined via the second harmonic, \( n = 2 \) in Eq. (4.1). The elliptic flow coefficient \( v_2 \) is \( \langle \cos 2(\varphi - \Psi_0) \rangle. \)

![Figure 4.1](image)

Figure 4.1: Left: The accuracy of the determination of the elliptic flow coefficient, \( v_2(\xi)/v_2(\xi \rightarrow \infty) \), as a function of the resolution parameter \( \xi. \) Right: Event plane resolution, \( \sigma(\Psi_0), \) as a function of impact parameter in PbPb collisions with \( N_0 (b = 0 \text{ fm}) = 58 000 \) (solid histogram) and 84 000 (dashed histogram) total particle multiplicities.

Figure 4.1 (left) shows the resolution-dependence of the \( v_2 \) determination, defined as the ratio of the “measured” value, \( v_2(\xi) = \langle \cos 2(\varphi - \Psi_2) \rangle, \) to the “true” value, \( v_2(\xi \rightarrow \infty) = \langle \cos 2(\varphi - \Psi_0) \rangle \) [65, 173]. This dependence has a universal form for different absolute val-
4.2. Reaction plane reconstruction

Values of \( \xi = 2.5 \) reduces the observed anisotropy by 10%, while \( \xi = 0.8 \) results in suppressing the effect by a factor of 2.

Note that the procedure described above for the analysis of the azimuthal anisotropies relies on the assumption that collective elliptical flow is the dominant source of particle correlations. However, there exist other known physical sources of azimuthal correlations, such as minijet production, global momentum conservation, resonance decays (where the decay products are correlated), final state Coulomb, strong or quantum interactions. The importance of these non-flow contributions can be assessed using different methods to determine \( v_2 \) and using detectors covering different ranges of pseudorapidity.

4.2.2 Generator level studies

In most of the available Monte Carlo heavy-ion event generators, azimuthal anisotropies with respect to the reaction plane are either ignored or inadequately implemented. The fast Monte Carlo event generator HYDJET (HYDrodynamics plus JETs, see Appendix 1) [160, 174] has been developed to be able, among other things, to simulate flow effects in high-energy heavy ion collisions. The accuracy of event plane determination is mainly sensitive to two model factors: the strength of the elliptic flow signal, and the particle multiplicity of the event \( N_0 \). We used a set of 1000 HYDJET PbPb events, without jet quenching, for each centrality bin, covering the range of impact parameters from \( b = 0 \text{ fm} \) to \( b = 2 R_A \) (\( R_A \approx 6.7 \text{ fm} \)). The mean total multiplicity of the soft part of central PbPb event was 26,000, that corresponds to mean total multiplicity \( N_0 (b = 0 \text{ fm}) \approx 58,000 \) and mean charged particle density at \( \eta = 0 \) the \( dN_{ch}/d\eta (b = 0 \text{ fm}) = 3000 \). Stable particles (charged pions and kaons, protons, neutrons, photons and electrons), within the pseudorapidity window \( |\eta| < 3 \) (CMS barrel+endcap calorimetry acceptance), were considered for the event plane analysis using \( n = 2 \) and \( \omega_i = p_T i \) in Eq. (4.1). An additional cut \( p^0_T > 0.8 \text{ GeV/c} \) on the charged particle transverse momentum was applied in order to take into account the fact that charged particles with smaller \( p_T \) do not generally reach the calorimeter since they curl up in the 4 T magnetic field and are absorbed in the material in front of it.

Figure 4.1 (Iright) shows the calculated resolution \( \sigma(\Psi_0) \) — defined as the width of a Gaussian fit to the distribution of the difference between the generated and the reconstructed azimuthal angles of the reaction plane — as a function of impact parameter in PbPb collisions. The interplay of multiplicity and anisotropic flow in opposite centrality directions results in the best resolution being obtained in semi-central collisions. Here, semi-central collisions have an impact parameter on the order of the nuclear radius, \( b \approx R_A \). In order to demonstrate the influence of the multiplicity on the accuracy of the event plane determination, we also calculated the resolution for “high” multiplicity events (obtained by increasing mean multiplicity of the soft part of the event up to ~ 52,000 particles in central PbPb collisions and corresponding to mean total event multiplicity \( N_0 (b = 0 \text{ fm}) \approx 84,000 \)). Increasing the soft multiplicity by a factor of 2 results in an improvement of the resolution by a factor ~ 1.7 with no significant dependence on the event centrality.

Introducing jet quenching into the model results in a rise of the particle multiplicity in the event and the generation of some additional elliptic flow in the high-\( p_T \) region. The estimated improvement on the event plane resolution is around 20–25% for both lower and higher multiplicities.
4.2.3 Reaction plane via calorimetry

A detailed description of the CMS calorimetric system can be found in the Technical Design Reports [175, 176]. The electromagnetic (ECAL) and hadron (HCAL) calorimeters cover the pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 3$ (i.e. $|\eta| < 1.5$ for the barrel part, and $1.5 < |\eta| < 3$ for the endcaps). A pair of quartz-fiber forward calorimeters (HF) cover the region $3 < |\eta| < 5$ and, together with the CASTOR and ZDC calorimeters, complement the energy measurement at very forward rapidities.

The capability of the CMS calorimetric system to study elliptic energy flow and jet azimuthal anisotropy in heavy-ion collisions was studied with the GEANT3-based CMS simulation package for the first time in Ref. [177], using a very high multiplicity value, $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} = 8000$ (corresponding to $N_0 \approx 100,000$) in central PbPb collisions, and not including jet production. An update of this analysis has been performed for a more realistic value of HYDJET event multiplicity, $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} = 3000$ (corresponding to $N_0 \approx 58,000$) in central PbPb collisions. The CMS calorimeter responses were obtained with the GEANT4-based CMS simulation code OSCAR3.9.8 [178] and the package for signal digitization and reconstruction ORCA8.13.3 [179]. A sample of 10,000 HYDJET PbPb events (with jet quenching off) at $b = 9$ fm was used in the analysis. Figure 4.2 illustrates the energy deposited as a function of azimuthal angle for the HCAL and ECAL (barrel and endcap regions). The event plane resolutions obtained with $n = 2$ and $\omega_i = E_i(\phi_i)$ in Eq. (4.1) are shown in Fig. 4.3 and are summarized in Table 4.1. The best estimated resolution, $\sigma(\Psi_0) \approx 0.37$ rad for the ECAL (barrel+endcaps), allows the measurement of the $v_2$ elliptic flow coefficient with a $\sim 70\%$ accuracy. This accuracy is obtained from the $\xi$–dependence in Figure 4.1, left. The corresponding value of $\xi$ is determined by the Gaussian fit of the distribution (4.2) with $\sigma(\Psi_0)$. The “measured” $\sigma(\Psi_0)$ resolution with CMS calorimetry is about 40% worse than that obtained at the generator level (the value of the solid histogram in Figure 4.1 right, at $b = 9$ fm), before the smearing of the particle 4-momenta by the detector effects (non linearity of calorimeter response, finite calorimetric energy resolution, magnetic field, etc.). The corresponding degradation in the accuracy of the $v_2$ determination is from $\sim 80\%$ at the generator level to $\sim 70\%$ at the calorimetric level.

The ECAL is more suitable than the HCAL for event plane determination. This is primarily due to the better energy resolution of the ECAL for low and moderate $p_T$ particles, along with a larger distorting influence of the magnetic field on the HCAL energy flow. Another important result is that, although the anisotropic flow is maximal at midrapidity, the much larger energy deposition in the endcaps results in reducing the relative fluctuations and, accordingly, in a much better event plane resolution. Moreover, energy flow measurements in the endcaps are less sensitive to the magnetic field than in the barrel. We could even rely exclusively on the endcaps for the event plane determination. This would be particularly interesting for the study of jet azimuthal anisotropies. Indeed, we would perform a more robust measurement by only considering jets in the barrel (which would only reduce the dijet rate by a factor of 2, since the jet rapidity distribution peaks at midrapidity), in a rapidity window different from the one where the reaction plane would be determined.

An alternative method for calorimetric measurement of the jet azimuthal anisotropy, without direct event-by-event reconstruction of the reaction plane has been discussed in [180]. The technique is based on the correlation between the azimuthal position of a jet axis and the energy deposition in calorimetric sectors that are not incorporated in the jet. The accuracy of the $v_2^{jet}$ determination achieved by such a method has been found to be similar to that
Figure 4.2: Azimuthal dependence of the total energy deposition in the CMS calorimeters in PbPb collisions at $b = 9$ fm (solid histogram) and how it is distributed between the HCAL and the ECAL (left), and between the barrel and the endcaps (right) regions. The $\cos(2\phi)$ modulation due to the input HYDJET elliptic flow is clearly visible.

Table 4.1: Event plane resolutions, $\sigma(\Psi_0)$, for PbPb collisions at $b = 9$ fm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calorimeter</th>
<th>Barrel</th>
<th>Endcaps</th>
<th>Barrel + Endcaps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECAL</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCAL</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECAL+HCAL</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

derived from the direct reconstruction of the reaction plane [177].

4.2.4 Reaction plane determination with the tracker

The charged particle reconstruction capabilities in heavy-ion collisions using the CMS Silicon Tracking System [181] were evaluated in Ref. [162] using a full detector simulation. Even in the high-multiplicity environment of central PbPb events, a high algorithmic tracking efficiency of about 80% is achieved with less than a few percent fake track rate for $p_T > 1$ GeV/c. Tracks are reconstructed with excellent momentum resolution, $\Delta p_T/p_T < 1.5\%$ (for $p_T$ values up to 100 GeV/c). The resolution of the track offset at the event vertex is better than 50 $\mu$m, improving to 20 $\mu$m for $p_T$ above 10 GeV/c. Thus, it seems feasible to use the CMS tracking system for elliptic flow measurements. A preliminary analysis, based on a full detector simulation of HYDJET PbPb events, shows that the event plane resolution achieved with the CMS tracker is close to the value obtained at the generator level and somewhat better than the value obtained with the CMS calorimetry. The transverse momentum and rapidity dependences of the elliptic flow coefficient can be reconstructed with the CMS tracker with high accuracy, using the event plane as well as the cumulant method. More detailed studies of this topic are currently in progress [182].
Figure 4.3: The differences between the generated and the reconstructed azimuthal angles of the reaction plane for PbPb collisions at $b = 9$ fm. From left to right: using only the barrel (HB, EB), only the endcaps (HE, EE), both barrel and endcaps. From top to bottom: only ECAL, only HCAL, ECAL+HCAL.

4.3 The cumulant method for flow studies

Anisotropic flow can also be measured without direct reconstruction of the reaction plane angle. Since all particles are correlated with the reaction plane, they are also indirectly correlated with each other [173, 183]. In the case when the particle distribution can be well described by an elliptic form, Eq. (4.3), and there are no other particle correlations besides those due to flow (or other correlations can be neglected), the azimuthal anisotropy coefficient can be determined using the two-particle azimuthal correlator without the event plane.
angle $\Psi_0$,

$$
\langle \cos 2(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{N_0^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\varphi_1 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\varphi_2 \cos 2(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \frac{d^2N}{d\varphi_1 d\varphi_2}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{N_0^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\varphi_1 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\varphi_2 \cos 2((\varphi_1 - \Psi_0) - (\varphi_2 - \Psi_0)) \frac{dN}{d\varphi_1} \frac{dN}{d\varphi_2} = v_2^2. \quad (4.4)
$$

The advantage of this method is that it automatically corrects for the detector anisotropies. Thus, the correlation-function method is more robust than the event-plane based approaches. On the other hand, in this procedure each harmonic of the azimuthal distribution is determined independently, without taking into account that the different harmonics are related to each other through the reaction plane. In the event-plane method these relations provide useful consistency checks which are absent here. The statistical uncertainties, and those arising from non-flow effects, are the same in the event-plane methods and in the correlation function approach, because all these methods rely on two-particle azimuthal correlations.

The sensitivity to non-flow effects in the two-particle azimuthal correlation methods motivated the development of new techniques which make use of the fact that anisotropic flow correlates all particles in the event. It was thus proposed to measure flow with multi-particle azimuthal correlations by performing a cumulant expansion where the collective source of correlations can be disentangled from other sources [183, 184]. The main advantage of the higher order cumulant analysis lies in the fact that, if the flow is larger than the non-flow correlations, the contribution of the latter to $v_2$, extracted from higher order correlators, is suppressed by powers of the particle multiplicity in the event, $N_0$.

Thus, for example, the fourth order cumulant for elliptic particle flow is defined as

$$
c_{2[4]} = \langle \cos 2(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 - \varphi_3 - \varphi_4) \rangle - \langle \cos 2(\varphi_1 - \varphi_3) \rangle \langle \cos 2(\varphi_2 - \varphi_4) \rangle - \langle \cos 2(\varphi_1 - \varphi_4) \rangle \langle \cos 2(\varphi_2 - \varphi_3) \rangle . \quad (4.5)
$$

If there are only correlations with the reaction plane (i.e. the multi-particle distributions factorize as in Eq. (4.4)), then

$$
c_{2[4]} = -v_2^4 . \quad (4.6)
$$

If the coefficient $v_2$ is defined by the two-particle correlator,

$$
v_2 = \sqrt{\langle \cos 2(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \rangle} , \quad (4.7)
$$

then the contribution of non-flow correlations is of order $1/\sqrt{N_0}$. Non-flow contributions to $v_2$, extracted from the fourth-order correlator,

$$
v_2 = (-c_{2[4]})^{1/4} , \quad (4.8)
$$

scale as $1/N_0^{3/4}$, suppressed by an extra factor of $1/N_0^{1/4}$.

Ref. [185] has applied the two- and four-particle correlations technique with the HYDJET event generator to reproduce the elliptic flow observed at RHIC.
4.4 Conclusions

Azimuthal correlation measurements in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions have recently generated a very strong interest. High-accuracy measurements of anisotropic flow provide important constraints on the viscosity (low $p_T$) and parton number density (high $p_T$) properties of the produced medium. The rescattering and energy loss of hard partons in an azimuthally anisotropic volume of dense matter can result in an observable azimuthal anisotropy of high-$p_T$ particles and jets.

The HYDJET event generator reproduces the elliptic flow observed at RHIC, as studied by two- and four-particle correlations via cumulants.

Monte Carlo studies show that the CMS calorimetric system is well suited to measure elliptic flow. The ability to reconstruct the event plane using CMS calorimetry has been shown. The corresponding event plane reconstruction algorithm is implemented in the CMS reconstruction package ORCA and will be implemented in the new CMS Software (CMSSW).

The reaction plane can be determined independently by different detector subsystems (in different pseudo-rapidity windows), and using different analysis methods. At central rapidities ($|\eta| < 2.5$), CMS will be able to determine the reaction plane for a very wide range of particle multiplicities and elliptic flow magnitudes, using the calorimeters and the tracker. The ECAL is found to be more suitable than the HCAL for event plane determination. Using the endcaps for the event plane reconstruction and reconstructing the jets in the barrel should provide a more robust analysis of elliptic flow. We should also be able to use the CMS tracking system for elliptic flow measurements.

In addition to the central rapidity region, measurements in the forward rapidity region covered by the HF and CASTOR calorimeters should allow us to study $v_2$ in a region almost free from non-flow contributions. Besides, the longitudinally segmented CASTOR calorimeter (and, to some extent, also the HF) has some capability for separating photons from hadrons, allowing us to compare photon and hadron flow.

At beam-rapidity, the ZDCs can provide an independent determination of the reaction plane from the directed flow signal ($v_1$). A systematic comparison between different methods and different detector subsystems in CMS will allow us to estimate non-flow contributions to the measured anisotropies and will be crucial for a quantitative interpretation of the results. The expected precision of the reaction-plane determination will allow for anisotropy measurements with a good accuracy for charged particles (identified or not) in a momentum range from a few hundred MeV/$c$ up to a few hundred GeV/$c$. 
Chapter 5

Triggering on hard probes

5.1 Introduction

The key component for exploiting the CMS capabilities in heavy-ion collisions is the trigger system, which is crucial for accessing the rare probes expected to yield the most direct insights into the properties of high-density strongly-interacting matter. Examples of such probes are high $E_T$ jets and photons, $Z^0$ bosons, $D$ and $B$ mesons, and high-mass dileptons from quarkonia decays. The unique CMS trigger architecture employs only two trigger levels. The Level-1 trigger is implemented using custom electronics and inspects events at the full bunch crossing rate. All further online selection is performed in the High-Level Trigger (HLT) using a large cluster of commodity workstations (the “filter farm”) with a vast computing power (equivalent to 12k 1.8 GHz CPUs or $\sim$50 Tflops) running offline reconstruction algorithms on fully-assembled event information.

In this section, we will discuss the basic performance parameters of the trigger system in the context of heavy-ion collisions. We will define the overall trigger strategy and show examples of the CMS physics performance using event selection in the HLT. The studies are based on parametrizations of the performance of offline algorithms for jet and muon finding, described in previous CMS heavy-ion analysis notes [186, 187] and elsewhere in this volume. Using this information, we employ a simulation chain to translate production cross sections into rates to tape, making assumptions about the allocation of bandwidth to tape for various trigger channels (i.e. the trigger table). For the High Level Trigger (HLT), we follow the pp philosophy, assuming that the present offline reconstruction algorithms for the heavy-ion data will provide the baseline for the online HLT event selection algorithms.

The studies confirm that the design of the CMS trigger system is well suited to application in heavy-ion collisions. This is true even though the proposed basic trigger strategy is very different from that in pp: for PbPb running, it appears feasible and desirable to perform all event rejection for true PbPb collision events in the HLT, using the Level-1 hardware trigger mainly for rejection of background and beam-gas collision candidates.

5.2 Basic constraints for triggering in heavy-ion collisions

Experience from studies of heavy-ion collisions at the SPS and RHIC shows that there is no simple criterion for rejecting events based on global characteristics like multiplicity or total transverse energy. Rather, it was found that, for essentially all observables, studies as a function of collision centrality are critical for extracting the underlying physics. Therefore, the basic trigger strategy for heavy-ion collisions has to be the efficient identification of any
potentially interesting signature for a given input event. Experience also shows that the rejection of background events, such as those caused by beam-gas collisions, early on in the triggering chain is crucial for providing a sufficiently clean, low-rate environment for the high level trigger stages. In this section, we summarize the basic performance parameters of the CMS DAQ and trigger system that were used in our trigger studies, as well as the assumptions we made about PbPb luminosities and production rates for various physics processes.

The basic constraints for the CMS DAQ and trigger system follow from the pp running conditions. At LHC pp design luminosity, multiple collisions will occur at each bunch crossing with a frequency of 40 MHz. The effective pp event output rate to mass storage is limited to 150 Hz, for technology and cost reasons, corresponding to an output bandwidth of 225 MByte/s.

Consequently, the trigger system in pp running has to select less than $10^{-5}$ of all collision events for permanent storage while maximizing the sensitivity to new physics. The Level-1 selection reduces the event rate by a factor of 400, to 100 kHz. In pp running, a reduction of the event rate by a factor of more than 600 in the HLT is required to achieve the design output rate. The fact that a typical pp “event” at design luminosity actually consists of $\sim 20$ superimposed pp collisions is also important for our discussion.

The PbPb design luminosity, $\mathcal{L} = 10^{27} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ at the beginning of a store, is smaller than the pp design luminosity by 7 orders of magnitude. [Note, however, that the “equivalent-pp luminosity” for hard processes — obtained scaling by the number of binary nucleon-nucleon collisions — is a much larger value. For minimum bias PbPb $\langle \mathcal{L} \rangle_{pp\text{-equiv}} = A^2 \cdot \langle \mathcal{L} \rangle_{AA} = 20 \mu\text{b}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, corresponding to $\int \mathcal{L} \text{d}t = 20 \text{pb}^{-1}$ for the nominal 1-month and 50% efficiency.] Assuming collisions in three interaction regions, the instantaneous luminosity will drop quickly throughout the duration of a store, giving an average luminosity of $\langle \mathcal{L} \rangle = 4 \times 10^{26} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$. Using a nucleon-nucleon inelastic cross section of 72 mb at 5.5 TeV, the corresponding PbPb collision rate at the beginning of a store is expected to be $\sim 8$ kHz, while the average collision rate over the duration of a store will be $\sim 3$ kHz.

Therefore, even the maximum rate for PbPb collisions is much smaller than the 100 kHz input rate for the HLT in pp collisions after Level-1 selection. This suggests that it will be possible to send all PbPb events to the HLT, provided that the average event size is less than a factor 10 larger than the average event size of the pp events sent to the HLT. To validate a possible trigger strategy in which all event selection in PbPb running is done at the HLT level, one therefore needs to verify two conditions:

1. The average PbPb event size is less than a factor of 10 larger than that of full luminosity pp running;
2. On average, the heavy-ion HLT algorithms are fast enough to be run on PbPb events at the full PbPb event rate.

If these conditions are fulfilled, PbPb event selection by algorithms using the full event information in the HLT will be feasible and, by definition, will provide the best possible selectivity for the physics of interest. Following this strategy, the main purpose of the Level-1 trigger in PbPb running will be to provide a clean discrimination of true heavy-ion collisions and to provide seed objects, such as high $p_T$ muon candidates, as input to the HLT algorithms. No significant rejection of PbPb collisions would be performed at Level-1.
5.2. Basic constraints for triggering in heavy-ion collisions

The basic trigger strategy in PbPb running can be summarized as follows. Every PbPb collision in our interaction region, identified by the Level-1 trigger, will be sent to the HLT filter farm. In the HLT, the full event information will be available for each event. All rejection of PbPb collisions will be based on the outcome of HLT trigger algorithms that are identical to the corresponding offline algorithms or optimized versions of the offline algorithms.
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This strategy relies on the fact that, in its final configuration, the HLT will provide sufficient input bandwidth to accept all collision events, even at the maximum PbPb collision rate. The strategy also requires that the algorithms can be executed fast enough. For comparison with the expected HLT CPU budget per event, we show the expected gain in physics reach for selected algorithms and present timing measurements of those algorithms. Overall, the selectivity that can be achieved by the trigger depends on the availability of sufficient CPU resources to execute the algorithms, possibly triggered by a Level-1 seed, and on the efficiency, acceptance, and background level of each particular algorithm. The output event rate is determined by the ratio between the “allowed” bandwidth to tape and the average size of the selected events. To validate this trigger strategy, studies of the PbPb event size and the timing of various algorithms will be presented in section 5.3.

5.2.1 Trigger channels

The results from RHIC have clearly pointed out the importance of probes at intermediate and high $p_T$, such as leading hadrons, dihadron correlations and spectra, and azimuthal distributions of hadrons carrying charm. At the LHC, studies of high $p_T$ hadron production can be extended from the present RHIC statistical limit of $p_T < 20$ GeV/c to transverse energies of several hundred GeV. Similarly, studies of open and hidden heavy-flavor physics at the LHC will be extended to include $b$-quark production. Studies of vector bosons and fully formed jets in heavy-ion collisions will become possible for the first time. As is currently the case at RHIC, the corresponding studies at the LHC will ultimately be limited by the statistics given by production rates and integrated luminosity. The Level-1 and HLT triggers are essential for maximizing the physics reach of CMS within these constraints.

The high granularity of the CMS inner silicon tracker allows the reconstruction of a large fraction of the produced charged hadrons even in central PbPb collisions (see Section 7.3) [162]. The current execution time of the track reconstruction algorithm (∼1200 s for a central event) prohibits running the full reconstruction on each event at the HLT level. While future studies will explore the possibility of running regional tracking to detect high $p_T$ hadrons, including those from heavy flavor decays, the present trigger studies are focussed on channels related to calorimeter and muon chamber triggers. Figure 5.1-left summarizes the production cross sections of some of the relevant physics channels, obtained using NLO pQCD (for the $Q\bar{Q}$, see chapter 6.1) and version 6.326 of the PYTHIA event generator for the rest (chapter 7.2). The range of cross sections of interest extends over 10 orders of magnitude, leading to the corresponding variation in production rates shown in Fig. 5.1-right. There, the production rates were calculated for an average luminosity of $\langle L \rangle = 4 \times 10^{26}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ and minimum bias (i.e. impact-parameter averaged) PbPb collisions with an average number of binary nucleon-nucleon collisions, $\langle N_{\text{coll}} \rangle$, of 400.

The corresponding average PbPb collision rate is 3 kHz, while the maximum event rate to tape is in the range 10–100 Hz, also indicated on Fig. 5.1. Table 5.1 shows the integrated production yields for the same channels, for one nominal CMS heavy-ion run with a total up-time of $10^6$ s, and an ideal DAQ with infinite bandwidth. Yields are shown for the design average luminosity of $\langle L \rangle = 4 \times 10^{26}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ and for an initial “low-luminosity” run at 1/20 design luminosity. These yields form the input to our HLT simulation chain. Expected production rates after applying acceptance, efficiency and branching ratios will be discussed in Section 5.4. Clearly, differential studies of $\Upsilon$ production and jets at high $E_T$, as well as measurements of $\gamma$+jet correlations, will require a highly-efficient trigger that selects a large fraction of the corresponding interesting events for storage on tape. This is particularly true
for studies of these observables as a function of transverse momentum, rapidity, centrality and event plane. The purpose of the heavy-ion trigger is the allocation of the available output bandwidth to a selection of trigger channels such that we maximize the overall physics impact of the CMS heavy-ion program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signal</th>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>Yield/yr. (low lumi)</th>
<th>Yield/yr. (high lumi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$h^{\pm}$</td>
<td>$p_T &gt; 25$ GeV/c</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h^{\pm}$</td>
<td>$p_T &gt; 50$ GeV/c</td>
<td>$4.1 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$8.2 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jet</td>
<td>$E_T &gt; 100$ GeV</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$4.4 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jet</td>
<td>$E_T &gt; 200$ GeV</td>
<td>$6.5 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma^{\text{prompt}}$</td>
<td>$p_T &gt; 25$ GeV/c</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$4.3 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J/\psi$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.6 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Upsilon$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma^*$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7.6 \times 10^2$</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z^0$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6.5 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.1: Integrated yields of selected observables for a $10^6$ s heavy-ion run, both at low-luminosity and at design luminosity (see text). The dilepton branching ratios have not been applied to the quarkonia and vector boson channels.

5.3 Event size and timing measurements

As described in Section 5.2, the trigger strategy for PbPb collisions foresees running reconstruction algorithms on all PbPb collisions in the HLT filter farm, using the full event information. Detailed studies of the HLT performance in the dimuon and jet channels were discussed in Ref. [188] and are briefly summarized here. All event size and timing measurements are based on three sets of fully simulated HYDJET PbPb events corresponding to $b = 0$, 9 and 12 fm, processed with the CMS GEANT4 based OSCAR simulation package. The timing measurements were performed running the standard heavy-ion offline algorithms of the ORCA software package on standard PCs with 1.8 GHz Opteron CPUs and 4 GByte RAM.

5.3.1 Event size

The maximum gain in statistics for rare probes provided by the online trigger system is given by the ratio between the collision rate and the permanent storage event rate. The latter is given by the ratio between the bandwidth limit of 225 MByte/s and the average event size written to tape. The event size estimates were obtained by writing simulated raw data, including hits from background and secondary particles, in the form of CMS standard “Digis”. The average midrapidity charged hadron densities for the three PbPb centrality cases considered are $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} = 3300, 575, \text{ and } 65$, respectively for the $b = 0, 9$ and 12 fm. Pedestal subtraction and zero-suppression are performed for all hits. The output data were compressed using ROOT compression level 1, yielding a compression factor of about 3.5. No additional compression or encoding of the hit information was attempted. The MC event size was found to increase approximately linearly with the charged hadron multiplicity, from 330 kByte for the $b = 12$ fm sample to 8.5 MByte for the $b = 0$ fm sample. From the impact parameter dependence of the event size and adjusting for additional noise, backgrounds and diagnostic information, we obtain 2.5 MByte per minimum bias event and up to $\sim 9.5$ MByte for the most central events, when running at design luminosity [188].
an average data rate to tape of 225 MByte/s, the corresponding average minimum bias event rate to tape is 90 Hz. Clearly, this estimate depends on the multiplicity of produced hadrons in PbPb collisions at the LHC. Predictions and extrapolations based on the present RHIC data suggest a range of $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} \approx 1200 - 4000$ for central PbPb collisions at the LHC (see Fig. 1.20). The value of $dN/d\eta = 3300$ which we assumed for the most central collisions is, thus, quite conservative.

Including all the uncertainties, we expect that the bandwidth of 225 MByte/s will allow a rate of PbPb events to mass storage between 10 and 100 Hz. A large part of this uncertainty will only be resolved once the first LHC data are taken, emphasizing the need for a flexible high-level trigger scheme.

The event sizes quoted above refer to the HLT output to tape, using ROOT compression. To validate the HLT input bandwidth, we note that the upper range of the expected midrapidity charged hadron densities in minimum bias PbPb collisions at the LHC is $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} \approx 1000$, to be compared to a density of $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} \approx 150$ for 20 superimposed minimum bias pp collisions at pp design luminosity. While PbPb collisions have a midrapidity multiplicity that is, at most, 7 times larger than for pp, the collision rate in PbPb is a factor of 12 smaller than the 100 kHz HLT input rate in pp. Furthermore, the rapidity distributions in 5.5 TeV PbPb collisions will be narrower than in 14 TeV pp collisions. Thus, safety factors in the data rate will be even larger away from midrapidity. Finally, the bandwidth requirements for low-luminosity PbPb running will be smaller by another order of magnitude, whereas the expected initial bandwidth should only be reduced by a factor of 2–4.

### 5.3.2 Trigger timing studies

The capabilities of the HLT are largely determined by the time required to process each event and are therefore strongly dependent on the CPU resources available in the filter farm. The online farm is expected to consist of about 1500 servers. Because of the expected increase in CPU performance/cost over the coming years, the detailed specifications of these servers are not yet precisely known. For the purpose of our present studies, we will assume that the servers purchased for 2008 running will have dual CPUs with quad cores, with a performance per core comparable to 1.5 times the performance of the 1.8 GHz Opteron CPUs used in our timing measurements. This estimate is conservative since the actual trigger PCs will be purchased no earlier than end of 2007. Timing measurements will be quoted in units of CPU seconds for the present CPUs. In these units the time budget per event for the full HLT filter farm will be $\sim$1.5 s at the beginning of each store (8 kHz collision rate), and $\sim$4 s averaged over the duration of the store (3 kHz collision rate).

The timing studies were performed using the full ORCA-based simulations described above and the present offline algorithms for jet-finding and dimuon reconstruction. As of now, these algorithms have been optimized for reconstruction efficiency and background rejection, but not for timing performance. Work on optimizing the timing of our trigger algorithms will begin once heavy-ion simulations and algorithms have been ported to the new CMSSW software framework.

Based on generator-level studies with simplified geometries and comparisons to the optimized pp algorithms, we expect that significant gains relative to the timing performance shown below can still be achieved. However, these gains will be partially offset by the CPU resources needed for running the algorithms for additional trigger channels as shown in Table 5.3. These additional algorithms have so far only been tested in generator-level studies.
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which do not allow detailed timing measurements.

5.3.2.1 Jet finder timing

The offline jet-finding algorithm used here is a modified iterative cone algorithm, with subtraction of the large background energy in heavy-ion collisions, applied to Ecal+Hcal towers in ORCA (see chapter 7.2). The average execution times are found to be 820, 320, and 164 ms for \( b = 0, 9, \) and 12 fm, respectively. Averaging over the impact parameter distribution, the estimated average execution time is \( \langle t \rangle = 250 \text{ ms} \). Therefore, if it is executed for every event, the jet-finding algorithm is expected to use between 5 and 15% of the CPU budget for the average and for the maximum instantaneous (start of store) event rate. Although the present algorithm comfortably fits into the expected CPU budget, further optimization is desirable. In the present implementation, a large fraction of the execution time is spent building the Ecal+Hcal objects. For the eventual online algorithm, significant time savings may be achieved by using the L1 trigger tower information embedded in the data stream.

5.3.2.2 Muon finder timing

The muon finder consists of three different algorithms. The first part, \( L_1 \), is executed at Level-1 for every event, producing a list of muon candidates. The Level-1 muon selection is based on the corresponding selection for pp, although the cuts have been adjusted to increase acceptance at low \( p_T \). The second part of the muon finder, \( L_2 \), is also executed on all events, this time in the HLT. The average execution times for this algorithm are 710, 100, and 10 ms for \( b = 0, 9, \) and 12 fm, respectively. Parametrizing the execution time as a function of the impact parameter and averaging over all centralities yields an estimated average execution time of \( \langle t \rangle = 80 \pm 20 \text{ ms} \). The error is dominated by the uncertainty in the functional form of the impact parameter dependence, due to the small number of points. Correspondingly, \( L_2 \) execution uses 1 to 5% of the HLT CPU budget, depending on event rate.

The third part of the algorithm, \( L_3 \), is run in the HLT on events with at least two muon candidates found by either \( L_1 \) or \( L_2 \). The \( L_3 \) algorithm extends the tracks found in the muon system to the silicon tracker and provides a significant improvement in momentum resolution and background rejection. This is particularly important for low \( p_T \) dimuons, which are expected to take up the largest fraction of the output bandwidth to tape. Averaged over impact parameter, \( L_3 \) will only be called for \( 2 \pm 1\% \) of all events. However, as \( L_3 \) requires tracking in the silicon detector, its execution time is significantly longer than for the other algorithms studied here and shows a very steep dependence on multiplicity. The \( L_3 \) execution time is found to be linear in the number of muon candidates from \( L_2 \). Averaging over the impact parameter distribution of inputs selected by \( L_2 \), we find an execution time for the \( L_3 \) selection of \( 700 \pm 200 \text{ s per } L_2 \) accepted event, corresponding to about \( 10 \pm 3 \text{ s per minimum bias event} \).

The uncertainty in execution time results from the uncertainties in interpolation between the three available impact parameter samples. Based on the total available CPU budget and the importance of the dimuon trigger, we estimate that an allocation of 0.5 s to 1 s per minimum bias event for the \( L_3 \) algorithm is reasonable. Consequently, a further speed-up of the algorithm by about a factor of 10–20 is necessary. While this is a large factor, it should be attainable. The current \( L_3 \) algorithm uses more than \( 10^3 \) CPU seconds for the determination of 2–4 muon candidates in central PbPb events, while the runtime for the full track reconstruction in the silicon tracker, yielding \( \sim 800 \) tracks with \( p_T > 1 \text{ GeV/c} \) [162], is less than \( 10^3 \) s on the same events. Further work on optimizing or significantly modifying the
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The present algorithm for use in the HLT event selection will proceed when the offline algorithms are ported to CMSSW.

5.4 HLT simulation procedure and results

A schematic description of the components of our HLT simulation chain is shown in Fig. 5.2. Below, we describe the individual elements of this chain and summarize the benefits of the HLT compared to a minimum bias trigger, for single jet and dimuon measurements, for two luminosity scenarios.

Figure 5.2: Schematic description of the HLT simulation chain. Input cross sections are obtained from PYTHIA v.6.326 or NLO pQCD calculations. Acceptance, efficiency and background levels are parametrized from full GEANT4 based simulations. See the text for detailed descriptions of each of the steps.

5.4.1 Trigger signal rates

To evaluate the physics reach of the CMS detector, the production rates shown in Fig. 5.1 need to be translated into rates of observed events in the detector and finally into the rates to mass storage for the physics processes of interest. A straightforward measurement of the rates seen in full simulations is presently not feasible. Available CPU resources limit the sizes of typical MC data sets to about 100k, compared to a total integrated number of collisions of several times \(10^9\) for a nominal LHC heavy-ion run. As we are only interested in triggering on rare probes, we can instead use the approach shown schematically in Fig. 5.2 and described in the following sections. The production rates shown in Fig. 5.1 were scaled to minimum bias PbPb collisions \((N_{\text{coll}}) = 400\) and then multiplied by branching ratios, where appropriate, to obtain the rate of signal events as a function of \((y, p_T)\). For the present rate studies, the yield of hard probes was taken to be proportional to \(N_{\text{coll}}\), allowing us to use the rates for minimum bias PbPb collisions to estimate the average production rates, and no impact parameter selection except for the inherent bias from a trigger on hard probes was assumed.

The acceptance and efficiency of the offline algorithms for simulated events were also parametrized in as a function of \((y, p_T)\).

Multiplication of the production rate histograms with acceptance and efficiency histograms yields the trigger signal rate for each channel. The rates for \(J/\psi\) and \(\Upsilon\) production lie below the total output rate limit, while the expected rate of jet triggers exceeds this limit for minimum jet \(E_T\) trigger thresholds of around 40 GeV.
5.4. HLT simulation procedure and results

5.4.2 Trigger background rates

Offline studies show that the jet and dimuon triggers will include a substantial fraction of background events, depending on the chosen $E_T$ and $p_T$ thresholds. In addition, the dimuon analysis requires a certain number of events outside the $J/\psi$ and $\Upsilon$ mass windows, to allow a reliable estimate of the dimuon continuum under the resonance peaks, mostly due to combinatorial background. To include these backgrounds in our rate estimate, we parametrized the fraction of background to signal events, $B/S$, from the full offline simulations (see chapter 6.1), as a function of $p_T$ or $E_T$. The trigger signal rates discussed previously were then multiplied by the factor $(1 + B/S)$, to obtain the actual trigger rates.

The possible trigger rates from the dimuon and jet channels alone far exceed the limit of 10–100 Hz if no minimum $p_T$ or $E_T$ threshold is applied to the triggers. Besides, additional bandwidth will be needed for composite channels such as $\gamma$+jet, for a trigger on ultraperipheral events in studies of forward physics, and for minimum bias events. The available output bandwidth needs to be allocated to the various trigger channels by applying trigger thresholds and pre-scales, such that the overall physics reach of the experiment is maximized. In allocating the bandwidth, it is important to take into account that the average event size satisfying each trigger condition will typically be significantly larger than that of minimum bias events. With the obvious exception of ultraperipheral triggers, the assumption that rates of the interesting processes scale with the number of nucleon-nucleon collisions heavily biases the accepted distributions towards more central collisions.

We have calculated the output signal rates using strawman trigger tables for two luminosity scenarios, corresponding to the conditions for an initial PbPb run in the Fall of 2008 and for runs at design luminosity in 2009. For each luminosity scenario, we defined a strawman trigger table to allocate output bandwidth to several trigger channels. The quoted signal rates to tape take into account that a fraction of the allocated bandwidth is taken up by background events for each particular channel.

Low luminosity scenario.

For the initial PbPb run in 2008, we expect an average luminosity $\langle \mathcal{L} \rangle = 2 \times 10^{25} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$, a factor of 20 below the design average luminosity. We calculated the trigger signal and background rates for this low luminosity. We assumed $10^6$ s total run time and an event size 1 MByte larger than in later running periods, accounting for additional diagnostic information and less efficient data compression. For this initial run, we assumed that only 25% of the DAQ event builder CPUs and 25% of the HLT event filter will be installed. Even with the reduced DAQ capacity, the lower initial luminosity results in a more favorable CPU time budget per event than for a scenario with 100% of the DAQ capacity at design luminosity. This leaves the output bandwidth of 225 MByte/s as the main constraint. Figure 5.3-left shows the signal rates and statistical significance for jet and dimuon measurements for minimum bias running and for HLT running using the trigger allocations given in Table 5.2. Although the lower collision rate decreases the possible gain from using the HLT, the integrated yields are higher than for minimum bias triggering by a factor of 2 to 3 for jet and dimuon triggers, with the biggest gains seen for $\Upsilon$ measurements.

Design luminosity scenario

In Figure 5.3-right we compare the rates of signal events to tape for minimum bias running (no event selection in HLT) and for the HLT event selection conditions. The rates were cal-
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>Pre-scale</th>
<th>Bandwidth [MByte/s]</th>
<th>Event size [MB]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Min. bias</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>146 (65%)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jet</td>
<td>50 GeV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45 (20%)</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J/ψ</td>
<td>0 GeV/c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11 (5%)</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Υ</td>
<td>0 GeV/c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5 (1%)</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ(^+)prompt</td>
<td>10 GeV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18 (8%)</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPC/forward</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5 (1%)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.2: Strawman trigger table for running at 1/20 of the design luminosity, assigning fractions of the total bandwidth (225 MByte/s) to individual trigger channels. The last column shows the average event size for each of the trigger streams.

Figure 5.3: Minimum bias and HLT J/ψ, Υ, and jet trigger rates for the settings of Table 5.2, for low luminosity (left) and design luminosity (right).

culated for the design average luminosity, \(\langle \mathcal{L} \rangle = 4 \times 10^{26} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\), with the bandwidth allocation given in Table 5.3. Clearly, this table will have to be optimized as further information becomes available.

Using the HLT, a gain in statistics of more than an order of magnitude is achieved for large \(E_T\) jets and dimuons. Correspondingly, the usable range in \(E_T\) \((p_T)\) for the jet and dimuon measurements is extended by more than factor of 2 and 3, respectively. Note that, for this comparison, the HLT rate for each process was only counted in the corresponding trigger stream, leading to rates below minimum bias for low \(E_T\) in the jet channel. In any case, at low \(E_T\) jet measurements will likely be limited by systematic errors.
Table 5.3: Strawman trigger table for running at design luminosity, assigning fractions of the total bandwidth (225 MByte/s) to individual trigger channels. The last column shows the average event size for each of the trigger streams.

### 5.4.3 Quarkonia and jet physics with the HLT

Two key examples of the physics benefit of the HLT for quarkonium and jet related measurements are shown below, with the measurements discussed in detail in Sections 6.1 and 7.2. The first measurement, shown in Fig. 6.10, shows the ratio of \( \Upsilon' \) to \( \Upsilon \) yields as a function of transverse momentum. The projected statistical resolution is compared to four model calculations that predict different \( p_T \) dependence for the suppression of the \( \Upsilon \) family for two different choices of initial conditions (parton gas and mini-jet cases) and for two different assumptions of the temperature dependence of the screening mass. This measurement, using the added statistics provided by the HLT selection, allows a clear distinction of the different scenarios, and may therefore serve as a sensitive probe of the initial QCD medium.

In Fig. 5.5 we show the nuclear modification factor, \( R_{AA}(p_T) \), for charged hadrons measured in minimum bias data (left) and in events selected by an HLT trigger on high \( E_T \) jets (right). The triggered sample extends the useful range in \( p_T \) by more than a factor of two, to more than 200 GeV/c. Predictions for \( R_{AA} \) in PbPb collisions at the LHC have been made using several models of parton energy loss in the QCD medium. The predictions differ most markedly in the high \( p_T \) region (Fig. 1.17), which can only be accurately measured in the jet-triggered event sample.

### 5.5 Summary

In summary, we have outlined a possible trigger strategy for heavy ion running of CMS which relies on event rejection solely in the HLT. We have validated the trigger strategy using event size and timing measurements on fully simulated HYDJET events, processed in the ORCA framework. By parametrizing the performance of the offline algorithms, we have developed a trigger simulation chain that allows to compare the rate of signal events to tape for various trigger channels, luminosity scenarios and trigger tables. The simulation chain allows us to evaluate the impact of the HLT event selection on the physics reach of the experiment.

In terms of accessible physics, the benefits of the HLT can be summarized as follows.

- Without the HLT selection of dimuons in the \( \Upsilon \) mass range, our simulations show less than 300 \( \Upsilon \) recorded to tape per nominal year of data taking at design luminosity. With HLT selection, we expect a factor of 50 more \( \Upsilon \) recorded. A study
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Figure 5.4: $\Upsilon'$ over $\Upsilon$ ratio as a function of $p_T$. Statistics correspond to a $10^6$ s heavy-ion run. The model calculations are for different choices of initial conditions and screening mass temperature assumptions [189].

Figure 5.5: The nuclear modification factor, $R_{AA}$, as a function of $p_T$, for inclusive charged hadrons, for minimum bias data (left panel) and for data collected with a high-$E_T$ jets trigger (right panel), in a $10^6$ s heavy-ion run (see details in 7.3).

of the $\Upsilon'$ and $\Upsilon$ relative yields, as a function of $p_T$, which is expected to provide information about the initial temperature of the medium produced in heavy ion collisions (Fig. 5.4) will only be possible using the HLT selection.

• The $J/\psi$ statistics on tape, increased by about a factor 10 thanks to the HLT, will allow differential studies as a function of centrality, reaction plane (path length), $p_T$ and rapidity. These are important measurements to elucidate, in particular, the importance of recombination processes in the $J/\psi$ yields.

• The statistics for high $E_T$ jet measurements above 100 GeV are enhanced by a factor of 20 thanks to the HLT, extending the $E_T$ reach by nearly a factor of two, using a yield of $10^4$ jets as benchmark. These well-defined jets provide a qualitatively new tool for understanding the transport properties of QCD matter, giving the largest lever arm for testing different models of the interaction of a fast parton...
with the medium. Experience in pp collisions and our own model studies show that a large sample of jet events and highly differential studies will be necessary to calibrate these new experimental tools. Measurements of the nuclear modification factors of charged hadron spectra will greatly benefit from the jet-triggered event sample. Predictions of current theoretical models of parton interactions with a strongly-coupled medium diverge in shape from each other in the region above $p_T > 50 \text{ GeV}/c$ (see Fig. 1.17).

• Although studies are still ongoing, the rates for $Z^0$ production or for composite channels such as $Z^0$+jet correlations show that these measurements will only be feasible using an efficient trigger (see Section 7.4). Composite channels with a clear jet energy tag will be critical for calibrating the jet-finding performance in pp or peripheral PbPb events and for obtaining a qualitatively new handle on partonic energy loss in more central PbPb collisions.
Chapter 6

Quarkonia and heavy-quarks

6.1 Quarkonia

6.1.1 Introduction

The measurement of the charmonium ($J/\psi, \psi'$) and bottomonium ($\Upsilon, \Upsilon', \Upsilon''$) resonances in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$ TeV will provide crucial information on the many-body dynamics of high-density QCD matter. First, the step-wise suppression of heavy quark-antiquark bound states is generally agreed to be one of the most direct probes of Quark-Gluon-Plasma formation due to Debye screening of the colour potential in the plasma [114]. Lattice QCD calculations of the heavy-quark correlators indicate that the ground-state charmonium and bottomonium states, $J/\psi$ and $\Upsilon$, dissolve at $T_{\text{diss}} \approx 2 \cdot T_c$ and $4 \cdot T_c$, respectively. While the relevance of charmonia production studies in heavy-ion collisions is well established from measurements done at the SPS and at RHIC, where a factor of $\sim 2-3$ anomalous suppression has been observed in PbPb and AuAu collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 17.3$ GeV [120, 190] and 200 GeV [122], respectively, the clarification of some important remaining questions requires equivalent studies at the LHC energies. Second, the production of heavy-quarks proceeds mainly via gluon-gluon processes and, as such, is sensitive to saturation of the gluon density at low-$x$ in the nucleus (Colour Glass Condensate). Measured departures from the expected vacuum (proton-proton) quarkonia cross-sections in PbPb collisions at LHC will thus provide valuable information not only on the thermodynamical state of the produced partonic medium, but also on the initial-state modifications of the nuclear parton distribution functions, especially of the gluon.

In this chapter we present the expected capabilities of CMS to measure the heavy-quarkonia cross-sections versus centrality, rapidity $y$ and transverse momentum $p_T$, in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$ TeV, via their dimuon decay channel. The generation of realistic signals and backgrounds, the dimuon reconstruction algorithm and the trigger, acceptance and efficiency corrections are discussed. The obtained dimuon mass resolutions, the signal over background ratio and the expected yields as a function of $p_T$, $y$ and centrality in one-month PbPb running are also presented [187].

6.1.2 Simulation of physics and background processes

The relatively low $\Upsilon$ production rates ($\sim 10^{-4}$ per PbPb event) and the large number of particles to track in heavy-ion collisions make it very expensive computationally to use a full nucleus-nucleus event generator (such as HIJING [191]) with detailed detector simulation and reconstruction to obtain a statistically significant sample of signal events. Instead, a
combination of fast and slow simulations is used in this analysis. The input signal and backgrounds are obtained from realistic distributions: NLO pQCD for heavy-quark production processes and HIJING for the soft background, constrained by extrapolations from lower energy heavy-ion data. A full detector and trigger simulation plus reconstruction are carried out for a few $10^7$ events with the embedded quarkonium states and the hadronic decays relevant to the muon background. The corresponding response functions (trigger acceptances, mass resolutions, reconstruction efficiencies, etc.) are parametrized, and implemented in a fast MC to obtain the final fully corrected yields. The response functions are cross-checked by comparing the final dimuon spectra obtained with the fast MC against $5 \times 10^5$ PbPb HIJING events fully simulated and reconstructed in the detector.

The quarkonium production cross sections per nucleon are calculated to NLO in the total cross section at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$ TeV using the color evaporation model (CEM) [47] with the MRST parton densities weighted by the EKS98 parameterization [192] of nuclear shadowing effects. The renormalization and factorization scales are $\mu_F = \mu_R = 2m_T$ for charmonium and $\mu_F = \mu_R = m_T$ for bottomonium, where $m_T = (m_Q^2 + p_T^2)^{1/2}$ with $m_c = 1.2$ GeV/$c^2$ and $m_b = 4.75$ GeV/$c^2$. The PbPb cross sections are obtained by scaling the per nucleon cross section with $A^2$, where $A = 208$ for Pb. The resulting PbPb (impact-parameter averaged) inclusive quarkonia production cross sections are quoted in Table 6.1, multiplied by the corresponding dimuon branching ratios, $B_{\mu^+\mu^-}$ (5.94%, 0.73%, 2.4%, 1.94% and 2.24%, for the $J/\psi$, $\psi'$, $\Upsilon$, $\Upsilon'$, and $\Upsilon''$, respectively). The NLO $J/\psi$ and $\Upsilon$ double-differential $d^2\sigma/dp_Tdy$ distributions are also used for the other states within each quarkonium family, as prescribed by the CEM.

Table 6.1: The inclusive cross sections for quarkonium production in minimum bias PbPb collisions at 5.5 TeV calculated to NLO in the CEM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$B_{\mu^+\mu^-} \times \sigma_{PbPb} (\mu b)$</th>
<th>$J/\psi$</th>
<th>$\psi'$</th>
<th>$\Upsilon$</th>
<th>$\Upsilon'$</th>
<th>$\Upsilon''$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48900</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The two main sources of background in the dimuon invariant mass spectrum are:

1. Combinatorial muon pairs from the decays of charged pions and kaons. The production of $\pi^\pm$ and $K^\pm$, which represent about 90% of the total produced charged particles, was simulated using input $d^2N/dp_Tdy$ distributions from HIJING, normalised to give $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} = 2500$ and 5000 in the 0–5% most central PbPb collisions. These multiplicities were selected as conservative (pessimistic) lower and upper limits at $\eta = 0$. However, extrapolations from RHIC indicate that the LHC multiplicity may, in fact, be lower than our chosen lower limit, with $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$ as low as 1300, see Section 1.4.8. The total relative yield of kaons over pions is $\sim 11\%$, but since the kaons have a harder spectrum ($\langle p_T \rangle = 0.6$ GeV/$c$) than the pions ($\langle p_T \rangle = 0.44$ GeV/$c$) and a shorter lifetime, $c\tau$, they effectively produce $\sim 80\%$ of the background reaching the muon chambers [193]. Before decaying, a few meters away from the production point, many pions and kaons suffer nuclear interactions in the calorimeters (which have an effective thickness of 11–16 interaction lengths in the barrel and 11 in the endcaps) [50, 164]. Punchthrough in the muon chambers is less than $1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ for hadrons with $p_T < 10$ GeV/$c$ [194]. About 0.3% (1%) of the produced pions (kaons) lead to tracks in the muon chambers, either because they decay to muons or because of punchthrough. The proton punchthrough
probability is more than two orders of magnitude lower and can safely be neglected.

2. Another source of background is due to muons from open heavy flavour \((D, B)\) meson decays. The probability to produce at least one muon at the end of the decay chain of charm (bottom) quarks is \(\sim 18\% (38\%)\) according to PYTHIA 6.025. The corresponding double differential \((p_T, \eta)\) cross sections are obtained from pp NLO calculations (with CTEQ5M1 PDFs and scales \(\mu_F = \mu_R = 2m_T\) for charm and \(m_T\) for bottom), which give \(\sigma_{c\bar{c}} = 7.5\) mb and \(\sigma_{b\bar{b}} = 0.2\) mb \([47]\). Including shadowing on the PDFs reduces the cross sections by 35% and 15%, respectively \([195]\). The number of heavy-quark pairs produced in AA collisions, as a function of impact parameter \(b\), is

\[
N_{AA}(Q\bar{Q}) = \sigma(Q\bar{Q}) T_{AA}(b),
\]

where \(T_{AA}(b)\) is the nuclear overlap function \((30.4\) mb^{-1} for head-on PbPb collisions). The \(D\) and \(B\) meson decays give similar contributions to the dimuon background since the higher average \(p_T\) of the \(b\) quark \((\langle p_T^b \rangle_c = 1.45\) GeV/c versus \(\langle p_T^b \rangle_c = 0.55\) GeV/c) is compensated by the much larger number of \(c\bar{c}\) pairs produced.

Note that in all input MC distributions for either the signal or the background we have not considered any nuclear modification other than a mild shadowing effect of the PDFs. Possible yield suppressions in the hot and dense medium due to colour screening and/or strong gluon saturation effects (for the quarkonia) or due to parton energy loss (for the light and heavy quarks background) have not been taken into account. We consider this approach to be the least biased possible since although the total quarkonia cross-sections will obviously be reduced if medium effects are present — the final goal of the analysis is exactly to use those reductions of the yields to infer the QCD matter properties — the reconstruction efficiencies and signal-over-background ratios should not be significantly changed.

Table 6.2 shows the average event particle multiplicity for the heavy-quark background and for the signal \((J/\psi, \Upsilon)\) expected in three PbPb centrality classes: head-on \((b = 0\) fm), 0–5% most central, and minimum bias \((0\text{–}100\%)\) collisions. The charged hadron multiplicity quoted corresponds to the high-multiplicity setting \((dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} = 5000)\) used in the fast MC simulations.

A fast MC simulation equivalent to \(5 \cdot 10^7\) PbPb events was then carried out superimposing the decay dimuons from the five quarkonium resonances on top of the background from the \(\pi, K\) and open heavy flavour combinatorial decays. Each muon track (with a given momentum, pseudorapidity, charge and origin) is weighted by a factor that takes into account the corresponding detector acceptance, as well as the trigger and reconstruction efficiencies for the two event multiplicities considered (see next section).

### 6.1.3 Reconstruction and analysis

**Dimuon trigger efficiency and acceptance.**

The response of the CMS detector to muons (or long-lived punchthrough pions and kaons reaching the muon chambers) is parameterised by 2-dimensional \((p, \eta)\) acceptance and trigger tables. The particles are fully tracked using GEANT4 (OSCAR 3.4.0 and ORCA 8.7.1) from the vertex to the chambers. Each track is accepted or rejected according to the Level-1 and Level-2 heavy-ion dimuon trigger criteria (i.e. the standard L1 pp muon trigger, with a low-quality \(\mu\) condition and without \(p_T\)-cut, and the standard pp L2 muon trigger, see
Table 6.2: Average event multiplicities for the quarkonia signals and for the heavy-quark background in PbPb collisions at 5.5 TeV, as implemented in the fast MC analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$b \approx 0$ fm</th>
<th>0–5% central</th>
<th>min. bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$dN_{ch}/d\eta</td>
<td>\eta</td>
<td>=0$</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{PbPb}(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$</td>
<td>1490</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{PbPb}(\psi' \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{PbPb}(\Upsilon \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{PbPb}(\Upsilon' \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{PbPb}(\Upsilon'' \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{PbPb}(\Xi^-)$ (w/ shadowing)</td>
<td>220 (150)</td>
<td>180 (120)</td>
<td>41 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{PbPb}(b\bar{b})$ (w/ shadowing)</td>
<td>6 (5)</td>
<td>4.9 (4.1)</td>
<td>1.1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 5.3.2.2 and Ref. [50]; and the corresponding efficiencies, $\epsilon_{trig}^{L1}(p, \eta)$ and $\epsilon_{trig}^{L2}(p, \eta)$, are computed. The trigger efficiencies are of the order of 90% for the muons reaching the muon chambers.

The $J/\psi$ and $\Upsilon$ acceptances are shown as a function of $p_T$ in Fig. 6.1 for two $\eta$ ranges: full detector ($|\eta| < 2.4$) and central barrel ($|\eta| < 0.8$). Because of their relatively small mass, low momentum $J/\psi$’s ($p \lesssim 4$ GeV/c) are mostly not accepted: their decay muons do not have enough energy to traverse the calorimeters and they are absorbed before reaching the chambers, curling in the 4 T magnetic field. At more forward rapidities the extra longitudinal Lorentz boost improves the acceptance, as can be seen in Fig. 6.2. The $J/\psi$ acceptance increases with $p_T$, flattening out at $\sim 15\%$ for $p_T \gtrsim 12$ GeV/c. The $\Upsilon$ acceptance starts at $\sim 40\%$ at $p_T = 0$ GeV/c and remains constant at $\sim 15\%$ (full detector) or 5% (barrel only) for $p_T > 4$ GeV/c. The $p_T$-integrated acceptance is about 1.2% for the $J/\psi$ and 26% for the $\Upsilon$, assuming our input theoretical distributions.

**Dimuon reconstruction efficiency and purity.**

The dimuon reconstruction algorithm used in the heavy-ion analysis follows the regional track finder, based on the muons seeded by the muon stations and on the knowledge of the primary vertex [187, 193]. It is adapted to deal with the high hit occupancy of the silicon tracker in PbPb collisions. The muon trajectories found by the Level 1 and Level 2 trigger algorithms (the same as used for pp running [50]), with the lowest quality requirement on the muon candidates at Level 1 [50], are extrapolated inwards to identify hits in the outermost silicon strip layer, and form the starting points (seeds) for the matching between the muons and the tracks in the silicon tracker. The propagation in the tracker is performed from the outer silicon strip layer towards the primary vertex [193, 196]. The final fit of the full trajectories is performed with a Kalman-fitter. The efficiency of a given muon pair is $\epsilon_{pair}(p, \eta) = \epsilon_{track1} \times \epsilon_{track2} \times \epsilon_{vertex}$. The dependence of the $\Upsilon$ reconstruction efficiency on the event multiplicity was obtained from a full GEANT simulation using the $\Upsilon$ signal dimuons embedded in HIJING PbPb events. Figure 6.3 shows the $\Upsilon$ efficiency and purity (where purity is defined as the fraction of correctly reconstructed $\Upsilon$) as a function of charged-particle multiplicity. Note that particle multiplicities are given here in terms of rapidity densities: due to
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Figure 6.1: $J/\psi$ (top) and $\Upsilon$ (bottom) acceptances (convoluted with trigger efficiencies) as a function of $p_T$, in the full detector (barrel and endcap, $|\eta| < 2.4$, full line) and only in the barrel ($|\eta| < 0.8$, dashed line).

the $\eta \rightarrow \eta$ Jacobian transformation, $dN_{\text{ch}} / dy|_{\eta=0} \approx 6500$ is equivalent to $dN_{\text{ch}} / d\eta|_{\eta=0} = 5000$. In the central barrel, the dimuon reconstruction efficiency remains above 80% for all multiplicities whereas the purity decreases slightly with increasing $dN_{\text{ch}} / d\eta|_{\eta=0}$ but also stays above 80% even at multiplicities as high as $dN_{\text{ch}} / d\eta|_{\eta=0} = 6500$. If (at least) one of the muons is detected in the endcaps, the efficiency and purity drop due to stronger reconstruction cuts. Nevertheless, for the $dN_{\text{ch}} / d\eta|_{\eta=0} \approx 2000$ multiplicities realistically expected in central PbPb at LHC, the efficiency (purity) remains above 65% (90%) even including the endcaps.

$J/\psi$ and $\Upsilon$ mass resolutions.

At the $\Upsilon$ mass, the dimuon mass resolution for muon pairs in the central barrel, $|\eta| < 0.8$, is 54 MeV/$c^2$, as obtained from a Gaussian fit of the reconstructed $M_{\mu^+\mu^-}$ distribution (using a detailed MC simulation without background). In the full pseudorapidity range, the dimuon mass resolution is about 1% of the quarkonium mass: 35 MeV/$c^2$ at the $J/\psi$ mass and 86 MeV/$c^2$ at the $\Upsilon$ mass (Fig. 6.4). These dimuon mass resolutions (the best among the LHC experiments) provide a clean separation of the different quarkonia states. These values are used to smear the dimuon mass distribution in the fast MC studies.

There is a slight dependence of the mass resolution on the event multiplicity. Increasing the multiplicity from $dN_{\text{ch}} / d\eta|_{\eta=0} = 0$ to 2500 degrades the mass resolution of the reconstructed $\Upsilon$ from 86 to 90 MeV/$c^2$. For larger particle densities, the resolution goes down more significantly because the endcap muons are then treated in a stricter way: a stronger cut is applied
Figure 6.2: Geometrical acceptances (no trigger efficiency included) as a function of $p_T$ and pseudorapidity for $J/\psi$ (top) and $\Upsilon$ (bottom) when requiring that both decay muons reach the muon chambers and penetrate through at least one muon chamber.

to the muons that intersect the endcap tracker disks to keep the purity of the reconstructed dimuon sample. This is done because the tracks in the endcap have up to two times worse momentum resolution than barrel tracks [50]. The efficiency of the forward muons is reduced but the purity is kept above 80% (Fig. 6.3). Alternatively, applying the same stringent cuts for all multiplicities would result in a drop of the mass resolution to 66, 63 and 58 MeV/$c^2$ for $dN_{ch}/dy|_{y=0} = 0$, 2500 and 5000, respectively. The residual dependence of the mass resolution on the event multiplicity reflects the ratio between events with both muons in the barrel part of the tracker and events with at least one muon intersecting the endcap tracker disks. This
6.1 Quarkonia

Figure 6.3: Υ reconstruction efficiency (left) and purity (right) as a function of the PbPb charged particle rapidity density, \( dN_{\text{ch}}/dy \) \( |y|=0 \).

Figure 6.4: Reconstructed \( J/\psi \) and Υ dimuon decays in the full simulation, for events without background. Both muons are in \( |\eta| < 2.4 \).

ratio amounts to 0.25, 0.28 and 0.34 for \( dN_{\text{ch}}/dy |y|=0 = 0, 2500 \) and 5000, respectively.

Two different approximations were studied to parameterize the mass resolution in the fast Monte Carlo. In the first method, a fixed value (37 MeV/\( c^2 \) for the \( J/\psi \) and 86 MeV/\( c^2 \) for the Υ mass ranges) was used to smear the dimuons for all pseudorapidity and multiplicity ranges. In the second method, the mass resolution was taken to be \( 0.009 \times M_{\mu^+\mu^-} \), where \( M_{\mu^+\mu^-} \) is the invariant mass of the muon pair. The two methods were used with both the fast and the full (HIJING) simulations [196]. No significant differences were observed and, thus, the first method was used to produce the final figures.

6.1.4 Results

About \( 5 \times 10^7 \) PbPb collisions were generated with the fast MC, as described previously. Muons passing the acceptance tables are combined to form pairs and each pair is weighted according to the trigger and reconstruction efficiencies (dependent on the momentum, pseudorapidity, purity and event multiplicity) determined with the full simulation. Their invariant mass is calculated and smeared as described in the previous section. The obtained dimuon mass distributions are then scaled to 0.5 nb\(^{-1}\), corresponding to the PbPb luminosity integrated in one month with average luminosity \( \mathcal{L} = 4 \times 10^{26} \) cm\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\) and 50% machine operation efficiency. Figure 6.5 shows the resulting opposite-sign dimuon mass distributions, for the high multiplicity case \( (dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta |\eta|=0 = 5000) \) and full acceptance (\(|\eta| < 2.4\)). The differ-
ent quarkonia resonances appear on top of a continuum due to the various sources of decay muons: $\pi + K$, charm and bottom decays.

Figure 6.5: Dimuon mass distributions measured within $|\eta| < 2.4$ for PbPb events with $dN_{ch}/d\eta_{|\eta|=0} = 5000$ (top) and 2500 (bottom) in the $J/\psi$ (left) and $\Upsilon$ (right) mass regions. The main background contributions are also shown: $h, c$ and $b$ stand for $\pi + K$, charm, and bottom decay muons, respectively.

The uncorrelated muon pairs background should also contribute to the like-sign muon pairs mass distribution. Figure 6.6 shows the like-sign and the opposite-sign distributions around the $J/\psi$ and $\Upsilon$ signals for the two multiplicity scenarios considered. Assuming that the CMS trigger and acceptance conditions treat opposite-sign and like-sign muon pairs on equal footing, the combinatorial like-sign background can be subtracted from the opposite-sign dimuon mass distribution, giving us a better access to the quarkonia decay signals. Applying such a background subtraction technique, in each mass bin, the signal is given by [120]:

$$N^{\text{Sig}} = N^{++} - 2\sqrt{N^{++}N^{--}}. \quad (6.2)$$

Figure 6.7 shows the signal dimuon mass distributions, after background subtraction, for two different scenarios: $dN_{ch}/d\eta_{|\eta|=0} = 5000$ and $|\eta| < 2.4$ (worst case scenario); $dN_{ch}/d\eta_{|\eta|=0} = 2500$ and $|\eta| < 0.8$ (best case scenario). The track reconstruction has a better momentum resolution in the barrel ($|\eta| < 0.8$) than in the endcaps, providing a better dimuon mass resolution. Except for the $\psi'$ (which is only seen in the best measurement conditions), all quarkonia states are clearly visible.

Signal/Background ratio and statistics.
Table 6.3 shows the $J/\psi$ and $\Upsilon$ statistics expected in one month of data taking. The Signal/Background ratios are also indicated: $S/B = 1.2$ (0.6) in the low (high) multiplicity settings for the $J/\psi$ and ten times lower for the $\Upsilon$. As mentioned above, these quantities have been calculated for an integrated luminosity of $0.5 \text{ nb}^{-1}$, corresponding to an average luminosity $L = 4 \times 10^{26} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ and a 50% machine efficiency. In the tables, the background and reconstructed resonance yields are given for a mass interval $\pm \sigma$, where $\sigma$ is the mass resolution. Since the reconstruction efficiencies depend on the multiplicity of tracks, it is not surprising to have a higher number of reconstructed resonances at the lower $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$.

The total expected statistics should be enough to allow for differential analyses of the yields as a function of the centrality of the collision, or of the transverse momentum or rapidity of the resonances.

The signal-to-background ratios and number of events tabulated in Table 6.3 correspond to an average over the impact parameter distribution. The corresponding signal-to-background ratios and number of reconstructed resonances in three different centrality bins (0–10% “central”, 15–50% “semicentral” and 60–100% “peripheral”) are shown in Table 6.4 for the two charged-particle multiplicity scenarios.

If we assume that, at the LHC, we will see a $J/\psi$ suppression of the same order of magnitude as seen at RHIC, the $J/\psi$ is not likely to be more suppressed than the muon background from light and heavy quark decays due to the foreseeable parton energy loss in the accepted phase space window ($p > 3 \text{ GeV}/c, |\eta| < 2.4$) [106, 197]. Thus the signal-to-background ratio at the
Figure 6.7: Signal dimuon mass distributions in the $J/\psi$ (left) and $\Upsilon$ (right) mass regions, as expected after one month of PbPb running. Top panels for $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} = 5000$ and $|\eta| < 2.4$ (worst case scenario); bottom panels for $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} = 2500$ and $|\eta| < 0.8$ (best case scenario).

$J/\psi$ mass should not change significantly from the values quoted here.

**Transverse momentum and rapidity spectra.**

The $J/\psi$ transverse momentum and rapidity distributions are shown in Fig. 6.8, at the generated and reconstructed levels, for the two different multiplicity scenarios. The corresponding distributions for the $\Upsilon$ are shown in Fig. 6.9.

While the reconstructed $\Upsilon$ transverse momentum distributions have a shape quite similar to the generated one, we see a pronounced acceptance effect on the $J/\psi$ spectrum up to about 4 GeV/$c$, reflecting the $J/\psi$ $p_T$ acceptance curve (see Fig. 6.1). With regard to the rapidity distributions, we see that the $J/\psi$ acceptance is smaller at midrapidity than at $|y| \approx 2$, because of the lower total momentum of the midrapidity muons. The reconstructed $\Upsilon$ rapidity distribution has a shape similar to the generated one. The reconstructed spectra are almost insensitive to the difference between the two considered multiplicity scenarios.

The amount of statistics collected for the $\Upsilon$ resonance family in one nominal heavy-ion run with the high-level-trigger (HLT) settings discussed in chapter 5 would allow one to study the $p_T$-dependence of the $\Upsilon'$ over $\Upsilon$ ratio (Fig. 6.10), which is a very sensitive probe of the thermodynamical properties of the produced QGP [189].
Table 6.3: Signal-to-background ratios and integrated quarkonia yields expected in one month of PbPb running (0.5 nb$^{-1}$ integrated luminosity) for two charged-particle multiplicity scenarios and two pseudorapidity windows.

| $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}, \Delta\eta$ | S/B | N(J/$\psi$) | S/B | N(\Upsilon) | N(\Upsilon') | N(\Upsilon'') |
|--------------------------------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 2500, $|\eta| < 2.4$ | 1.2 | 184 000 | 0.12 | 26 000 | 7300 | 4400 |
| 2500, $|\eta| < 0.8$ | 4.5 | 11 600 | 0.97 | 6400 |
| 5000, $|\eta| < 2.4$ | 0.6 | 146 000 | 0.07 | 20 300 | 5900 | 3500 |
| 5000, $|\eta| < 0.8$ | 2.8 | 12 600 | 0.52 | 6000 |

Table 6.4: Signal-to-background ratios and quarkonia yields expected in central, semi-central and peripheral PbPb collisions (0.5 nb$^{-1}$ integrated luminosity, full muon acceptance $|\eta| < 2.4$), for two charged-particle multiplicity scenarios.

| $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$, PbPb centrality | S/B | N(J/$\psi$) | S/B | N(\Upsilon) |
|--------------------------------------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|
| 2500, 0–10% (central) | 0.9 | 72 000 | 0.1 | 9200 |
| 2500, 15–50% (semicentral) | 1.5 | 100 000 | 0.2 | 15 000 |
| 2500, 60–100% ( peripheral) | 6.7 | 10 400 | 0.9 | 1600 |
| 5000, 0–10% (central) | 0.5 | 50 000 | 0.04 | 6200 |
| 5000, 15–50% (semicentral) | 0.6 | 85 000 | 0.08 | 12 000 |
| 5000, 60–100% ( peripheral) | 2.5 | 11 000 | 0.4 | 1600 |

Figure 6.8: $p_T$ (left) and rapidity (right) distributions of the muon pairs in the $J/\psi$ mass peak. The three distributions are the $J/\psi$’s produced in 0.5 nb$^{-1}$ (solid circles), and the reconstructed ones with either $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} = 2500$ (squares) or $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} = 5000$ (open circles).

6.1.5 Conclusions

With its very broad muon acceptance and precise tracking, CMS will provide significant contributions to heavy ion physics at the LHC. Studies of quarkonium production in PbPb...
Figure 6.9: $p_T$ (left) and rapidity (right) distributions of the muon pairs in the $\Upsilon$ mass peak. The three sets of points correspond to: $\Upsilon$'s produced in 0.5 nb$^{-1}$ (solid circles), reconstructed $\Upsilon$'s with $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} = 2500$ (squares), and reconstructed $\Upsilon$'s with $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} = 5000$ (open circles).

Figure 6.10: $\Upsilon'$ over $\Upsilon$ ratio as a function of $p_T$. Statistical errors correspond to a 10$^6$ s heavy-ion run with the HLT settings tabulated in Table 5.3. The curves are model calculations for different choices of the plasma initial conditions and for various assumptions on the screening mass temperature [189].

Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$ TeV will provide crucial information on the thermodynamical state of the QCD medium formed in these collisions, through the expected step-wise “melting” pattern of the different $Q\bar{Q}$ states due to colour screening. These results will also be sensitive to modifications of the low-$x$ nuclear parton distribution functions, as expected in the case of gluon saturation.

CMS can reconstruct the charmonium and bottomonium resonances, via their dimuon decay channel, with very large acceptances (25% for the $\Upsilon$), high efficiencies ($\sim 80\%$), good purity...
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\( \sim 90\% \) and a very good dimuon mass resolution (54 MeV/c^2 at the \( \Upsilon \) mass), when both muons are detected in the central barrel (\(|\eta| < 0.8\)), even in the case of exceptionally high multiplicities \((dN_{ch}/d\eta)_{|\eta|=0} \approx 5000\)). When considering the full pseudorapidity region (\(|\eta| < 2.4\)), the mass resolution becomes 86 MeV/c^2 at the \( \Upsilon \) and 35 MeV/c^2 at the \( J/\psi \), with 50\% dimuon reconstruction efficiencies. The \( \Upsilon \) states can be measured all the way down to \( p_T = 0 \) GeV/c with acceptances as large as 40\%, while the lower rest mass of the \( J/\psi \) state and the large amount of material in the calorimeters prevent us from measuring \( J/\psi \)'s below \( p_T \approx 4 \) GeV/c at mid-rapidity. At high \( p_T \) (above 12 GeV/c for the \( J/\psi \) and 4 GeV/c for the \( \Upsilon \)) the dimuon acceptance flattens out at 15\%.

The large aperture of the muon detectors and the precise tracking result in a very good separation between the \( Q\bar{Q} \) states in the dimuon mass distributions, and in relatively high statistics and good signal to background ratios. After one month of PbPb running (0.5 nb\(^{-1}\)) we should collect 180,000 \( J/\psi \) and 25,000 \( \Upsilon \) dimuons, enough to compare central and peripheral PbPb collisions, and to carry out differential studies \((dN/dy, dN/dp_T)\), which will surely contribute significantly to clarify the physics mechanisms behind the production (and destruction) of quarkonia states in high-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions.
6.2 Heavy Quarks

6.2.1 Introduction

While the study of inclusive high-$p_T$ jet production provides information on the differential response of a hot and dense quark-gluon environment to gluon and light quarks, the study of open heavy flavour production gives corresponding information on massive colour charges, providing a complementary view of the thermodynamical and transport properties of QCD matter. Recent RHIC results show a significant suppression of the yields of heavy-quark decay electrons at high $p_T$, comparable to that observed for light-quark hadrons [198]. This observation was surprising since heavy quarks, especially bottom quarks, expected to dominate the single electron spectra at RHIC for $p_T > 5$ GeV/$c$, were predicted to lose less energy than the light quarks. The RHIC results suggest that either charm production dominates the single electron spectra over all $p_T$ or bottom quarks lose as much energy as the charm quarks. Neither option was anticipated. At the LHC, the charm and bottom production cross sections are much larger than at RHIC [47] and, therefore, systematic studies of heavy flavour (especially $B$) production can be performed for the first time. Such studies, particularly of high mass dimuons, can test the effects of energy loss on bottom quarks to greater precision than at RHIC and in a mass region where other contributions to the continuum are small. In this section we present a first exploratory (mostly generator-level) study of the CMS capabilities to indirectly measure heavy quarks via three channels: (i) high mass dimuons from open heavy-quark decays [199–201]; (ii) secondary $J/\psi$’s from $B$ decays [200, 201]; and (iii) muon tagged $b$-jet production [202].

6.2.2 High-mass dimuons

The open charm and bottom semileptonic decays are the main sources of muon pairs in the resonance-free high invariant mass region, $20 < M_{\mu^+\mu^-} < 50$ GeV/$c^2$, between the $\Upsilon$ and $Z^0$ peaks. Heavy quark pairs are primarily produced at the very beginning of the nuclear collisions, in gluon-gluon processes. The quarks propagate through the dense medium before forming $B$ and $D$ mesons by capturing $u$, $d$ or $s$ quarks during the hadronization stage. Heavy mesons decay subsequently with mean lifetimes $\tau_B = 496, 464, 315$ and $124$ $\mu$m, respectively for $B^\pm$, $B^0$, $D^\pm$ and $D^0$, with muon branching ratios of about 20% (12%) for $B$ ($D$) mesons. However, not all the produced muons are expected to pass the CMS acceptance cuts ($p_T^\mu > 4$ GeV/$c$ and $|\eta^\mu| < 2.4$). Firstly, about half of the muons from $B$ decays are produced through an intermediate $D$ [203] and populate the softer part of the muon $p_T$ spectrum. Secondly, dimuon production from single $B$ decays, $B \rightarrow D\mu^+X \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-Y$ (which have comparable yields to $b\bar{b}$ pair decays), are concentrated at masses below the $B$ meson mass and, therefore, outside of the region we are considering here.

Figure 6.11 shows the expected dimuon mass spectra within the CMS acceptance and corresponding to one month of PbPb running at a luminosity $L = 10^{27}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ with 50% efficiency [157]. The PbPb production cross sections of all processes contributing to the high-mass dimuon yield (heavy flavours, Drell-Yan, $Z^0$, $W$, $WW$, $WZ^0$ and $Z^0Z^0$) were obtained from the PYTHIA [159] values for pp interactions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.5$ TeV, scaling them with $\sigma_{AA} = A^2\sigma_{pp}$. Uncorrelated muon pairs from hadronic $\pi$ and $K$ decays were obtained from PbPb events generated with HIJING [155], as described in Section 6.1.2. The dimuon detection efficiencies have been taken into account. In the mass range $10 < M_{\mu^+\mu^-} < 70$ GeV/$c^2$, the dominant contribution comes from $b\bar{b}$ fragmentation. Hence, the dimuon yield in this mass region should be sensitive to the in-medium bottom quark energy loss. The combina-
Figure 6.11: Expected contributions to the dimuon mass distribution, for $p_T^{\mu} > 5$ GeV/$c$ and $|\eta^{\mu}| < 2.4$, after one month of PbPb running.

The muon-pair background contribution, where one muon is from beauty decays and the other from $\pi/K$ decays, is about 16%. The contributions from $\pi/K$ and charm decays are 5–6%. In addition, a clear signal from $Z^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decays is seen, about 11 000 events within $M_{Z^{\pm}} \pm 10$ GeV/$c^2$, with less than 5% background. While $Z^0$ production is sensitive to the proton and neutron content of the colliding nuclei as well as to modifications of the quark densities in the nucleus at high $Q^2$, it is unaffected by final-state interactions in the medium. Thus, the $Z^0$ could be used as a reference process to normalize the jet, quarkonium and heavy flavor rates in AA relative to pp collisions. The dimuon rates from other massive sources ($t\bar{t}$, $WW$, $WZ^0$, $Z^0Z^0$) are negligible.

It is important to isolate the (possibly suppressed) signal dimuons from $b\bar{b}$ and $c\bar{c}$ decays with respect to other sources. The uncorrelated background from decays of pions and kaons, and the muon pairs of mixed origin, can be estimated from the like-sign $\mu^+\mu^+$ and $\mu^\mp\mu^\mp$ mass spectra and subtracted from the total $\mu^+\mu^-$ distribution, as discussed in the previous Section. Out of the remaining correlated background, the Drell-Yan dimuons (which are unaffected by medium-induced final state interactions) can be rejected with a secondary vertex cut: Drell-Yan muons come from the primary vertex while those from $B$ and $D$ meson decays appear at some distance from the interaction point. The path length between the primary and secondary vertices depends on the meson lifetime and its momentum (Lorentz boost). Thus, an efficient way to select the dimuons from $B$ decays is to require a minimum transverse distance, $\delta r$. If $P_{\text{min}}$ is the track point with minimal distance to the beam axis, $z$, then $\delta r$ is the distance in the $x$–$y$ plane between the points $P_{1,\text{min}}$ and $P_{2,\text{min}}$, of two different muon
tracks. Muon pairs from $b\bar{b}$ decays show a rather flat distribution while those from Drell-Yan production are concentrated at small $\delta r$ values, vanishing at $\delta r \approx 70 \mu m$ (see Fig. 6.12-left). Fast simulation studies, including the track and vertex resolutions, indicate that a cut $\delta r > 50 \mu m$ suppresses the Drell-Yan rate by two orders of magnitude while the signal is only reduced by 30% [201].

Figure 6.12-right shows the PYTHIA spectra (scaled by $A^2$) of high-mass $\mu^+\mu^-$ pairs (with $p_T > 5$ GeV/c and $|\eta| < 2.4$) for minimum bias PbPb collisions, with and without medium-induced energy loss of bottom quarks, according to the PYQUEN energy loss model [174, 204]. A factor of around 3 suppression for $b\bar{b} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ would be clearly observed over the 15% initial state nuclear shadowing expected in this kinematic region. Note that the rate integrated over all phase space is always conserved: the suppression in the rate becomes apparent only when the kinematic cuts are applied.

6.2.3 Secondary $J/\psi$ production

Another process which also carries information about medium-induced rescattering and bottom energy loss is secondary $J/\psi$ production from the $B \rightarrow J/\psi X$ decay (1.15% branching ratio). The $J/\psi$ subsequently decays to dimuons with a 5.9% branching ratio so that the whole process reads $gg \rightarrow b\bar{b} \rightarrow B\overline{B} \rightarrow J/\psi Y \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- Y$. A leading order pQCD calculation with muon kinematical cuts imposed to take into account the region where CMS is efficient ($p_T > 5$ GeV/c and $|\eta| < 2.4$) yields 13 000 dimuons from secondary $J/\psi$ decays in a nominal one month PbPb run. Primary $J/\psi$’s produced at the nucleus-nucleus interaction point can be rejected using the secondary vertex information (see Fig. 6.13-left), as previously discussed. Figure 6.13-right shows the $p_T$ distribution of the $J/\psi$ mesons resulting from $B$ meson decays in two cases: as generated by PYTHIA and after including energy loss effects (according to the PYQUEN [174, 204] model). It is clear that the measured secondary $J/\psi$
yields will be very sensitive to the suppression of open bottom yields.

Figure 6.13: Left: Transverse distance, $\delta r$, distribution of $\mu^+\mu^-$ pairs from secondary (solid histogram) and primary (dashed histogram) $J/\psi$ decays. Right: Transverse momentum distribution of secondary $J/\psi$ dimuons, with $p_T^{\mu} > 5$ GeV/c and $|\eta^\mu| < 2.4$, in minimum bias PbPb collisions, with (dashed histogram) and without (solid histogram) bottom energy loss [174].

6.2.4 $B$-jet tagging via energetic muons

The possibility to observe the medium-modified fragmentation of hard $b$ quarks tagged by a leading muon in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions has been analyzed in Ref. [202], using PYTHIA 6.2 [159] with CTEQ5L PDFs to calculate the cross section of $b$-jet production at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$ TeV, scaled by $A^2$ to obtain the corresponding PbPb spectra. The event rate has been estimated for the central CMS acceptance, $|\eta^{\text{jet}}| < 3$ and $|\eta^\mu| < 2.4$, where the muon is considered as a leading particle if it belongs to a hard jet and carries at least 20% of the jet transverse momentum. More specifically, the jet energy is determined as the total transverse energy of the final particles collected around the direction of a leading particle inside a cone $R = \sqrt{\Delta \eta^2 + \Delta \phi^2} = 0.5$, where $\eta$ and $\phi$ are the pseudorapidity and the azimuthal angle, respectively. The pp cross section to have a leading muon from a $B$ meson is $\sim 0.7$ pb, with the kinematical cuts $p_T^\mu > 5$ GeV/c and $E_T^{\text{jet}} > 50$ GeV. This gives a 0.7 pb $\times$ $(208)^2 \approx 0.03$ mb PbPb cross section. The corresponding event rate in one month PbPb running is high enough, $2 \times 10^4$, for detailed $B$-jet energy loss studies. Naturally, increasing the minimal jet energy threshold reduces the measured rates; but even for $E_T^{\text{jet}} > 100$ GeV we still expect around $10^3$ events.
Chapter 7

Jets and high-$p_T$ hadrons

7.1 Introduction

The abundance of high $Q^2$ processes at LHC energies will provide large samples of high $E_T$ jets, large $p_T$ hadrons, and jets produced back-to-back to gauge bosons ($\gamma^*, Z^0$). The strong interest in these observables in heavy-ion collisions stems from the fact that high $E_T$ quarks and gluons can be used as tomographic probes of the hot and dense medium produced in the collision as they propagate (and, potentially, attenuate) through it (see discussion in Section 1.4.5). Their hard momentum ensures that their production cross sections and medium modifications are perturbatively calculable, making them quantitative diagnostic tools of QCD matter.

Experimentally, one can study jet quenching phenomena in several channels. In jet+jet events, the energy of the jets can be asymmetrically reduced by the medium, leading to the appearance of monojet topologies [90]. Comparisons of jets with their weakly interacting counterparts in $\gamma$-jet or $Z$-jet events allow one to directly estimate the amount of medium-induced energy loss. The process by which the high-$p_T$ partons become final state hadrons is characterized by fragmentation functions, that are also expected to be modified in the medium. Medium-induced parton energy loss is expected to change the multiplicity inside the jet cone and the $p_T$ distribution relative to the jet axis [44, 205]. The jet quenching can also manifest itself by a reduced yield of inclusive (leading) hadrons at high $p_T$ compared to pp collisions. The corresponding nuclear modification factors, $R_{AA}(p_T)$, and the central-to-peripheral ratios, $R_{CP}(p_T)$, of charged particle $p_T$ spectra are important observables characterizing energy loss in the created medium, and have been extensively studied at RHIC [206–209]. The $p_T$ reach of these measurements will be dramatically increased at LHC energies.

CMS is, by design, an experiment extremely well suited to measure hard scattering processes. With high quality electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters covering a wide pseudorapidity range and with excellent trigger capabilities, CMS will undoubtedly provide better measurements than ALICE in the perturbative sector of heavy-ion collisions. In particular, CMS will be able to adequately reconstruct jets in the high multiplicity environment of PbPb collisions, using calorimetric measurements [44, 210–212], as well as precise charged particle tracking and momentum reconstruction up to momenta of hundreds of GeV/c [162]. This is particularly interesting given the fact that heavy-ion collisions at LHC energies will provide, for the first time, fully formed high $E_T$ jets, at a rate of more than 10 jet pairs per second. Systematic studies will, hence, be possible in a clean kinematic regime, far beyond the limits of RHIC, and with high statistics.
This chapter starts with the methodology and performance of the jet reconstruction in heavy-ion collisions, followed by a description of the jet triggering strategy and the trigger rates expected using the calorimeters. We will then present the expected reach in jet $E_T$, up to 0.5 TeV in central PbPb using the triggered event sample. We will then introduce the reconstruction performances of the CMS tracker in heavy ion collisions for high-$p_T$ hadrons, the statistical reach of the inclusive charged particle $p_T$ spectra (up to 300 GeV), the nuclear modification factors and the central-to-peripheral ratios of these spectra, discussing the benefits of triggering on jets with respect to the option of simply using minimum bias data. The combination of the large reach of the inclusive jet and hadron measurements allows for a detailed study of the in-medium jet fragmentation function. The chapter closes with a discussion of the physics interest and experimental capabilities of studies of jet production tagged with gauge bosons ($\gamma, \gamma^\ast, Z^0$).

7.2 Jets

7.2.1 Introduction

In this section we present a realistic estimate of the expected statistical reach of the inclusive jet spectra measurement in different PbPb centralities in one month at design luminosity (0.5 nb$^{-1}$). We review the full jet reconstruction capabilities of CMS, including a description of the algorithm to deal with the high particle multiplicities and the detector performance. We discuss the expected trigger rates for the HLT strategy and thresholds discussed in the previous HLT chapter, and we present the results obtained with a fast simulation, with parametrized jet energy resolutions obtained from full GEANT4 studies.

7.2.2 Jet measurement

The reconstruction of full jets in PbPb collisions at the LHC faces two experimental challenges. First, the randomness of the fragmentation/hadronization process leads to fluctuations of the fraction of jet energy going into final state charged and neutral particles (see Fig. 7.1, left) [213] which require an efficient measurement of the jet hadronic as well as electromagnetic energy, using fully hermetic and highly segmented calorimeters. Given the steep (exponential or power law) falloff of the jet cross section as a function of energy, a precise calibration of the jet energy scale is also essential in order to perform an unbiased comparison between data and theoretical predictions. The second experimental challenge in a heavy-ion environment — where the amount of soft hadronic activity is much larger than in pp collisions — is the separation of the particles associated with the jet from the background of soft remnants in the underlying event. The right panel of Fig. 7.1 shows the jet “fragmentation function”, $dN/dz$, i.e. the transverse momentum distribution of hadrons resulting from the fragmentation of a jet ($z$ is the fraction of parton energy carried by the hadron). Even for very high energy partons, most of the energy goes into rather soft particles. To separate the particles belonging to the jet from the underlying collision background a minimum momentum must be required (say, well above 4 GeV/c), resulting in a severe bias in the fragmentation function of low energy jets ($E_T < 50$ GeV). This emphasizes the advantage of studying highly energetic jets ($E_T > 50$ GeV), to reduce such bias in the cross sections and fragmentation functions. Furthermore, such studies give access to the low-$z$ region of the fragmentation functions.
7.2. Jets

Figure 7.1: Jet properties measured by CDF in \( p\bar{p} \) collisions at \( \sqrt{s} = 1.8 \) TeV [213]. Left: Fraction of electromagnetic energy in jets with different ranges of \( E_T \) (dots) compared to the HERWIG Monte Carlo predictions (histogram). Right: Fragmentation function for jets of different \( E_T \) obtained by CDF compared to HERWIG predictions.

7.2.3 Reconstruction algorithm

Jet reconstruction in heavy-ion collisions in CMS is performed with an iterative cone algorithm modified to subtract the underlying soft background on an event-by-event basis [210]. The algorithm is a variant of an iterative “noise/pedestal subtraction” technique. Initially, the mean value and dispersion of the energies recorded in the calorimeter cells are calculated for all rings of cells at constant pseudorapidity, \( \eta \). The value of this pedestal function, \( P(\eta) \), is then subtracted from all cells and the jets are reconstructed, using a standard iterative cone algorithm, from the remaining non-empty cells. In a second iteration, the pedestal function is recalculated using only calorimeter cells outside the area covered by reconstructed high \( E_T \) jets (\( E_T > 30 \) GeV). The cell energies are updated with the new pedestal function and the jets are reconstructed again, using the updated calorimeter cells.

7.2.4 Reconstruction performance

The capability of CMS to reconstruct hard QCD jets in PbPb events has been extensively studied using full GEANT4 (OSCAR) detector simulations with realistic assumptions concerning jet and hadron spectra, and particle multiplicities. To evaluate the reconstruction performance, event samples of (signal) QCD dijet events were generated as pp collisions with PYTHIA 6.158 [159], in several intervals of the initial parton transverse momentum (\( \hat{p}_T \) = 50–60, 70–80, 90–105, 120–130, 200–210, and 300–310 GeV/c), and embedded into central PbPb background events at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5 \) TeV. The PbPb background was simulated with the HIJING Monte-Carlo generator [155] (default settings, quenching on) scaling the charged particle multiplicity to a value \( dN_{ch}/dy\big|_{y=0} = 5000 \) and only generating events without hard collisions. The energy flow, \( \langle E_{\text{tower}}(\eta) \rangle \), defined as the transverse energy per calorimeter tower averaged over the full azimuthal angle at a given \( \eta \), is shown in the left panel of Fig. 7.2, separately for the ECAL and HCAL calorimeters. The transverse energy flow shows a strong \( \eta \) dependence; at mid-rapidity, most of the energy is reconstructed in the ECAL. The right
panel of Fig. 7.2 shows the background fluctuations in the (ECAL + HCAL) calorimeter towers, \( \langle \sigma_{\text{tower}}^T(\eta) \rangle = \sqrt{\langle D_{\text{tower}}^T(\eta) \rangle} \), where \( D_{\text{tower}}^T(\eta) \) is the variance of the transverse energy per tower, \( D_{\text{tower}}^T(\eta) = (E_{\text{tower}}^T(\eta))^2 - (E_{\text{tower}}^T(\eta))^2 \), summed over all towers at a given \( \eta_{\text{tower}} \). The average values of \( E_T \) per tower (ECAL + HCAL) for central PbPb collisions are 1.7 GeV in the barrel and 4.8 GeV in the endcap (excluding the last tower in the endcap), with dispersions of 0.9 and 1.5 GeV, respectively.

Figure 7.2: Left: Transverse energy flow \( \langle E_{\text{tower}}^T(\eta) \rangle \), averaged over \( \phi \), as a function of pseudorapidity \( \eta_{\text{tower}} \), as reconstructed in the ECAL (open histogram) and in the HCAL (closed histogram). Right: Dispersion \( \langle \sigma_{\text{tower}}^T(\eta) \rangle \) of the transverse energy distribution in the calorimeter towers (ECAL + HCAL), as a function of pseudorapidity \( \eta_{\text{tower}} \).

The jet reconstruction performance is studied in events with and without addition of the background from central PbPb collisions. Jets are reconstructed using the modified iterative cone jet finder (cone radius of \( R = 0.5 \)) with event-by-event background subtraction and a threshold of 30 GeV on the reconstructed jet energy. Only one jet per event, the jet with the largest transverse energy, is used for further analysis. The quality of the jet reconstruction is evaluated by matching the jets reconstructed in the full detector simulation to jets reconstructed from the generator level particles in the corresponding signal events.

The influence of the background subtraction algorithm on the jet energy scale is evaluated by studying the correlation between the reconstructed, \( E_{\text{reco}}^T \), and the generated, \( E_{\text{MC}}^T \), transverse energies of jets in a cone of \( R = 0.5 \), both in central PbPb events and in jet events without background. Such correlations are shown in Fig. 7.3, for the barrel (left panel) and endcap (right panel) regions. The points represent the mean transverse energy of the reconstructed jets and the error bars show the dispersion of the corresponding distributions. On average, the reconstructed jet energy in central PbPb collisions corresponds very well to the energy reconstructed without background. In events with background, with respect to the events without background, the mean values of the reconstructed jet transverse energy are slightly lower for \( E_{\text{MC}}^T > 200 \) GeV and slightly higher for \( E_{\text{MC}}^T < 100 \) GeV. Although the values with
and without background are compatible with each other within their error bars, the $E_{\text{T}}^{\text{reco}}$ vs. $E_{\text{T}}^{\text{MC}}$ correlation seems to have a slightly different “slope”.

Figure 7.3: Correlation between the reconstructed and the generated jet transverse energies, in PbPb (full squares) and in jet events without background (open circles), in the central barrel ($|\eta| < 0.3$, left panel) and endcap ($1.6 < |\eta| < 1.9$, right panel) regions.

The jet energy resolution, defined as $\sigma(E_{\text{T}}^{\text{reco}}/E_{\text{T}}^{\text{MC}})/(E_{\text{T}}^{\text{reco}}/E_{\text{T}}^{\text{MC}})$, is shown in Fig. 7.4 for the central barrel (left panel) and endcap (right panel) regions. For jets above $E_T = 75$ GeV, the jet energy resolution is degraded by a factor $\sim 1.3$ in high multiplicity central PbPb collisions compared to jets without background.

Figure 7.4: Jet energy resolution, in percent, in central PbPb collisions (full squares) and in jet events without background (open circles), in the central barrel ($|\eta| < 0.3$, left panel) and endcap ($1.6 < |\eta| < 1.9$, right panel) regions.

Since the azimuthal angle and the rapidity distributions of jets are of particular interest for jet quenching observables in heavy-ion collisions, spatial resolution is important. Figure 7.5 shows the differences in pseudorapidity ($\Delta\eta$, left panel) and in azimuthal angle ($\Delta\phi$, right panel) between reconstructed and generated jets in events without and with PbPb background for different generated jet energies in the barrel. For 100 GeV jets, the $\eta$ and $\phi$ resolutions are 2.8% and 3.2%, respectively. They are slightly better in the endcap region than in the barrel. The jet spatial resolution is degraded in central PbPb collisions in comparison with the event sample without background, but is still better than the $\eta$, $\phi$ size of one calorimeter tower ($0.087 \times 0.087$). Thus, the spatial position of a hard jet can be reconstructed in heavy-ion collisions in CMS with sufficient accuracy for the analysis of jet production as a function of azimuthal angle and of pseudorapidity.
Figure 7.5: Jet pseudorapidity (left) and azimuthal angle (right) resolutions as a function of jet transverse energy, in central PbPb collisions (full squares) and in jet events without background (open circles) in the central barrel (|\eta| < 0.3) region.

Figure 7.6 shows the efficiency and purity of the calorimetric jet reconstruction in the barrel (left panel) and endcap regions (right panel) as a function of the MC jet energy. The efficiency of the jet reconstruction is defined as the fraction of events with a true QCD jet matched to the reconstructed jet among all the generated events. It is essentially 100% for $E_T$ above 75 GeV (100 GeV) jets in the barrel (endcap) region. The purity of the reconstructed jet sample is defined as the number of events with a matched true QCD jet divided by the number of events with at least one reconstructed jet (fake or real) with transverse energy above 30 GeV. For jets in the barrel (endcap) with $E_T$ above 50 GeV (75 GeV), the purity of the reconstructed jet sample is essentially 100%. More detailed descriptions of the jet reconstruction algorithm and performance can be found in Ref.s [211, 212, 214, 215].

### 7.2.5 Jet studies with fast simulation

The aim of this study is to estimate the statistical reach of the expected inclusive jet (and corresponding inclusive high-$p_T$ charged hadron) spectra in different PbPb collision centralities, corresponding to one month of LHC heavy-ion running at design luminosity (0.5 nb$^{-1}$). Given the large statistics (millions of heavy-ion events) necessary to complete the study, a full detector simulation is, computationally, too expensive. Alternatively, we have employed a fast simulation technique including the basic geometrical coverage of the CMS calorimeter system, magnetic field and segmentation, adjusting the most important detector response features, like the energy resolution of the jet reconstruction algorithm, to agree with the performance obtained using detailed detector simulations [211, 212, 214, 215]. A summary of the procedure is described below. More detailed information can be found in Ref. [186].

Jet reconstruction is carried out within the HIROOT framework (see Appendix 2). The same modified iterative cone algorithm is used as in the full simulations, with a seed threshold of $E_{\text{seed}} = 10$ GeV and a minimum $E_T$ cut of 30 GeV. The particles in the PbPb final state, generated by HYDJET, are sorted into $\eta$ – $\phi$ bins corresponding to the CMS hadronic calorimeter segmentation. Charged tracks with $p_T$ smaller than 0.8 GeV/c are not considered (since they
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Figure 7.6: Transverse energy dependence of the reconstruction efficiency (open squares) and purity (closed triangles) of the jet reconstruction, in central PbPb events in the central barrel ($|\eta| < 0.3$, left panel) and in the endcap ($1.6 < |\eta| < 1.9$, right panel).

The resolution of the jet energy obtained by this procedure, includes the smearing induced by the fluctuating soft particle underlying heavy-ion background. The resolution obtained in this way does not take into account the intrinsic energy resolution of the calorimeter, or any kind of detector response. To approach reality more closely, the jet energy resolutions were adjusted (“smeared”) to match the full OSCAR simulations discussed in the previous section.

Figure 7.7 compares the resolutions from the fast (HIROOT) and full (OSCAR) detector simulations. In the case of central PbPb collisions, the $E_T$ dependence of the resolution given by the full detector simulation (closed black squares) is well reproduced by the fast simulation results (closed blue triangles). A very good agreement is obtained when the jet energy reconstructed is convoluted with an additional Gaussian smearing function of 3–15%, depending on multiplicity and $E_T$ (closed red circles).

In pp collisions the difference between the generator level and OSCAR resolutions is much larger, because of the lack of background fluctuations and any other detector effects in the fast simulation. Therefore, a larger jet energy smearing is added in the pp case to adjust...
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Figure 7.7: Jet \(E_T\) resolutions (in percent), as a function of \(E_T\), as obtained in the fast (HIROOT) and full (OSCAR) detector simulations. After additional smearing, the HIROOT resolutions are in good agreement with those from the full simulations, both for pp and for PbPb collisions.

The fast Monte Carlo resolutions to the OSCAR results. The extra smearing is slightly \(E_T\) dependent in both the pp and PbPb cases.

The good agreement seen in Fig. 7.7 between the red circles (smeared fast MC) and the black squares (OSCAR) is precise enough to justify doing the large statistics simulations and the trigger studies only using the fast simulation tool.

After the extra necessary smearing has been determined for pp and central PbPb collisions, the amount of smearing in non-central PbPb collisions is interpolated between these two cases, for each generated event, assuming that the smearing scales like the square root of the multiplicity density at midrapidity, \(\sqrt{dN_{ch}/dy}|y=0}\).

Although a multiplicity density of \(dN_{ch}/dy\)|\(y=0\) = 5000 was used in these comparisons and adjustments, a smaller multiplicity density, \(dN_{ch}/dy\)|\(y=0\) = 3200, is assumed for central PbPb collisions for all other results presented here.

7.2.6 Trigger rates

The expected number of jet pairs produced with \(E_T^{\text{jet}} > 100\) GeV in the CMS acceptance is as high as \(4.3 \times 10^6\) in one month of PbPb running at the LHC [44]. As discussed in detail in Chapter 5, the high readout rate of the CMS data acquisition system allows inspection of all minimum bias PbPb events by the high level trigger farm. The jet reconstruction algorithm
fits into the foreseen HLT time budget and can thus be used to derive a trigger decision using fully reconstructed jets in each inspected PbPb event.

Table 7.1 collects the bandwidth allocations for the various trigger channels relevant for jets (see Table 5.3 for more details). The average event size depends on the trigger condition, because a high energy jet trigger tends to select slightly more central collisions. The maximum logging rate in each channel (last column) is the ratio between the allocated bandwidth and the average event size. Since the jet quenching effect introduces a centrality-dependent modification of the shape of the jet $E_T$ distribution, it is not a priori possible to calculate the corresponding HLT pre-scale factor, which will have to be determined from real data once data taking starts.

Table 7.1: Part of the strawman trigger table (Table 5.3) relevant for jet-related studies at design PbPb luminosity. For each channel we quote the allocated bandwidth, the average size of the events satisfying the trigger condition and the average data logging rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>$E_T$ threshold</th>
<th>Bandwidth [MByte/s]</th>
<th>event size [MByte]</th>
<th>rate to tape [Hz]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>min. bias</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33.75 (15%)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>13.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jet 100 GeV</td>
<td>24.75 (11%)</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jet 75 GeV</td>
<td>27 (12%)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jet 50 GeV</td>
<td>27 (12%)</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The CMS High Level Trigger will have a large buffer storage that ensures data taking with almost no dead time. The channels with large input rate will be appropriately prescaled so that the rate to tape will be $\min\{r_i, R_i\}$, where $r_i$ is the input rate and $R_i$ is the maximum rate to tape in the $i^{th}$ channel. The jet rates were obtained using the standard integrated luminosity of 0.5 nb$^{-1}$ and a 7.8 b inelastic PbPb cross section, giving a total of $3.9 \times 10^9$ PbPb collisions. The impact parameter distribution was sliced into bins corresponding to 10% of the total inelastic cross section, from most central (0–10%) to most peripheral (80–90%). A few million minimum bias HYDJET events were used to count the number of jets in each centrality bin, in the $|\eta| < 2$ range, including jet quenching and the extra smearing that makes the resolution in the fast simulation similar to the one of OSCAR. The number of jets with $E_T$ above 50, 75, and 100 GeV are listed in the “Infinite bandwidth” rows of Table 7.2.

The second row for each centrality bin lists the number of jets in the minimum bias trigger channel, which has 15% of the allocated bandwidth. The trigger efficiency was estimated to be 0.35%, assuming a 2.5 MByte average event size [188], 10$^6$ seconds of data taking per month and a constant luminosity during each store. The third row, labeled “Triggered”, contains the number of jets to be collected using the jet trigger.

The values in Table 7.2, which reflect the jet quenching model of the HYDJET event generator, show that the production rate of $E_T > 100$ GeV jets is below the bandwidth limit (hence, all those events will be stored), while the events with less energetic jets will have to be pre-scaled, by factors around 10 and 2 for the 50 and 75 GeV jet trigger channels, respectively. We can also see that the gain in the number of jets at high $E_T$ due to the jet trigger, compared to the minimum bias rates, will be more than two orders of magnitude. We will now present the benefit of the high-$E_T$ trigger on the expected $E_T$ reach of the jet spectra, for different PbPb centralities.
Table 7.2: Number of jets (with axis within $|\eta| < 2$) above three different $E_T$ thresholds, assuming 7.8 b inelastic PbPb cross-section and 0.5 nb$^{-1}$ integrated luminosity. 15% of the total bandwidth was allocated to the minimum bias trigger and 11–12% to the individual triggered channels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Centrality bin</th>
<th>Data taking mode</th>
<th>50 GeV</th>
<th>75 GeV</th>
<th>100 GeV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–10%</td>
<td>Infinite bandwidth</td>
<td>$2.27 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$3.71 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$9.67 \times 10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum bias</td>
<td>$7.86 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$1.28 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$3.35 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triggered</td>
<td>$2.02 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$1.79 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$9.67 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–20%</td>
<td>Infinite bandwidth</td>
<td>$1.40 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.51 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$6.74 \times 10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum bias</td>
<td>$4.85 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$8.69 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$2.33 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triggered</td>
<td>$1.23 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$1.21 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$6.74 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–30%</td>
<td>Infinite bandwidth</td>
<td>$1.03 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$1.98 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$6.29 \times 10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum bias</td>
<td>$3.57 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$6.85 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$2.18 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triggered</td>
<td>$9.22 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$9.54 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$6.29 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–40%</td>
<td>Infinite bandwidth</td>
<td>$7.06 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$1.25 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$3.17 \times 10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum bias</td>
<td>$2.44 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$4.33 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$1.10 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triggered</td>
<td>$6.33 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$6.01 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$3.17 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–50%</td>
<td>Infinite bandwidth</td>
<td>$4.84 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$9.30 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$2.78 \times 10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum bias</td>
<td>$1.68 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$3.22 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$9.62 \times 10^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triggered</td>
<td>$4.34 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$4.48 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$2.78 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–60%</td>
<td>Infinite bandwidth</td>
<td>$3.28 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$5.89 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$1.72 \times 10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum bias</td>
<td>$1.14 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.04 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$5.95 \times 10^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triggered</td>
<td>$2.94 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$2.84 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$1.72 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–70%</td>
<td>Infinite bandwidth</td>
<td>$1.87 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$3.68 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$9.92 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum bias</td>
<td>$6.47 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$1.27 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$3.43 \times 10^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triggered</td>
<td>$1.68 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$1.78 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$9.92 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–80%</td>
<td>Infinite bandwidth</td>
<td>$9.23 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$1.80 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$4.98 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum bias</td>
<td>$3.12 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$6.23 \times 10^2$</td>
<td>$1.72 \times 10^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triggered</td>
<td>$8.26 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$8.67 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$4.98 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–90%</td>
<td>Infinite bandwidth</td>
<td>$3.96 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$7.24 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$1.82 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum bias</td>
<td>$2.24 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$3.98 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$1.10 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triggered</td>
<td>$3.55 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$3.49 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$1.82 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Infinite bandwidth</td>
<td>$6.54 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$1.16 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$3.20 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum bias</td>
<td>$2.26 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$4.02 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$1.11 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triggered</td>
<td>$5.82 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$5.50 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$3.20 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2.7 Jet $E_T$ spectra reach

The left panel of Fig. 7.8 shows the simulated leading jet $E_T$ distribution as collected after one month of PbPb running at the LHC (0.5 nb$^{-1}$). There are four different data sets contributing to this figure, collected with the minimum bias trigger and with the three different triggered samples, requiring jet $E_T$ values above 50, 75 and 100 GeV. The relative integrals of these different contributions reflect the HLT bandwidth allocations. In particular, assigning 15% of the total bandwidth to minimum bias triggers, we should collect 13.5 million minimum bias events in one month. The original shape of the non-triggered distribution can be recovered by scaling the relative yields of the four data sets so that a smooth distribution is obtained. The scaling factors are determined by considering each pair of consecutive data sets and
fitting the combined leading jet $E_T$ spectrum with a power law in the joining region (see Fig. 7.8). It is worth noting that the optimal scaling factors can be determined from the data distribution without any prior knowledge of the spectrum, simply minimizing the $\chi^2$ of the power-law fit.

Figure 7.8: Left panel: Leading jet $E_T$ distributions for one month PbPb running (0.5 nb$^{-1}$) taking into account the HLT bandwidth allocations: untriggered dataset (black) and triggered samples with $E_T$ thresholds of 50, 75 and 100 GeV (blue, green and red, respectively). Right panel: The same distributions but normalized per event and scaled by appropriate factors to recover the shape of the non-triggered distribution, with much higher statistics.

This way, the shape of the minimum bias $E_T$ spectrum is recovered, but with much larger statistics. The right panel of Fig. 7.8 shows these datasets scaled by the appropriate factors, and sliced into the intervals between trigger thresholds. Here, only the events generated for the dataset with 50 GeV threshold contribute to the histogram in the zone of leading jet transverse energies between 50 and 75 GeV, and so on. Figure 7.9 shows the corresponding inclusive jet $E_T$ distributions.

With the effective integrated luminosity sampled by our HLT trigger settings, CMS can measure inclusive jet production up to $E_T \approx 0.5$ TeV in central PbPb collisions at 5.5 TeV. Such a large $E_T$ reach and statistics allow one to carry out detailed differential studies of jet quenching phenomena. A few examples are shown in the next sections.
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7.3 High-\(p_T\) hadrons

7.3.1 Introduction

Above \(p_T \approx 5 \text{ GeV}/c\), hadron production is dominated by the fragmentation products of (semi)hard partons. In high-energy AA collisions, the momentum of the leading hadrons of the jets carry information on the amount of energy lost by the fragmenting parent parton. At RHIC, in central AuAu collisions, the suppression of hadron production at high transverse momenta (up to \(p_T = 20 \text{ GeV}/c\)) has been one of the most important observables to study medium-induced parton energy loss (see Section 1.4.5). The measured suppression factor provides information on the initial gluon density and on the diffusion properties of the medium (quantified by the transport coefficient, \(\langle \tilde{q} \rangle\)) \cite{95,216}. CMS can extend the transverse momentum reach of this measurement up to \(p_T = 300 \text{ GeV}/c\), in PbPb collisions, thanks to the large hard scattering cross sections at \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5 \text{ TeV}\), the high luminosity and the large acceptance of the CMS tracking system (\(|\eta| < 2.5\)). A trigger is necessary to enhance particle yields at the highest transverse momenta reachable at LHC energies. In this section, we present the statistical reach expected for the measurement of inclusive charged hadron spectra in different PbPb centrality classes as well as in the nuclear modification factors, by making use of the powerful tracking capabilities of the CMS silicon tracker and the high-\(E_T\) jet trigger scheme discussed in the previous section.

7.3.2 Charged particle tracking efficiency

The reconstruction capabilities of the CMS Silicon Tracking System for charged particles with \(p_T > 0.8 \text{ GeV}/c\) have been studied in detail \cite{162} using a full detector simulation, with the GEANT4-based detector simulation package OSCAR and the reconstruction package ORCA. Figure 7.10 shows the transverse momentum dependence of the obtained algorithmic tracking efficiency (closed symbols) and of the fake rate (open symbols) in central (b = 0 fm, left panel) and peripheral (b = 9 fm, right panel) PbPb collisions. A charged particle multiplicity-
ity density of $dN_{ch}/dy|_{y=0} = 3200$ is assumed for central reactions. In this high-multiplicity environment, an algorithmic tracking efficiency of at least 75% is achieved for all centralities, keeping the fake track rate for $p_T > 1$ GeV/$c$ below the 5% level. The charged particle reconstruction code developed for treating PbPb events requires, for particles to be reconstructible, that they deposit hits in all the three pixel layers, for trajectory seeding, and have a minimum of 8 hits in the full silicon tracker (pixel plus strip layers).

These geometrical requirements, convoluted with the probability that particles suffer nuclear interactions in the tracker material and, hence, fail to deposit the minimum number of hits in the silicon tracking system, give an efficiency of about 80% for charged particles in the tracker volume ($|\eta| < 2.5$). Folding in the algorithmic reconstruction efficiency, high $p_T$ charged tracks have a global probability of $75\% \times 80\% = 60\%$ to be reconstructed.

![Figure 7.10: Algorithmic charged particle tracking efficiency (closed points) and fake rate (open symbols), obtained from detailed OSCAR simulation and ORCA reconstruction for central (b=0, left panel) and peripheral (b=9 fm, right panel) PbPb collisions [162].](image-url)

In addition, as shown in Fig. 7.11, high-$p_T$ tracks are reconstructed with excellent momentum resolution, $\Delta p_T/p_T < 1.5\%$ (for $p_T < 100$ GeV/$c$), and with a track impact parameter resolution better than 50 $\mu$m.

### 7.3.3 Results

The HYDJET model gives a factor of 4–5 suppression of the hadron yields at high $p_T$ in central PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$ TeV, due to parton energy loss in the produced medium, with a decreasing amount of suppression with increasing impact parameter (i.e. when going from central to peripheral collisions). In order to determine the expected inclusive charged particle spectra and nuclear modification factors for different PbPb centrality classes, we use the fast MC HIROOTcomplemented with realistic parametrizations of the efficiencies, as obtained from full simulations. The total reconstruction efficiency for high-$p_T$ charged tracks in the CMS silicon tracker is ~ 60%, independently of $p_T$. The effect of this efficiency loss is simulated in our generator level study by randomly removing 40% of the generated tracks (in a $p_T$-independent way) and by applying a $1/0.6$ correction factor when getting the charged particle $p_T$ spectrum. In addition, we also correct for the trigger efficiencies, by applying scaling factors obtained for each HLT trigger class. Data sets have been generated following the
trigger requirements and containing the amount of jets (with $E_T$ above the specific threshold of each class) expected for one month of PbPb data taking at design luminosity. The data sets are then combined in the way previously explained, so that the statistical errors on the charged particle $p_T$ spectrum realistically reflect the expected experimental situation after one month of data taking with the four different trigger conditions. In total, 7.2 million minimum bias events and 6.2 million triggered events were generated. The resulting spectra, as well as the $R_{AA}(p_T)$ and $R_{CP}(p_T)$ ratios are presented in the next sections.

### 7.3.3.1 Inclusive high-$p_T$ spectra

Figure 7.12 shows the charged particle transverse momentum spectra in the four event classes discussed in the previous section (Section 7.2):

A) minimum bias events where the $E_T$ of the leading jet is below 50 GeV;
B) triggered events (threshold = 50 GeV) where $50 < E_{\text{lead}}^T < 75$ GeV;
C) triggered events (threshold = 75 GeV) where $75 < E_{\text{lead}}^T < 100$ GeV;
D) triggered events (threshold = 100 GeV) where $E_{\text{lead}}^T > 100$ GeV.

Each histogram is corrected by the appropriate trigger scaling factor. Since the four (scaled) sets of simulated data, together, give the minimum bias set (as was shown for the leading jet distributions), the sum of the four histograms (represented by the closed black circles) gives the minimum bias charged particle distribution. The shape of this merged charged particle $p_T$ spectrum is identical to the spectrum from a much larger statistics non-triggered data set. Figure 7.13 shows the expected charged particle $p_T$ spectra for the minimum bias (left) and merged triggered (right) data samples, in several centrality bins. Using the triggered data samples, CMS can measure (with good efficiency) the inclusive charged spectra up to $p_T \approx 300$ GeV/c in central PbPb collisions.
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Figure 7.12: Charged particle transverse momentum distributions for the four jet trigger event categories, selected according to the transverse energy of the highest $E_T$ (leading) jet. The merged spectrum (closed black circles) has the same shape as the minimum bias spectrum but much larger statistics.

Figure 7.13: Charged particle $p_T$ spectra expected for PbPb collisions at 5.5 TeV with nominal integrated luminosity (0.5 nb$^{-1}$), in 9 centrality bins, offset by factors of 100 for illustration purposes, in the minimum bias triggered sample (left) and using the HLT triggered data sets (right) merged with the procedure described in the text.

7.3.3.2 Nuclear modification factors

The nuclear modification factor, $R_{AA}(p_T, \eta)$, and the central to peripheral ratio, $R_{CP}(p_T, \eta)$, provide quantitative information on the level of the energy lost by hard scattered partons, and on its $p_T$ and $\eta$ dependence, as they traverse the high density QCD medium. The study of $R_{AA}(p_T, \eta)$ and $R_{CP}(p_T, \eta)$ for leading hadrons provides important information on the (thermo)dynamical properties of the created plasma, namely the initial gluon rapidity density, $dN_g/dy$, and the transport coefficient, $\langle \hat{q} \rangle$ (see Section 1.4.5). These nuclear modification
factors are defined as:

\[ R_{AA}(p_T, \eta; b) = \frac{\sigma_{pp}^{\text{inel}}}{\langle N_{\text{coll}}^{\text{central}} \rangle} \frac{d^2 N_{AA}/dp_Td\eta}{d^2 \sigma_{pp}/dp_Td\eta} \]

\[ R_{CP}(p_T, \eta; b) = \frac{\langle N_{\text{periph}}^{\text{coll}} \rangle}{\langle N_{\text{central}}^{\text{coll}} \rangle} \frac{d N_{\text{central}}{AA}/dp_Td\eta}{d N_{\text{periph}}{AA}/dp_Td\eta} \]

where \( \langle N_{\text{coll}} \rangle = \langle T_{PbPb}(b) \rangle \times \sigma_{pp}^{\text{inel}} \) is the average number of binary nucleon-nucleon collisions in a given centrality class (with impact parameter \( b \)) obtained with a standard Glauber MC (see e.g. Ref. [87]).

\( R_{AA} \) quantifies the suppression (or enhancement) of hadron production with respect to pp collisions, which are considered as a baseline for the QCD vacuum. If there are no nuclear effects, the value of \( R_{AA} \) at high \( p_T \) should be unity. At LHC, no pp data will be available at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5 \) TeV at the time of the first PbPb data taking and analysis. Thus, transverse momentum spectra of charged particles in pp collisions will be interpolated to this energy using next-to-leading-order (NLO) predictions constrained by the existing Tevatron data at 2 TeV and by the results at top LHC (14 TeV) energies [217]. The \( R_{CP} \) ratio, on the other hand, does not require a pp reference, as it compares central to peripheral heavy ion collisions. It is not equivalent to \( R_{AA} \), since even the most peripheral heavy ion collisions are influenced by nuclear effects. Experimentally, the \( p_T \) reach of the \( R_{CP} \) ratio will be limited by the peripheral data set (denominator), where only relatively few jets are produced as they scale with the number of underlying nucleon-nucleon collisions and \( \langle N_{\text{periph}}^{\text{coll}} \rangle \approx 23 \ll \langle N_{\text{cent}}^{\text{coll}} \rangle \approx 1556 \). This is a practical limitation to the \( p_T \) range of the \( R_{CP} \) measurement.\(^1\)

Figure 7.14 shows the final result for one month of PbPb running (0.5 nb\(^{-1}\)). The PYTHIA proton-proton spectrum, obtained with the same settings as the PYTHIA/PYQUEN part of the HYDJET generator (except, obviously, for the quenching part) has been consistently used here as reference (denominator) for the \( R_{AA} \) ratio. The \( R_{AA} \) nuclear modification factor is shown as a function of \( p_T \) for minimum bias (left panel) and for triggered data (right panel). The statistical errors limit the reach of the minimum bias data sample to \( p_T \approx 90 \) GeV/c while values of \( p_T \approx 200 \) GeV/c can be measured by applying the jet trigger with three different thresholds. This shows that the application of the jet trigger is clearly very useful for high statistics measurements of large transverse momentum charged particles. The actual suppression factor, \( R_{AA} \approx 0.3 \), shown in Fig. 7.14 reflects the specific implementation of the jet energy loss model in our HYDJET event generator, which is in the ballpark of other existing theoretical predictions (see Fig. 1.17).

Fig. 7.15 shows our result for the \( p_T \) reach of the \( R_{CP} \) ratio for one month of data taking at nominal luminosity. Comparing the minimum bias sample (left panel) to the jet triggered sample (right panel), we conclude that triggering on jets extends the \( p_T \) range where \( R_{CP} \) is measurable very significantly, from \( \sim 50 \) GeV/c to \( \sim 150 \) GeV/c.

It is important to note that the procedure to match and merge data from different data streams is not sensitive to details of the physics model chosen for jet quenching. It is also insensitive to the precise knowledge of the jet energy resolution (by construction, the scale factors do not depend on the jet energy resolution), as long as the jet energy resolution is not extremely poor. Other observables, like the jet \( E_T \) spectra and the jet fragmentation functions, are much less robust against poor knowledge of the jet reconstruction performance.

\(^1\)A possible extension of the present work is the study of a triggering scheme combining centrality and jet energy, to enhance the yield of peripheral events with produced jets.
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Figure 7.14: Expected statistical reach for the nuclear modification factor, \(R_{AA}(p_T)\), for charged hadrons in central PbPb collisions generated with HYDJET for a nominal integrated luminosity of 0.5 nb\(^{-1}\), for minimum bias data (left panel) and for data triggered on high-\(E_T\) jets (right panel).

Figure 7.15: Same as previous figure but for the central-to-peripheral ratio, \(R_{CP}(p_T)\).

Because of their robustness, the measurements presented here are likely to be the first ones to emerge from the PbPb data analyses, in what concerns jet quenching.

7.3.4 Jet fragmentation function

We have seen in previous sections that CMS can measure jets up to \(E_T \approx 500\) GeV and leading hadrons up to \(p_T \approx 300\) GeV/c. We can exploit such large energy ranges to study in detail the properties of the effects of parton energy loss on the “jet fragmentation function” (JFF), \(D(z)\), defined as the probability for a given product of the jet fragmentation to carry a fraction \(z\) of the jet transverse energy, \(E_T\). In nuclear (AA) interactions, the JFF for leading hadrons (i.e. the hadron carrying the largest fraction of the jet momentum) can be written
as [218]:

\[
D(z) = \int \frac{dN_{h(k)}^{AA}}{d(p_T^h)^2} dy dz \frac{dN_{h(k)}^{AA}}{d(p_T^h)^2} dy dz' \delta \left( z - \frac{p_T^h}{p_T^h (leading)} \right) \int \frac{dN_{h(k)}^{jet}}{d(p_T^jet)^2} dy dz' \frac{dN_{h(k)}^{jet}}{d(p_T^jet)^2} dy,
\]

where \( p_T^h \equiv z p_T^h \) is the transverse momentum of a leading hadron, \( z' \) is the hadron momentum fraction relative to the \( p_T \) of the parent parton (i.e. without energy loss \( z = z' \) in leading order pQCD), \( p_T^h \) is the minimum momentum threshold of observable jets, and \( (dN_{h(k)}^{AA})/(d(p_T^h)^2 dy dz) \) and \( (dN_{h(k)}^{jet})/(d(p_T^jet)^2 dy dz') \) are the yields of \( k \)-type jets \((k = q, g)\) and “jet-induced” hadrons, respectively. Comparison of the JFF in nuclear and pp collisions (or in central and peripheral nuclear interactions) yields information about the in-medium modification of the JFF.

The leading hadron in a jet can be a charged hadron or a neutral pion. As described previously, the CMS tracking system can measure with high efficiency the high-\( p_T \) hadrons. Detecting energetic neutral pions in jets is more challenging since at high enough transverse momentum (above \( \approx 15 \) GeV), the two photons from the \( \pi^0 \) decay fall into a single crystal of the CMS electromagnetic calorimeter, and traditional techniques for reconstructing the \( \pi^0 \)’s using \( \gamma \gamma \) invariant mass analysis fail. However, such merged electromagnetic clusters can still be identified as a leading \( \pi^0 \) with relatively large efficiency [219] using cluster-shape analysis in the central barrel and using the preshower in the endcaps.

A significant softening of the JFF, determined by the absolute value of medium-induced partonic energy loss and the angular radiation spectrum, has been predicted for heavy-ion collisions at the LHC [218, 220]. Figure 7.16 shows JFF’s with and without partonic energy loss simulated with PYTHIA [159]. The one with energy loss has been computed for central PbPb collisions using the PYQUEN energy loss model [174, 204]. The jet energy was determined as the total transverse energy of the final particles collected around the direction of the leading particle inside the cone of radius \( R = \sqrt{\Delta \eta^2 + \Delta \varphi^2} = 0.5 \), where \( \eta \) and \( \varphi \) are the pseudorapidity and the azimuthal angle, respectively. Extra cuts of \( |\eta^h| < 2.4 \), \( |\eta^{jet}| < 3 \) and \( E_T^{jet} > 100 \) GeV were applied. The number of entries and the statistical errors correspond to the estimated jet rate in central PbPb collisions for one month of LHC running (first row in Table 7.2). A concurrent study of the possible softening of the JFF and suppression of the absolute jet rates due to in-medium gluon bremsstrahlung out of jet cone can be carried out in order to differentiate between various energy loss mechanisms (small-angle radiation versus wide-angle radiation and collisional loss) [218]. Significant softening of the JFF without substantial jet rate suppression would be an indication of small-angle gluon radiation dominating the medium-induced partonic energy loss. Increasing the contribution from wide-angle gluon radiation and collisional energy loss leads to jet rate suppression with less pronounced softening of the JFF. If, instead, the contribution of the “out-of-cone” jet energy loss is large enough, the jet rate suppression may be even more significant than the JFF softening.

Note that, in the real experimental situation, the jet observables will be sensitive to the accuracy of the jet energy reconstruction, in particular to the systematic jet energy loss. However, since the average reconstructed jet energy in PbPb collisions is expected to be about the same as in pp interactions [211, 212], the reduced jet energy measurement will be a well-controlled systematic error, for heavy-ion data as well as for pp collisions, and it can be taken into account using the standard calibration procedure.
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The high-\(p_T\) charged particle reconstruction capabilities using the CMS Silicon Tracking System have been evaluated using a full detector simulation, assuming a charged particle density in central PbPb collisions of \(dN_{ch}/dy|_{y=0} = 3200\). In this high-multiplicity environment, a \(p_T\)-independent algorithmic tracking efficiency of about 75% is achieved with only a few percent fake track rate for \(p_T > 1 \text{ GeV}/c\). Tracks are reconstructed with excellent momentum resolution, \(\Delta p_T/p_T < 1.5\%\) (for \(p_T < 100 \text{ GeV}/c\)). The proposed high level jet trigger enhances the statistics of high-\(p_T\) particle spectra significantly. The \(p_T\) reach of the measured inclusive spectra and nuclear modification factors can be extended from about \(p_T = 90 \text{ GeV}/c\) to about 300 \text{ GeV}/c in central PbPb collisions, allowing precise differential studies of the expected high-\(p_T\) suppression pattern due to parton energy loss in the hot and dense medium produced in the reaction (such as medium-modified JFFs), and providing interesting information on the thermodynamical and transport properties of the system.

Figure 7.16: Jet fragmentation function for leading hadrons with \(|\eta^h| < 2.4\), \(|\eta^{jet}| < 3\) and \(E_T > 100 \text{ GeV}\) in central PbPb collisions for the cases without (squares) and with (circles) partonic energy loss. The number of histogram entries and statistical errors correspond to the estimated jet rate in most central PbPb collision for one month of LHC run.

7.3.5 Summary

The high-\(p_T\) charged particle reconstruction capabilities using the CMS Silicon Tracking System have been evaluated using a full detector simulation, assuming a charged particle density in central PbPb collisions of \(dN_{ch}/dy|_{y=0} = 3200\). In this high-multiplicity environment, a \(p_T\)-independent algorithmic tracking efficiency of about 75% is achieved with only a few percent fake track rate for \(p_T > 1 \text{ GeV}/c\). Tracks are reconstructed with excellent momentum resolution, \(\Delta p_T/p_T < 1.5\%\) (for \(p_T < 100 \text{ GeV}/c\)). The proposed high level jet trigger enhances the statistics of high-\(p_T\) particle spectra significantly. The \(p_T\) reach of the measured inclusive spectra and nuclear modification factors can be extended from about \(p_T = 90 \text{ GeV}/c\) to about 300 \text{ GeV}/c in central PbPb collisions, allowing precise differential studies of the expected high-\(p_T\) suppression pattern due to parton energy loss in the hot and dense medium produced in the reaction (such as medium-modified JFFs), and providing interesting information on the thermodynamical and transport properties of the system.
7.4 Jet tagging

An ideal direct probe of in-medium parton energy loss in high-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions is provided by hard processes where a high-\(p_T\) jet is tagged by an “unquenched” (i.e. not strongly interacting) particle such as a prompt \(\gamma\), \(\gamma^*\) or \(Z^0\) (see Fig. 7.17). The advantage of such processes is that the initial transverse momentum of the hard jet can be determined since at LO \(p_T^{\text{jet}} \approx p_T^{\gamma, Z^0}\) and the energy lost by the parton in the QCD medium can be directly estimated. The dependence of the energy loss per unit length, \(dE/dx\), on the initial jet energy or on the distance traversed through the medium can then be measured by varying the energy of the tagged (virtual) photon or \(Z^0\) in collisions of different nuclei or as a function of centrality.

The production of high-mass virtual photons, \(\gamma^*\), and \(Z^0\) bosons in association with hadronic jets is, for the first time, energetically feasible in heavy-ion collisions at the LHC [113, 221], with reasonable cross sections (Fig. 7.18). In this section, we present a generator-level study with basic CMS acceptance cuts on the expected signal and backgrounds for different observables related to jets (or high-\(p_T\) hadrons) produced opposite a gauge boson decaying into a muon pair.

![Figure 7.17: Cartoon illustrating the \(Z^0/\gamma^* (\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) +\) (quenched) jet azimuthal geometry.](image)

7.4.1 \(\gamma +\) jet studies

Obtaining precise information on parton energy loss via the study of jet-jet correlations (or leading hadron-hadron correlations, as done at RHIC [222]) is not trivial because both hard scattered partons can potentially be affected by the medium and the initial energy of both jets is, in principle, unknown. An alternative measurement suggested by Wang and collaborators [223, 224] was to study the hadron momentum fraction distribution, \(z = p_T^{\text{hadron}}/p_T^{\gamma}\), in \(\gamma +\)jet processes. Replacing the hadronic probe on one side by an electromagnetic probe, it is possible to measure the \(p_T\) of the initial hard scattering since \(p_T^{\text{jet}} \approx -p_T^{\gamma}\). The relation is valid at leading order up to \(k_T\) effects, where \(k_T\) is the intrinsic transverse momentum of the initial partons. The jet is then considered to be tagged (\(\gamma\)-tag). The direct photon couples weakly with the medium and conserves its initial kinematics while, on the other side, the parton loses energy (by collisions, gluon radiation) and will be attenuated. Precise studies of the medium-modified leading hadron fragmentation functions are thus accessible in the \(\gamma +\)
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Figure 7.18: Integrated annual yield of tagged jets in PbPb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$ TeV for 0.5 nb$^{-1}$ integrated luminosity, as a function of the lower bound $p_T^{\text{min}}$. The lines depict $\gamma$ tags and dimuon tags from $Z^0$ and $\gamma^*$ for different dilepton invariant mass, $M$, intervals.

Jet tagging [112, 225]. In addition, since the dominant channel for high $p_T\gamma + \text{jet}$ production is $qg \rightarrow q\gamma$, the bulk of the jets detected in this channel originate from quarks. Therefore, at variance with the dominant gluon scattering in dijet production below $E_T \approx 100$ GeV, the $\gamma + \text{jet}$ process makes it possible to directly study quark energy loss and thus test the expected colour Casimir-factor dependence of QCD bremsstrahlung, see Eqs. (1.3) and (1.4).

An example of the expected $E_T$ “imbalance” between a $\gamma$ and a quenched jet in PbPb collisions at the LHC is shown in Fig. 7.19, from Refs. [226, 227]. Both the $\gamma$ and the jet have $E_T^{\gamma/jet} > 120$ GeV and are produced at central rapidities ($|y_{\gamma}, y_{\text{jet}}| < 1.5$) for three different “jet quenching” scenarios, depending on the average parton energy losses at $y = 0$: (i) $\langle \Delta E_g \rangle \approx 0$ (no quenching); (ii) $\langle \Delta E_g \rangle \approx 9$ GeV; (iii) $\langle \Delta E_g \rangle \approx 18$ GeV. Initial state gluon radiation and finite jet energy resolution have been taken into account. Without parton energy loss, the difference $E_T^{\gamma} - E_T^{\text{jet}}$ is relatively broad but symmetric. With increasing energy loss, the distribution becomes more skewed as $E_T^{\gamma} - E_T^{\text{jet}}$ grows larger.

7.4.2 $Z^0$- and $\gamma^*$-tagged jet studies

A drawback of the $\gamma + \text{jet}$ measurement presented in the previous section, is the difficulty of prompt photon identification. At variance with the proton-proton case, and due to the large particle multiplicities in AA interactions, in general no isolation criteria can be applied to identify prompt photons from the underlying event. Several instrumental and analysis factors conspire to make this measurement relatively challenging: random pairing of a direct
Figure 7.19: Distribution of $E_T$ “imbalance” between the $\gamma$ and jet with $E_T^\gamma, E_T^{\text{jet}} > 120$ GeV, at mid-rapidity ($|y| < 1.5$), for different values of the leading parton energy loss [226, 227].

Photon with a decay $\gamma$ to give an invariant mass consistent with a $\pi^0$; two photons from a high-$p_T$ $\pi^0$ merging into a single cluster in the ECAL; and others. In addition, direct photons can also be produced by the collinear fragmentation of a hard quark or gluon [228]. Thus their inclusive cross section can also (potentially) be affected by medium-induced attenuation [229], blurring their use as a clean calibration of the opposing jet.

Alternatively, the processes $gq \to q\gamma^*$ or $gq \to qZ^0$ with dilepton+jet as the experimental signature, overcome all the drawbacks of the $\gamma$+jet analysis while keeping the ‘golden channel’ characteristic of an unbiased measure of the original energy of the hard-scattered parton. The only disadvantage is the significantly lower cross section relative to $\gamma$+jet (see Fig. 7.18). While the reaction $gq \to qZ^0$ is a small contribution to the total $Z^0$ yield [46], it is a more distinctive signature than the $gq \to q\gamma$ channel since the $Z^0$ is free from the high background of hadronic decays contributing to the direct photon spectrum [210]. The transverse momentum imbalance in $\gamma^*/Z^0$+jet production due to interactions of the parent parton in the medium has been studied [113] for $\mu^+\mu^−+\text{jet}$, as has the correlation between the $\mu^+\mu^−$ pair and the leading particle in a jet. The average fraction of the parent parton energy carried by a leading hadron at these energies is $z \approx 0.2$. Figure 7.20 shows the difference between the transverse momentum of a $\mu^+\mu^−$ pair, $p_T^{\mu^+\mu^−}$, and five times the transverse energy of the leading particle in a jet (since $z \approx 0.2$) for minimum bias PbPb collisions at 5.5 TeV. The process was simulated with COMPHEP /PYTHIA [159, 230] with and without partonic energy loss as parametrized in the PYQUEN model [174, 204]. The CMS acceptance cuts, $|\eta^\mu| < 2.4$, $p_T^\mu > 5$ GeV/$c$ and $p_T^{\mu^+\mu^−}$, $E_T^{\text{jet}} > 50$ GeV, have been applied. The jet energy was determined as the total transverse energy of the final particles collected around the direction of a leading particle inside a cone $R = \sqrt{\Delta \eta^2 + \Delta \varphi^2} = 0.5$, where $\eta$ and $\varphi$ are the pseudorapidity and azimuthal angle, respectively. Despite the fact that the initial distribution is smeared and asymmetric due to initial-state gluon radiation, hadronization effects, etc., we can clearly see the additional smearing and the displaced mean and maximum values of the $p_T$ imbalance due to partonic energy loss. The $p_T$-imbalance between the $\mu^+\mu^−$ pair
and a leading particle in a jet is directly related to the absolute value of partonic energy loss, and almost insensitive to the form of the angular spectrum of the emitted gluons or to the experimental jet energy resolution.

![Graph showing the distribution of the difference between the transverse momentum of a \(Z^0/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\) pair, \(p_T^{\mu^+\mu^-}\), and five times the transverse energy of the leading particle in a jet, \(5E_T^{\text{lead}}\), in minimum bias PbPb collisions at 5.5 TeV with (dashed histogram) and without (solid histogram) parton energy loss [113]. See text for the kinematic cuts.](image)

### Medium-modified jet “fragmentation functions”

The production channels \(qq \rightarrow \gamma^*/Z^0 g\) (annihilation) and \(gg \rightarrow \gamma^*/Z^0 q\) (Compton scattering) with the subsequent decay \(\gamma^*/Z^0 \rightarrow l^- l^+ (l = e, \mu)\) and the fragmentation of the parton \(q/g \rightarrow \text{jet}\) (Fig. 7.17) are useful tools for determining the jet “fragmentation function” constructed from the normalized yields of associated hadrons produced opposite (in the “away-side” azimuthal hemisphere) the \(Z^0/\gamma^*\) as a function of the variable \(z = p_T^{\text{assoc}}/p_T^{\text{trigger}}\),

\[
D(z) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{trigger}}} \frac{dN_{\text{away}}}{dz} \mid_{p_T^{\text{trigger}} \text{ fixed}}
\]

(7.2)

where the variables are described below. On an event-by-event basis, dileptons (trigger) coming from \(Z^0/\gamma^*\) decays are identified and paired with all associated hadrons within different momentum ranges from the same event. The overall azimuthal distribution per trigger particle is defined as

\[
N(\Delta \phi) = \frac{\sum_{\text{event}} N_{\text{pairs}}(\Delta \phi)}{\sum_{\text{event}} N_{\text{trigger}}},
\]

(7.3)
where $\Delta \phi = \phi^{\text{trigger}} - \phi^{\text{assoc}}$. The $N(\Delta \phi)$ distributions are generated for a fixed $p_T^{\text{trigger}}$ interval and several $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$ intervals. After identifying the away-side ($\Delta \phi \sim \pi$) jet component, the dependence of the $N_{\text{away}}$ yield can be computed for a fixed $p_T^{\text{trigger}}$ interval, as in Eq. (7.2). This distribution contains all the hadronic fragments of the initial parton, including the hadrons from the fragmentation of medium-induced gluon radiation of the parton. Thus $D(z)$ is not the same as the vacuum fragmentation function measured in $e^+e^-$ collisions, though this identification is typically assumed.

The analysis can be performed in azimuth (in the plane perpendicular to the beam axis) and in rapidity (along the beam axis), but only the principles of the azimuthal analysis are discussed here. Only muons are considered with cuts, where mentioned, imposed by the CMS muon detector ($p > 3.5$ GeV/c and $|\eta| < 2.4$). Dielectrons can also be used to increase the signal statistics as well as to reduce the systematic uncertainties of the measurement (the branching ratios for both signal and background decays into electrons and muons are equal). However, the capabilities of the CMS electromagnetic calorimeter for dielectron measurements in the high multiplicity heavy-ion environment have not yet been investigated.

**Expected signal yields**

The following discussion considers PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$ TeV with nominal luminosity, $L = 5 \times 10^{36}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$. A runtime of $10^6$ s is used, corresponding to one month of collisions at 50% efficiency, giving an integrated luminosity of 0.5 nb$^{-1}$. The $Z^0/\gamma^* + \text{jet}$ rates in PbPb collisions are obtained from the pp cross sections, evaluated with the PYTHIA 6.32 event generator [231] (default parameters and CTEQ5M PDFs), via $\sigma_{AA} = A^2\sigma_{pp}$. In order to reduce the MC generation time, all PYTHIA processes were turned off ($\text{MSEL}=0$ except $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Z^0/\gamma^*g$ ($\text{ISUB}=15$) and $gg \rightarrow Z^0/\gamma^*q$ ($\text{ISUB}=30$). The $Z^0$ ($\text{MSTP}(43)=2$) and $\gamma^*$ ($\text{MSTP}(43)=1$) contributions were separated. To obtain good statistics at high transverse momentum, 10K events were simulated in 10 GeV wide $p_T$ bins between 10 and 300 GeV/c. Each bin was afterwards scaled by its corresponding cross section and added to the rest of the bins to give the final signal spectra. Only dimuon decays were selected, $\text{BR}(Z^0/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 3.36\%$, and only dimuons with individual muon $p_T$ high enough to pass the CMS acceptance momentum and pseudorapidity cuts were kept ($p > 3.5$ GeV/c and $|\eta| < 2.4$). The results of the PYTHIA simulations are presented in Fig. 7.18, which shows the total integrated yield above the corresponding $p_T^{\min}$ for $Z^0 + \text{jet}$ and $\gamma^* + \text{jet}$ (three different mass windows). The $\gamma^* + \text{jet}$ yield is also shown, for comparison. The highest mass interval, $M_{\mu^+\mu^-} > 12$ GeV/c$^2$, is the PYTHIA default. As reference, we also show two lower mass windows: between the light-quark resonances ($\eta, \phi$) and the $J/\psi$ mass; and between the $\psi'$ and $\Upsilon$ masses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p_T^{\min}$ (GeV/c)</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Z^0$</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma^* (M_{\mu^+\mu^-} &gt; 12$ GeV/c$^2)$</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma^* (M_{\mu^+\mu^-} [4.0,8.5]$ GeV/c$^2)$</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma^* (M_{\mu^+\mu^-} [1.3,2.7]$ GeV/c$^2)$</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table 7.3 we list the integrated dimuon+jet yields expected with the nominal integrated PbPb luminosity for four values of $p_T^{\min}$, from Fig. 7.18. The lower limit on the dimuon $p_T$
is the value for which we can reasonably well reconstruct the back-to-back leading hadron, knowing that, on average, the leading hadrons carry ≈ 20% of the initial parton $p_T$.

### Dimuon backgrounds

The main source of dimuon background is the combinatorial background from decays of $D$ and $\bar{D}$ (charm) and $B$ and $\bar{B}$ (bottom) mesons. Dijets can also contribute through hadrons that are not stopped in the hadron absorber and are misidentified as muons in the muon chambers, as well as through kaon and pion decays to muons. The semileptonic decays $D\bar{D}/B\bar{B} \rightarrow E^+E^- + X$ produce dileptons with branching ratios ~10.5% [232] that overlap with the real dilepton signal from $Z^0/\gamma^*$ decays. In order to estimate the effect of this background, we have used, as in previous studies [47], the HVQMNR [233] code (with the CTEQ6M parton distribution functions [234]) which calculates heavy quark production at next-to-leading order (NLO). The azimuthal distributions of the heavy quarks pairs (and corresponding decay dileptons) are peaked closer to $\phi = \pi$ for the more massive bottom quarks than for charm. The HVQMNR input parameters are listed in Table 7.4. The parameters $\xi_F$ and $\xi_R$ determine the proportionality of the factorization and the renormalization scales, respectively, to a default scale $\mu_0$: $\mu_F = \xi_F\mu_0$ and $\mu_R = \xi_R\mu_0$. For double differential distributions, $\mu_0 = \sqrt{m_Q^2 + \langle p_T^2 \rangle}$, where $m_Q$ is the quark mass and $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ is the average of the $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$. For single inclusive distributions, $\mu_0 = \sqrt{m_Q^2 + p_T^2}$.

Table 7.4: Parameters used in HVQMNR: $\langle k_T \rangle$ is the mean intrinsic momentum, $\epsilon$ is the parameter in the Peterson fragmentation function [235], and $\xi_F$ and $\xi_R$ are factorization and the renormalization scale factors respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$m_Q$ (GeV/c²)</th>
<th>$\langle k_T \rangle$ (GeV/c)</th>
<th>$\epsilon$</th>
<th>$\xi_F$</th>
<th>$\xi_R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>charm</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bottom</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The $D$ and $B$ background combined with the $Z^0/\gamma^*$ signal dimuons are shown in Fig. 7.21, as a function of $p_T^\mu^+\mu^-$, for two different invariant mass cuts: $12 < M_{\mu^+\mu^-} < 81$ GeV/c² (left panel) and $81 < M_{\mu^+\mu^-} < 101$ GeV/c² in the $Z^0$ mass region, right panel).

Figure 7.22 shows the dimuon invariant mass distribution. At low invariant mass the continuum dimuons are overwhelmed by the heavy-meson decays but in the $Z^0$ mass region the $Z^0$ peak is well above the background. A few thousand $Z^0/\gamma^* +$ jet signal events can be collected, sufficient for detailed studies of the medium modification of jet fragmentation functions (Eq. 7.2).

### $D\bar{D}$, $B\bar{B}$ background rejection

The signal dileptons from $\gamma^*$ and $Z^0$ decays come directly from the collision vertex whereas the background muons from $D$ and $B$ decays issue from a secondary vertex a few hundreds of $\mu$m away. The distance of closest approach (DCA) between the primary vertex and the lepton trajectory can then be used to reject this background. The DCA cut consists of two separate “point-to-line” DCA cuts, OR’ed together. The dilepton rejection factor for heavy meson decays has been estimated with a typical DCA cut for the CMS silicon vertex tracker. The DCA resolution in a heavy-ion environment [162] is $\sigma_{r_\phi} \approx 20 \mu$m in the transverse plane and $\sigma_{r_z} \approx 50 \mu$m in the longitudinal plane (see Section 7.3.2). Figure 7.23 shows the rejection factor achieved with a $3\sigma$ cut (DCA($r_\phi$)> 60 $\mu$m or DCA($r_z$)> 150 $\mu$m). The vertical bars
Figure 7.21: Annual dimuon yield for signal and background dimuons in two mass windows: $M_{\mu^+\mu^-}[12,81]$ GeV/$c^2$ (left) and $M_{\mu^+\mu^-}[81,101]$ GeV/$c^2$ (right) in minimum bias PbPb collisions at 5.5 TeV with integrated luminosity 0.5 nb$^{-1}$. The error bars are only statistical.

Figure 7.22: Invariant mass distribution for $Z^0/\gamma^*$ and dimuons from $D\bar{D}/B\bar{B}$ decays in PbPb collisions at 5.5 TeV. An integrated luminosity of 0.5 nb$^{-1}$ is assumed. The error bars are statistical errors corresponding to 50M events with $p_T^{\text{hard}} > 15$ GeV/c. Hence, a rejection factor of about 5 can be obtained by two simple DCA cuts between each lepton trajectory and the primary vertex, OR’ed together. Note that, even though an ideal decay geometry was simulated in our event-generator studies (straight trajectories for zero magnetic field and perfect primary vertex position), the DCA resolution has been estimated with the full
detector simulation in a heavy-ion environment (bent tracks, high multiplicity, realistic resolutions) [162].

Figure 7.23: The rejection factor of $D$ and $B$ decay dimuons obtained applying a $3\sigma$ DCA cut in the CMS silicon vertex tracker. The vertical bars are statistical errors while the horizontal are r.m.s. momentum.
Chapter 8

Ultraperipheral collisions

8.1 Introduction

Ultra-peripheral collisions (UPCs) of heavy-ions involve long range electromagnetic interactions at impact parameters larger than twice the nuclear radius where no nucleon-nucleon collisions occur. At the LHC, the strong electromagnetic field due to the coherent action of the $Z = 82$ proton charges of the lead nucleus accelerated at TeV energies generates an equivalent flux of photons which can be used for high-energy photoproduction studies (see e.g. [126, 127]). The equivalent (Weiszäcker-Williams) photon spectrum of UPCs has a $\propto Z^2/E_\gamma$ dependence and, thus, for a Pb beam, a $Z^2 \approx 7000$ flux enhancement factor is expected compared to electron or proton beams. The upper limit in the photon energies is of the order of the inverse Lorentz contracted radius $R_A$ of the nucleus: $\omega_{\text{max}} \approx \gamma/R_A$. The requirement that all the charges act coherently in the generation of the equivalent photon imposes very small virtualities for the photoproduction process. Therefore, the emitted photons are quasi-real ($Q^2 \approx 0$), the beam charges are barely deflected in the process and any produced particles have very low transverse momenta, of the order $p_T < 2/R_A \approx 50$ MeV/$c$ or $p_T \approx M_X/\gamma \approx 30$ MeV/$c$. At the LHC, lead beams at 2.75 TeV/nucleon have Lorentz factors $\gamma = 2930$ leading to maximum (equivalent) photon energies $\omega_{\text{max}} \approx 80$ GeV. These photons can then collide either with the other incoming Pb ion at maximum c.m. energies of $W_{\gamma Pb}^{\text{max}} \approx 1$ TeV/nucleon (3–4 times larger than those of equivalent $ep$ collisions at HERA), or they can interact with another similarly radiated photon leading to two-photon collisions at $W_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{max}} \approx 160$ GeV, comparable to those studied at LEP.

![Figure 8.1: Lowest order Feynman diagrams for $\Upsilon$ (left) and lepton pair (right) production in $\gamma A$ and $\gamma\gamma$ processes accompanied by Pb Coulomb excitation in ultra-peripheral PbPb collisions. The dashed lines indicate factorization.](image-url)
The physics interest of ultraperipheral PbPb collisions at the LHC is two-fold. On the one hand, $\gamma A$ collisions open up the possibility to carry out precision QCD studies (e.g. of the gluon distribution function in the nucleus) with a low background and a much simpler initial state than in pA or AA collisions. On the other hand, photon-photon collisions allow one to study QED in a non-perturbative regime (where $\alpha_{em}Z \approx 0.6$) as well as, for example, quartic gauge couplings (such as $\gamma \gamma W^+ W^-$, see Ref. [129] and references therein).

We present in this chapter the CMS capabilities to measure diffractive photoproduction of light ($\rho$) and heavy ($\Upsilon$) vector mesons, as well as photon-photon production of high-mass ($M_{l^+l^-} > 5$ GeV/c$^2$) dileptons (considered here as a background of the photoproduced $\Upsilon$). The lowest-order diagrams of these processes are shown in Fig. 8.1. Diffractive vector meson production can be viewed in the “target” nucleus rest frame, as a sequence of three processes well separated in time: the intermediate photon fluctuates into a $q\bar{q}$ pair; the $q\bar{q}$ pair participates in a hard interaction with the nucleus via the exchange of a colour singlet state (a Pomeron or two-gluons); and then recombines again to form a vector meson. On the one hand, the study of $\rho$ meson photoproduction extends the measurements performed at HERA [236] and provides new information on the interplay of soft and hard physics in diffraction [237, 238]. A clean signature with a low background in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass makes this measurement relatively straightforward in UPCs, as demonstrated in AuAu collisions at RHIC [130]. On the other hand, the production of heavier quarkonia states ($J/\psi$, $\Upsilon$) provide valuable information on the gluon density in the nucleus $xG(x, Q^2)$ [239], and extends previous studies at RHIC energies [131] in a $(x, Q^2)$ range completely unexplored so far (see Fig. 8.4).

Table 8.1 lists the expected cross sections for $\rho$, $J/\psi$ and $\Upsilon$ photoproduction in PbPb UPCs at the LHC, as given by the STARLIGHT model [240–243]. STARLIGHT satisfactorily reproduces the existing UPC $\rho$ [130], $J/\psi$ [131] and low- [132] and high-mass [131] di-electron data at RHIC energies. It is worth noting, for comparison, that the theoretical $\Upsilon$ cross section in inelastic pp collisions at 5.5 TeV is $\sim 600$ times smaller: $\sigma_{pp\rightarrow\Upsilon+X} \approx 0.3$ µb [244], and the inelastic minimum bias PbPb $\Upsilon$ cross section (discussed in Section 6.1) is $\sim 100$ times larger: $\sigma_{PbPb\rightarrow\Upsilon+X} = A^2 \cdot \sigma_{pp\rightarrow\Upsilon+X} \approx 13$ mb.

The most significant source of physical background for these measurements is the coherent production of lepton pairs in two-photon processes (Fig. 8.1, right). Table 8.2 lists the expected cross sections for the dilepton continuum in the mass ranges of relevance for the quarkonia measurements. The fraction of the continuum cross sections accompanied by neutron emission in single ($Xn$) or double ($Xn|Xn$) Pb breakup (left diagram in Fig. 8.1). ($\sigma_{Xn}$ includes $\sigma_{Xn|Xn}$).

| Process                     | $\sigma_{tot}$ | $\sigma_{Xn}$ | $\sigma_{Xn|Xn}$ |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| PbPb $\rightarrow$ $\gamma$ Pb $\rightarrow$ $\rho$ + X | 5200 mb        | 790 mb        | 210 mb          |
| PbPb $\rightarrow$ $\gamma$ Pb $\rightarrow$ $J/\psi$ + X | 32 mb          | 8.7 mb        | 2.5 mb          |
| PbPb $\rightarrow$ $\gamma$ Pb $\rightarrow$ $\Upsilon(1S)$ + X | 173 µb         | 78 µb         | 25 µb           |

The most significant source of physical background for these measurements is the coherent production of lepton pairs in two-photon processes (Fig. 8.1, right). Table 8.2 lists the expected cross sections for the dilepton continuum in the mass ranges of relevance for the quarkonia measurements. The fraction of the continuum cross sections accompanied by nuclear breakup with neutron emission is expected to be the same as in the case of quarkonia photoproduction, i.e. of the order of $\sim 50\%$ for the high-mass dileptons.
### 8.2 Trigger considerations

Ultraperipheral collisions are (i) mediated by the exchange of a color-singlet object (the photon) with a small momentum transfer, (ii) characterized by a large rapidity gap between the produced system and the beam rapidity, and (iii) the nuclei remain basically intact or in a low excited state after the interaction. Thus UPCs can be considered “photonic-diffractive” processes sharing many characteristics with hadronic-diffractive (Pomeron-mediated) collisions. An optimum UPC trigger is usually defined based on these typical signatures:

1. **A large rapidity gap between the produced state and the interacting nuclei.**
2. **Forward emission of neutron(s) from the deexcitation of one or both nuclei:** (mutual) Coulomb excitation — indicated by the soft $\gamma$ exchanged in the diagrams of Fig. 8.1 — occurs in about 50% of the UPCs and generates a Giant-Dipole-Resonance (GDR) oscillation which subsequently decays via neutron emission.
3. **Very low global multiplicities:** the central detector is virtually empty apart from the few tracks/clusters originating from the photo-produced system.
4. **Relatively narrow $d\sigma/dy$ centered**\(^1\) at mid-rapidity (narrower for larger $M_X$).

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow e^+ e^-$</th>
<th>$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma(M_{inv} &gt; 1.5 \text{ GeV}/c^2)$</td>
<td>139 mb</td>
<td>45 mb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma(M_{inv} &gt; 6.0 \text{ GeV}/c^2)$</td>
<td>2.8 mb</td>
<td>1.2 mb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^1\)Though the energies of the $\gamma$ and “receiver” nucleus are very different and the produced final-state is boosted in the direction of the latter, each one of the nucleus can act as “emitter” or “target” and the sum of their $d\sigma/dy$ distribution is symmetric with respect to $y = 0$. 

---

Table 8.2: Cross sections for dilepton production in two-photon collisions from ultraperipheral PbPb interactions at 5.5 TeV (right diagram in Fig. 8.1) according to the STARLIGHT model [240], in the mass regions of relevance for $J/\psi$ and $\Upsilon$ measurements.


8.3 $\rho$ photoproduction in $\gamma$Pb collisions

This section presents a preliminary feasibility study for the measurement in CMS of low mass objects, like the $\rho$, produced in UPCs (Fig. 8.2). At RHIC energies, $\rho$ photoproduction has been measured by the STAR collaboration [130] in the process AuAu $\rightarrow$ Au$^*$Au($^*$)$\rho$ at 200 GeV, where the $\rho$ decays into two pions and one or both nuclei deexcite by emitting at least one forward neutron which is detected in the ZDC. Those events have been collected with a back-to-back multiplicity trigger, requiring a signal in the ZDCs and low multiplicity in the tracking detector. In CMS, the measurement of photoproduced $\rho$ is, in principle, not straightforward since the detector is designed to trigger on large transverse energies, and objects with masses below a few GeV/$c^2$ decay into low $p_T$ particles which will not reach the calorimeters. However, even for low mass objects, it should be feasible to trigger on low-multiplicity reactions with Pb$^*$ Coulomb breakup tagged with a neutron signal in the ZDCs.

A set of 1000 $\rho$ particles produced in PbPb UPCs at LHC energies was generated with STARLIGHT and run through the detailed CMS simulation package, CMSIM 125 [245]. Events were passed through the digitization packages using the standard reconstruction program ORCA 7.1.1. The $\rho$ decays into two pions, with transverse momentum of the order of a few hundred MeV/$c$, which are detected in the three layers of the silicon pixel detector. The hits reconstructed by ORCA were then used as input for a fast simulation tracking programme. Only information in the silicon pixels is used since the performance of the algorithm does not significantly improve with one or two additional layers of silicon detectors. The $\rho$ candidates are reconstructed by combining tracks of opposite sign. The background from same sign tracks in UPC events was found to be negligible at RHIC [130] and should be also minimal at the LHC. Charged pion identification via $dE/dx$ in the pixel detectors, as described in Chapter 3, was not included in the present analysis, but can easily be used to further clean the signal. The mass, transverse momentum and rapidity distributions of the generated and reconstructed $\rho$’s are shown in Fig. 8.3. Assuming that all unlike-sign pairs in the range 0.6–0.9 MeV/$c^2$ are $\rho$ mesons, an overall reconstruction efficiency of 35% is obtained.

8.4 Quarkonia photoproduction in $\gamma$ Pb collisions

At leading order, diffractive $\gamma$A photoproduction of heavy vector mesons ($J/\psi, \Upsilon$) proceeds through a colourless two-gluon (Pomeron) exchange (Fig. 8.1, left). After the scat-
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The mass, transverse momentum and rapidity distributions of the generated and reconstructed $\rho$ mesons in PbPb UPCs at 5.5 TeV [245].

tering, both nuclei remain intact (or in a low excited level) and separated by a rapidity gap from the produced state. Such hard diffractive processes are thus a valuable probe of the gluon density since their cross sections are proportional to the square of the gluon density, $xG(x, Q^2)$ [246, 247]:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\gamma p, A \to V p, A}}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} = \frac{\alpha_s^2 \Gamma_{ee}}{3\alpha M_V^3} 16\pi^3 \left[ xG(x, Q^2) \right]^2,$$

with $Q^2 = M_V^2/4$ and $x = M_V^2/W_{\gamma p, A}^2$.

The $x$ values probed in $\gamma A \to \Upsilon A$ processes at $y = 0$ are of the order of $x \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ (but can be a factor $\sim$10 lower/higher at rapidities $-2.5 < y < 2.5$) therefore probing the nucleus PDFs in a $(x, M^2)$ range unexplored so far in nuclear DIS and/or in lower energy nucleus-nucleus collisions (Fig. 8.4). Photoproduction measurements help thus to constrain the low-$x$-behaviour of $xG$ in the nucleus in a regime where gluon-saturation effects due to non-linear QCD parton evolution are expected to set in [26, 248].

The expected cross sections for $\rho$, $J/\psi$ and $\Upsilon$ photoproduction in PbPb UPCs at the LHC, as given by the STARLIGHT model, are listed in Table 8.1. They do not include feed-down contributions (at the $\sim$10–20% level) from excited $S$ states ($\Upsilon', \Upsilon''$), nor they include contributions from incoherent $\gamma$-nucleon ($\gamma N$) processes, which should produce $\sim$50% more $J/\psi$, $\Upsilon$ yields [250] (the latter can be separated experimentally as the produced $\Upsilon$ have larger $p_T$ and the “target” nucleus breaks up with a high probability). Other $\gamma$ Pb theoretical predictions for LHC [251] give comparable results ($\sigma_\Upsilon = 135 \mu b$). Inclusion of leading-twist shadowing effects in the nuclear PDFs reduces the yield by up to a factor of $\sim$2, $\sigma_\Upsilon$(LT-shadowing) = 78 $\mu b$ [251]. Even larger reductions are expected in calculations including gluon-saturation (Colour Glass Condensate) effects [252]. The motivation of our measurement is precisely to pin-down the amount of low-$x$ suppression in the Pb PDF compared to the (known) proton PDF in the studied $(x, Q^2)$ range.

The coherence condition results in very low transverse momenta and the decay electrons or muons from the $J/\psi$, produced basically at rest, have lower energies ($E_{e/\mu} \approx M_{J/\psi}/2 \approx 1.6$ GeV) than those needed to reach the ECAL or muon chambers without significant energy losses in the intermediate material. We will therefore concentrate our efforts in the measurement of the $\Upsilon$, whose decay leptons have energies close to 5 GeV and can, in principle, reach both detectors. We consider, in particular, the CMS barrel-endcap regions ($|\eta| < 2.5$) in the dielectron and dimuon decay channels, to search for diffractive photo-production of the $\Upsilon$ (Fig. 8.1, left) [253]:

Figure 8.3: The mass, transverse momentum and rapidity distributions of the generated and reconstructed $\rho$ mesons in PbPb UPCs at 5.5 TeV [245].
Figure 8.4: Available measurements in the $(x, Q^2)$ plane used to constrain the nuclear PDFs [249]. The approximate $(x, Q^2)$ range covered by $\Upsilon$ photoproduction in PbPb UPCs at the LHC is indicated.

(1) PbPb $(\rightarrow \gamma \text{Pb}) \rightarrow \Upsilon + \text{Pb}^* \text{Pb}^{(*)}$, with $\Upsilon \rightarrow e^+e^-$ measured in the ECAL;

(2) PbPb $(\rightarrow \gamma \text{Pb}) \rightarrow \Upsilon + \text{Pb}^* \text{Pb}^{(*)}$, with $\Upsilon \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ measured in the muon chambers.

The most significant source of physical background for these measurements is the coherent production of (high-mass) lepton pairs in two-photon processes (Fig. 8.1, right):

(1) PbPb $(\rightarrow \gamma \gamma) \rightarrow \text{Pb}^* \text{Pb}^{(*)} + e^+e^-$ measured in the ECAL;

(2) PbPb $(\rightarrow \gamma \gamma) \rightarrow \text{Pb}^* \text{Pb}^{(*)} + \mu^+\mu^-$ measured in the muon chambers.

Table 8.2 lists the expected cross sections for the dilepton continuum in the mass ranges of relevance for the quarkonia measurements. Those are actually interesting pure QED processes proposed as a luminometer in pp and ion-ion collisions at the LHC [254, 255], and will likely be used to get the absolute cross-section normalization of this and other heavy-ion measurements.

8.4.1 Trigger rates

As briefly discussed in Section 8.2, we propose to use the following CMS L1 primitives as part of the quarkonium UltraPeripheral Trigger:

- Veto on signals in the forward hadron calorimeters ($3 < |\eta| < 5$), $HF^+ \text{ OR } HF^-$ (requirement of a large rapidity gap in one or both hemispheres);
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- One or more neutrons in at least one ZDC ($X_n$), in order to tag Pb$^*$ Coulomb breakup (via GDR neutron deexcitation);
- Isolated ECAL tower with $E_T^{\text{thresh}} \geq 3$ GeV in order to select $e^\pm$ from the $\Upsilon$ decay ($E_{e^\pm} \approx \frac{M_\Upsilon}{2} \approx 4.6$ GeV) depositing at least $E_T = 3$ GeV in a $3 \times 3$ ECAL trigger tower (the ECAL towers are defined at L1 in terms of transverse energy $E_T$);
- Hit(s) in the muon RPCs ($|\eta| < 2.1$) or CSCs ($0.8 < |\eta| < 2.4$); no track momentum threshold is required since the material budget in front of the chambers effectively reduces any muon background below $\sim 4$ GeV, whereas the $\Upsilon$ decay muons have $E_\mu \geq \frac{M_\Upsilon}{2} \approx 4.6$ GeV.

Schematically, the following two dedicated L1 UPC triggers are proposed:

\[
\text{UPC-mu-L1} = (\text{ZDC+ .OR. ZDC-}).\text{AND}.(\text{HF+ .OR. HF-}).\text{AND}.(\text{muonRPC .OR. muonCSC})
\]

\[
\text{UPC-elec-L1} = (\text{ZDC+ .OR. ZDC-}).\text{AND}.(\text{HF+ .OR. HF-}).\text{AND}.\text{ECALtower}(E_T > 2.5 \text{ GeV})
\]

The genuine $\gamma$ Pb $\rightarrow$ Pb$^*$ $\Upsilon \rightarrow l^+ l^-$ counting rate (assuming perfect trigger, full acceptance, no efficiency losses) for the nominal PbPb luminosity ($\langle L \rangle = 5 \cdot 10^{26}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1} = 0.5$ mb$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$), yielding $\int L \, dt = 0.5$ nb$^{-1}$ for 1 month ($10^6$ s) of PbPb running, is

\[
N_{\text{UPC-}\Upsilon} = \langle L \rangle \sigma_{\text{PbPb} \rightarrow \gamma \text{Pb} \rightarrow \Upsilon} \cdot BR(\Upsilon \rightarrow l^+ l^-) = 0.5 \text{ mb}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \times 0.078 \text{ mb} \times 0.024 = 0.001 \text{ Hz}
\]

Obviously, not only the signal but several backgrounds which share a few characteristics with UPC events will generate an accept of the UPC-L1 triggers defined above:

1. **Beam-gas and beam-halo** collisions: no good vertex, (comparatively) large particle multiplicity, asymmetric $dN/dy$, ZDC signal, low $E_T$.
2. **Accidental** coincidence of cosmic muon(s) with (mutual) Pb electromagnetic dissociation, $\gamma A \rightarrow \text{Pb}^* \rightarrow X n$ (ZDC): no vertex, track(s) only in muon chambers with large net $p_T$, ZDC signal from Pb dissociation.
3. **Peripheral nuclear** collisions ($A A \rightarrow X$): (comparatively) large particle multiplicities, mainly pions, large total $p_T$, ZDC signal.
4. **Two-photon electromagnetic** collisions ($\gamma \gamma \rightarrow l^+ l^-$) are virtually indistinguishable from our signal at the level-1 trigger as defined above. Still such a background can be significantly removed with an asymmetry cut in the pair decay, and any final residual contribution below the $\Upsilon$ invariant mass peak can be statistically subtracted in the final offline analysis (see Section 8.4.4).
5. **Hadronic diffractive** collisions ($IP$ Pb, $IP IP \rightarrow X$): larger multiplicities than e.m. diffractive ($\gamma$ Pb) collisions, $p_T(IP) > p_T(\gamma) > p_T(\gamma)$, like-sign pairs, ZDC signal.
6. Other hard diffractive photoproduction processes ($\gamma$ Pb $\rightarrow X$, with $X$=dijets, open heavy-flavour, . . .), interesting in their own right as they address the same physics topics as the $Q\bar{Q}$ measurement discussed here [128], are characterized by: (comparatively) larger multiplicities, ZDC signal, removable offline with $e^\pm$ and $\mu^\pm$ PID cuts and standard invariant mass subtraction techniques.
7. Two-photon hadronic collisions ($\gamma \gamma \rightarrow X$): mainly pions (removable with $e^{\pm}$ and $\mu^{\pm}$ PID cuts), ZDC signal.

Among the backgrounds mentioned above, # 1 will be significantly suppressed by the ($HF^{+}$ .OR. $HF^{-}$) rapidity-gap requirement and will not be discussed further. Backgrounds # 2 and # 3, however, are likely to generate high L1 background rates. Backgrounds # 4 – # 7 are, on the other hand, interesting low-counting rates diffractive and photonic physics processes that can be studied offline as a “by-product” of the UPC trigger. An example of a process that can trigger UPC-L1 is exclusive $\chi_c$ production ($IPIP \rightarrow \chi_b \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma$) [256], of which candidate events have been measured in CDF at the Tevatron [129].

The cross section for EM dissociation of Pb nuclei at the crossing point of the two beams at LHC energies is huge, $\sigma_{\text{PbPb-ED}} = 215$ b [257], becoming de facto the main limiting factor for the luminosities reachable at the LHC in the ion-ion mode. Such large cross sections translate into very large counting rates: $N_{\text{PbPb-ED}} = \langle L \rangle \times \sigma_{\text{PbPb-ED}} = 10^{9}$ Hz, and thus accidental coincidences with cosmic muons traversing the muon chambers and activating the UPC-mu-L1 trigger are possible. The typical rate of cosmic muons on the ground level is about 60 Hz/m$^2$ with $(E_{\mu} \approx 4$ GeV [258]. At the IP5 cavern level (~80 m underground) this rate is reduced by a factor 100, down to approximately 0.6 Hz/m$^2$. Since the total muon chambers area is roughly 20×15 m$^2$, the total rate of cosmic muons above 4 GeV crossing is $N_{\mu-\text{cosmic}} \approx 180$ Hz. The accidental coincidence rate of two detectors with counting rates $N_1$ and $N_2$ and trigger time window $\Delta t$ is $N_{\text{fake}} = 2N_1 N_2 \Delta t$. For a time window of $\Delta t_{\text{trig}} = 10$ ns around the nominal bunch crossings of 25 ns, this translates into:

$$N_{\text{backgd}}^{\text{PbPb-ED+\mu-\text{cosmic}}} = 2 N_{\text{PbPb-ED}} N_{\mu-\text{cosmic}} \Delta t_{\text{trig}} \approx 10^5 \text{ Hz} \times 180 \text{ Hz} \times 10^{-8} \text{ s} \approx 0.2 \text{ Hz}$$

Only very few of the cosmic muons will have a chance to give a trigger if we require the tracks to be pointing to the vertex: the reduction factor is 40 when requiring $z_{\text{hit}} < 60$ cm, $R_{\text{hit}} < 20$ cm [259]. At higher trigger levels this background can be thus reduced by requiring vertex reconstruction.

At RHIC energies, usually a fraction $\epsilon_{\text{periph}} \approx 5\%$ of the most peripheral (grazing) nuclear AA collisions (i.e. the centrality class corresponding to 95–100% of the total AA cross section) do not generate activity within $3 < |\eta| < 4$ but still produce a signal in the ZDC [260]. Assuming that at the LHC the same fraction of PbPb collisions will be accepted by the ($HF^{+}$ .OR. ZDC-) .AND. ($HF^{-}$ .OR. $HF^{--}$) L1 condition, such grazing PbPb collisions will fire UPC-elec-L1 (and/or UPC-mu-L1) provided that these reactions produce also an electron (and/or a muon) above $E_{\text{thr}}^{\text{ED}} = 3\text{ GeV}$ ($E_{\text{thr}}^{\mu} = 4\text{ GeV}$). According to PYTHIA 6.4 about $\epsilon_{\text{high-}p_T \mu/e} \approx 1\%$ of the $pp$ events at 5.5 TeV produce at least one electron (muon) within $|\eta| < 2.5$ with energy above the UPC-L1 threshold. We will assume that the same relative fraction will hold for the peripheral PbPb reactions. The corresponding counting rate for the type # 3. background is therefore:

$$N_{\text{PbPb-periph}}^{\text{backgd}} = \langle L \rangle \sigma_{\text{tot PbPb}} \epsilon_{\text{periph}} \epsilon_{\text{high-}p_T \mu/e} = 0.5 \text{mb}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \times 7800 \text{ mb} \times 0.05 \times 10^{-2} \approx 2 \text{ Hz}$$

If the zero-activity in both HPs is not sufficient to reduce these background rates at level-1 we may consider in addition an extra L1 primitive such as the total energy in ECAL-HCAL, requiring only a few GeV’s above the noise in the calorimeters. This will suppress peripheral nuclear events which have (much) larger multiplicity than UPCs.
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Out of the # 4 – # 7 “interesting” physics backgrounds that will likely trigger our UPC-L1 trigger, we will consider in more detail # 4 in the following. The known QED cross sections for two-photon processes leading to lepton pair production are quoted in Table 8.2. We have determined from the Monte Carlo generated $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow l^+ l^-$ data (see Section 8.4.2), that the fraction of those two-photon events producing an electron above the ECAL trigger threshold of $E_{T,ECAL}^{hr} = 3$ GeV and within $|\eta| < 2.5$, and thus potentially triggering UPC-elec-L1, is only of the order $\epsilon_{high-pr/e} \approx 5\%$ (the corresponding fraction, and cross-section, for muons triggering the UPC-mu-L1 is even lower and we do not discuss it in our counting rates estimates here). Thus, the expected counting rate for the type # 4. background for the design average PbPb luminosity is:

$$N_{\gamma\gamma\text{-em-backgd}} = \langle L \rangle \times \sigma_{\gamma\gamma\rightarrow e^+e^-} \times P_{Xn} \times \epsilon_{\text{high-pr/e}} \approx 5\% \times 0.5 \text{ mb} \times 0.5 \times 0.05 = 1.7 \text{ Hz}$$

To summarize, the sum of all backgrounds considered here, $N_{L1} = (2)+(3)+(4)+N_{others}$ is in the 5 Hz ballpark range, whereas the $\Upsilon$ physics signal given in expression (1), is $\sim 5000$ smaller. It is therefore important not to have any significant trigger dead-time and not to remove good events while further reducing the L1 rates at the high-level-trigger (HLT).

At the PbPb running mode luminosities, the CMS L1 can consider all triggered events ($\sim 3$ kHz in average) and send them without reduction to the HLT (see Chapter 5). The allocated UPC-trigger bandwidth in the HLT for the PbPb running is 2.25 MBytes/s (1% of the total logging rate) i.e. $\sim 1–2$ Hz for an UPC event size of 1–2 MBytes. The estimated event size of a very peripheral PbPb nuclear event (with impact parameter $b > 12$ fm) is 0.3 MBytes plus a conservative 1 MByte “noise” overhead. Since events triggering the UPC-L1 trigger have, by design, very low multiplicities they will certainly be below the 2 MBytes size already at L1. Logging UPC-HLT rates at the allocated 1–2 Hz rate, implies that we need a reduction factor of up to 5 from the expected UPC-L1 rates. In order to do so we will need to apply (one or more of) the following simple algorithms at the HLT level, until the HTL-output rate matches the allocated bandwidth:

1. Verification of the L1 electron/muon candidates.
2. Event vertex within e.g. $|z| < 15$ cm of (0,0,0) (note that the intrinsic low tracks/clusters multiplicity of UPC events results in a rather wide vertex distribution).
3. Low total transverse momentum of all particles in the event (performing a rough determination of the net transverse momentum of all muons/electrons HLT candidates).
4. Back-to-back dimuons/dielectrons (both objects exist as part of the Global Calo and Global Muon Triggers)

Condition 1. is just an improved software cross-check of the level-1 accepts which will remove part of the fake signals. Condition 2. with an even looser cut, $|z| < 60$ cm, is expected to reduce by a factor of 40 the cosmic-muon triggers (see previous section), as well as any remaining accepts generated by beam-gas or beam-halo collisions. The hadrons emitted in peripheral nuclear events at 5.5 TeV, have an average transverse momentum of order $<p_T> \approx 600$ MeV/c which is much larger than the $<p_T> \approx 70$ MeV/c expected for coherent photoproduction events. Thus, applying condition 3. should significantly reduce the # 2 background. However, we may want to look at other hard photo-produced processes with larger $p_T$ which can trigger the UPC-L1, so condition 4. is probably more appropriate. Again, all these considerations can be taken when setting the final L1 thresholds and HLT algorithms and do not affect the quantitative conclusions of the $\Upsilon$ measurement described here.
8.4.2 Input Monte Carlo

Event samples for the physical signal ($\Upsilon \rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$) and the dilepton continuum are generated with the STARLIGHT Monte Carlo [240] which computes the equivalent photon flux of the interacting ions and determines the corresponding QCD (for quarkonia) and QED (for the dilepton continuum) cross sections (Tables 8.1 and 8.2). The coherence condition for their production results in $\Upsilon$ and lepton pairs continuum produced almost at rest. The Upsilon $p_T$ distribution is even sensitive to the form factor of the nuclear charge distribution in the colliding ion and shows a diffractive-like pattern with several diminishing local maxima (Fig. 8.5, left). The mass spectrum of the dilepton continuum decreases in a (locally) exponential or power-law way. The rapidity distributions of signal and background are peaked at $y = 0$ though the continuum has a wider shape. Interestingly, the rapidity spectrum of the decay single leptons is much narrower for the $\Upsilon$ than for the $l^+l^-$ continuum (Fig. 8.5, right). The latter have one or both leptons emitted often outside the CMS rapidity coverage and, therefore, will not affect the $\Upsilon$ invariant mass reconstruction.

![Figure 8.5: Typical STARLIGHT distributions for photo-produced $\Upsilon$ and dilepton ($e^\pm, \mu^\pm$) continuum in UPC PbPb at 5.5 TeV. Left: $p_T$ spectrum of the photoproduced $\Upsilon$ (note the diffractive-like peaks). Right: Rapidity distributions of the single leptons $\mu^\pm$ (red, dashed line) and $e^\pm$ (blue, solid). (dashed-dotted) from the continuum. The dashed lines indicate the CMS acceptance.](image)

8.4.3 Acceptance, and trigger and reconstruction efficiencies

The total number of STARLIGHT UPC events generated was $10^6$ for the $e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$ continuum background and 50000 $\Upsilon$ in each dielectron and dimuon decay channel, were used as input for the (official) CMSSW 1.1.0 sim+digi+hit+reco chain [253]. The numbers were chosen to match their relative total production cross-sections. The input vertex of the simulated events was smeared with a $\sigma_z = 5.3$ cm width. The simulation of the detector response was invoked with the default configuration with nominal magnetic field, CMS geometry, and without any kinematics or geometry cuts. Digitization was activated for all relevant detectors in this analysis (tracker, calorimeters and muons) in the zero-pileup mode and with ECAL zero suppression. The reconstructed global-muons are a combination of stand-alone muons measured in the muon chambers and tracker tracks obtained with a stepping-helix...
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propagator, and have a final $\sim 2\%$ momentum resolution. Isolated electron objects combine tracking and electromagnetic (clustering) calorimetry information for efficient energy reconstruction (collecting and/or correcting for the pre-showering in the tracker) and electron identification [261]. The electron objects are created from a combination of a Kalman-Filter tracking with the pixel seeds and the ECAL information including the “pre-shower” energy of $e^\pm$ and $\gamma$ that develops in the tracker material before reaching ECAL.

Figs. 8.6 and 8.7 show respectively the input MC and reconstructed rapidity and transverse momentum spectra for the $\Upsilon \rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$ analysis. Any differences between input and output spectra are basically due to geometric acceptance and detector responses since the only kinematics cut applied in both analysis is the requirement that both reconstructed leptons have energy (or momentum) above 3 GeV. This cut, which automatically includes the L1 trigger condition, does not have a very important effect on the reconstruction efficiencies of the $\Upsilon$ ($\epsilon_{\text{loss}} \approx 8\%$) but reduces considerably the contributions from the dilepton continuum ($\epsilon_{\text{loss}} \approx 95\%$ of the yield) or other backgrounds present in the real data. Interestingly, although the rapidity acceptances of both analysis are very different and complementary — the muon efficiency is peaked around $|y| = 2$ and the electron at $|y| < 1$ — the measured $p_T$ efficiencies are quite similar. At the end of the $p_T$ spectrum (mind that the expected rates are very low beyond 200 MeV/$c$, see Fig. 8.5 left) the reconstructed spectrum is above the input one. This “artifact” is due to the combination of a steeply falling spectrum and a reconstruction which yields $p_T$ values for the $\Upsilon$ larger than the input ones. Figure 8.8 shows the obtained efficiency $\times$ acceptance as a function of the $\Upsilon$ rapidity and transverse momentum respectively in the $\mu^+\mu^-$ (red, dashed line) and $e^+e^-$ (blue, solid) analysis.

The overall integrated geometric-acceptance and reconstruction efficiencies of both analyses are: $\epsilon \times A|_{e^+e^-} = 26\%$ for the $e^+e^-$ analysis and $\epsilon \times A|_{\mu^+\mu^-} = 21\%$ for the $\mu^+\mu^-$ one.

8.4.4 Mass distributions ($\Upsilon \rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$). Total rates.

In order to determine a realistic invariant mass distribution for the $\Upsilon$ measurement it is necessary to include the lepton pair continuum which contributes also to the mass background. In addition, with the real data at this point of the analysis, any residual combinatorial background can be removed from the $dN/dM_{inv}$ distribution by directly subtracting the unlike-sign ($l^+l^-$ or $l^-l^-$) from the unlike-sign pairs. The only condition that we apply to the re-
constructed pairs (apart from the single energy cuts mentioned in the previous section) is to have opposite charges. The generated input signal and continuum background events are mixed according to their relative theoretical cross section ratio (Tables 8.1 and 8.2) and taking into account the corresponding Υ branching-ratio into dileptons ($\text{BR} \approx 2.4\%$). The input signal-to-background ratio integrated over all phase-space is rather low:

$$\frac{N_{\text{signal}}}{N_{\text{continuum}}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{PbPb}} \times BR(\Upsilon \rightarrow l^+ l^-)}{\sigma_{\text{PbPb}} \times BR(\gamma \rightarrow l^+ l^-) (M_{\text{inv}} = 6.12 \text{ GeV}/c^2)} \approx 0.35\% \ (0.15\%) \ \text{for} \ \mu^+ \mu^- (e^+ e^-),$$

(8.1)

but, as aforementioned, coherent lepton pairs are emitted more forward and asymmetrically than Υ and the corresponding single leptons fall often outside CMS $|\eta| < 2.5$ acceptance. In practice, in terms of pure acceptance more single electrons than muons miss the central CMS region, see right panel of Fig. 8.5, so the ratio $\frac{N_{\text{signal}}}{N_{\text{continuum}}}$ is very similar for the $e^+ e^-$ and $\mu^+ \mu^-$ analyses if one does not consider their different detector responses.
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Fig. 8.9 shows the combined signal+background mass spectra in the dielectron and dimuon channels. The signal over background is roughly 1 (0.67) for the $\mu^+\mu^-$ ($e^+e^-$) analysis. The combined reconstructed mass spectra are fitted to a Gaussian (for the $\Upsilon$ peak) plus an exponential (to account for the underlying lepton pair contribution). The exponential fit to the continuum is then subtracted from the signal+background entries. The resulting background-subtracted $\Upsilon$ mass plots are shown in Fig. 8.10 fitted to a Gaussian alone. The final peak positions and widths are:

- $M_{\mu^+\mu^-} = 9.52$ GeV/$c^2$ ($\sigma_{\mu^+\mu^-} = 0.090$ GeV/$c^2$) and $M_{e^+e^-} = 9.34$ GeV/$c^2$ ($\sigma_{e^+e^-} = 0.154$ GeV/$c^2$), very close to the nominal $M_\Upsilon = 9.46$ GeV/$c^2$ mass [258].

In the dimuon channel, the narrow mass resolution of our measurement is such that it would allow for a clean separation of the $\Upsilon'$ ($m = 10.02$ GeV/$c^2$) and $\Upsilon''$ ($m = 10.36$ GeV/$c^2$) which are also $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ “photo-producible” states (not included in the current simulation).

![Figure 8.9: Invariant mass $e^+e^-$ (left) and $\mu^+\mu^-$ (right) distributions in CMS for photo-produced $\Upsilon$ and dilepton continuum background expected in UPC PbPb at 5.5 TeV for a nominal integrated luminosity of 0.5 nb$^{-1}$.](image)

![Figure 8.10: Invariant mass $e^+e^-$ (left) and $\mu^+\mu^-$ (right) distributions in CMS for photo-produced $\Upsilon$ (after subtraction of the dilepton continuum background) expected in UPC PbPb at 5.5 TeV for a nominal integrated luminosity of 0.5 nb$^{-1}$.](image)

The extracted yields integrating the counts within 3$\sigma$ around the $\Upsilon$ peak after subtracting
the continuum background are computed for both decay modes. The efficiency of the yield extraction procedure is $e_{\text{yield}} - e_{\text{extract}} = 85\%$, $90\%$ for the $e^+ e^-$ and $\mu^+ \mu^-$ analysis (lower in the dielectron channel due to the larger background subtraction). The total $\Upsilon$ production yields expected with the design PbPb integrated luminosity of $\int L \, dt = 0.5 \text{ nb}^{-1}$ are $N_{\Upsilon \rightarrow e^+ e^-} \approx 220 \pm 15 \text{ (stat)}$ and $N_{\Upsilon \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-} \approx 180 \pm 14 \text{ (stat)}$ in the $e^+ e^-$ and $\mu^+ \mu^-$ channels respectively.

Systematic uncertainties related to the continuum background subtraction and yield extraction procedure are estimated of the order of $10\%$ with different continuum functional forms (exponential and power law) and by extracting the yield by directly counting the number of entries or by integrating the Gaussian fit within $(\text{exponential and power law})$ and by extracting the yield by directly counting the number of entries or by integrating the Gaussian fit within $\pm 3\sigma$. Conservatively the luminosity normalization uncertainty will be of the order of $\pm 5\%$ since the concurrent measurement of the lepton pair continuum provides a direct calibrated cross-section to compare against the QED theoretical expectations [254, 255]. Combining the statistics of both channels, the $\Upsilon$ $y$ and $p_T$ spectra will allow to test different theoretical model predictions for the amount of low-$x$ saturation in the nuclear PDFs. Even reducing the $\Upsilon$ yields by a factor of 4, as predicted by different gluon saturation (Colour-Glass-Condensate) calculations [252] of the non-linear parton evolution at small $x$, would not prevent from having a statistically significant data sample to compare with the theoretical expectations.

### 8.5 Summary

We have presented the possibilities of carrying out the measurement of $\rho \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\Upsilon \rightarrow e^+ e^-, \mu^+ \mu^-$ produced in Ultra-Peripheral PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5 \text{ TeV}$ in CMS, tagged with forward neutron detection in the Zero Degree Calorimeters (ZDC). The fast simulation study of $\rho$ photoproduction, based on similar analyses done at RHIC, confirms the feasibility of the measurement in the silicon pixel detector with a $30\%$ efficiency. This analysis extends the measurements performed at HERA and provides new information on the interplay of soft and hard physics in diffraction. The second $\Upsilon$ study is based on a full Monte Carlo for the signal and lepton pair background generated with the STARLIGHT model. Two dedicated level-1 triggers (UPC-elec-L1 and UPC-mu-L1) have been defined and their expected (signal and background) counting rates have been estimated. A high-level trigger configuration strategy has been discussed in order to adjust the L1 counting rates to the available HLT PbPb bandwidth. The input MC files have analysed with the full CMSSW 1.1.0. sim+digi+hit+reco chain. The geometrical acceptance, detector response and resolutions and reconstruction efficiency losses have been determined for the dimuon and dielectron decay mode. The rapidity distributions in both analyses are very complementary — the dimuon efficiency is peaked around $|y| = 2$ and the dielectron at $|y| < 1$. The final invariant mass plots, including appropriately scaled contributions from the dilepton continuum, have been obtained. The signal over background is around unity (0.67) for the $\mu^+ \mu^- (e^+ e^-)$ mode. After fitting (outside the $\Upsilon$ peak) and subtracting the continuum background, the reconstructed $\Upsilon$ peak positions and widths are: $M_{\mu^+ \mu^-} = 9.5 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ ($M_{e^+ e^-} = 0.12 \text{ GeV}/c^2$) and $M_{e^+ e^-} = 9.3 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ ($M_{\mu^+ \mu^-} = 0.22 \text{ GeV}/c^2$). The total $\Upsilon$ production yields are obtained from an integration within $3\sigma$ of the corresponding peak maxima. The final acceptance and efficiencies corrections of both analyses in CMS are: $e_{\text{reco}} \times A_{e^+ e^-} (\text{yield} - \text{extract}) = 21\%$ for the $e^+ e^-$ analysis and $e_{\text{reco}} \times A_{\mu^+ \mu^-} (\text{yield} - \text{extract}) = 19\%$ for the $\mu^+ \mu^-$ one. The total expected number of $\Upsilon$, normalized to the nominal PbPb integrated luminosity of $0.5 \text{ nb}^{-1}$, are $N_{\Upsilon \rightarrow e^+ e^-} \approx 220 \pm 15 \text{ (stat)}$ and $N_{\Upsilon \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-} \approx 180 \pm 14 \text{ (stat)}$ in the $e^+ e^-$ and $\mu^+ \mu^-$ channels respectively, with a $\sim 10\%$ systematic uncertainty. With a total yield of $\sim 400 \Upsilon$, detailed studies of its $p_T$
and rapidity dependences can be carried out that constrain significantly the gluon density at small values of parton fractional momentum in the Pb nucleus.
Chapter 9
Other heavy-ion observables

In this last chapter of the report we summarize a few heavy-ion observables whose measurement is feasible in CMS but for which a final analysis result is lacking (or a detailed simulation is not available) at the time of closing this report. The following topics are being under consideration:

- **Hard probes at forward rapidities**: Exploratory studies of the measurement of perturbative probes at very forward rapidities (such as Drell-Yan and jets in the CASTOR-TOTEM and HF acceptances) have been presented in [262] for proton-proton collisions. Those measurements provide valuable information on the parton distribution functions in a regime of very low-$x$ where non-linear QCD evolution should show up. Similar studies could be carried out in PbPb and, certainly, pPb reactions where the gluon saturation effects are expected to be much stronger.

- **Detailed $Z^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ studies**: CMS has very strong capabilities to reconstruct the $Z^0$ in the dimuon channel. The large $p_T$ of the decay muons allows for a clean identification of the gauge boson over the underlying heavy-ion event background. Apart from the jet-tagging discussion in section 7.4, the inclusive measurement of the $Z^0$ provides very valuable information on the nuclear PDFs at intermediate and moderately large values of parton fractional momenta $x$ [46, 263]. In this kinematic regime, nuclear modifications (anti-shadowing and EMC effect) have been observed for much lower $Q^2$ scales [264].

- **FASTJET reconstruction**: Tests of the performance of the FASTJET algorithm [265] for full jet reconstruction are currently ongoing in CMS. Such a $k_T$-based jet finder uses a new geometrical algorithm that reduces the computational time from a typical $N^3$ law ($N$ being the number of particles in the jet or, experimentally, the number of calorimeter cells) to a $N \ln N$ form. FASTJET is a strongly promising substitute of the standard jet-finder codes in the high multiplicity events found in heavy-ion collisions [266].

- **High-$p_T$ D,B mesons identification**: Measurement of heavy-quark jets via secondary vertex tagging has been discussed in several sections of this document. Such an observable provides a stringent test of jet quenching models based on QCD parton energy loss. The possibility to measure the high-$p_T$ suppression and elliptic flow parameter of leading heavy mesons ($D, B$) is an interesting complementary measurement that has yielded precise insights on the nature of the strongly interacting plasma at RHIC energies (see discussion in sections 1.4.5 and 1.4.3). Studies of the identification in CMS of open charm and beauty mesons (e.g. via their final $K\pi$ decay products) in the heavy-ion environment are a priority.
• **Single inclusive prompt photons:** Direct photons provide a handle on the temperature of the produced plasma as well as constitute an unbiased reference for jet-tagging studies. The identification of hard photons in a heavy-ion environment has been always very challenging [267] due to the impossibility to apply isolation criteria (there is always hadronic activity surrounding any photon) and due to the large background of photons from $\pi^0$ and $\eta$ decays (though, the observed large suppression of high-$p_T$ mesons at RHIC has somehow reduced this problem). Nonetheless, the standard statistical measurement of prompt $\gamma$’s in AA collisions [268] should be possible in CMS.

• **Strangelets and cosmic-ray-related studies:** In Section 1.4.8 we have presented several intriguing observations related to the hadronic composition of ultra-high-energy (UHE) cosmic-rays events. One of the main physics motivations behind the conception of the CASTOR calorimeter is precisely to carry out detailed studies of the QCD interactions that dominate the hadronic and electromagnetic cascade development of the forward particles produced in ultrarelativistic nucleus-nucleus and proton-nucleus collisions [152]. Full GEANT simulations are being currently carried out to carefully assess the experimental capabilities of the CMS CASTOR detector for such measurements.
Appendix A

HYDJET event generator

A fast Monte Carlo event generator, HYDJET (HYDrodynamics plus JETs) [160, 174] has been developed to be able, among other things, to simulate jet quenching and flow effects in high-energy heavy ion collisions. The final state in nuclear collisions from HYDJET is obtained as a combination of a soft hydro-type particle production and hard (mini)jets fragmentation. The "soft" component in HYDJET is parametrized so as to reproduce known collective effects (elliptic flow) and bulk features of the data (charged particle density, $dN_{ch}/d\eta$) at RHIC energies [174]. The shape of the $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ distribution for charged particles is extrapolated from the highest RHIC energy to the LHC energy making use of the longitudinal scaling behaviour observed at RHIC (see e.g. Fig. 1.20 left) [136]. For the charged particle density at $\eta = 0$, $dN/d\eta = 3000$ was used corresponding to a total multiplicity in central PbPb events of $\sim 26000$ hadrons. The bulk transverse momentum spectra and the mean transverse momentum are also consistently extrapolated from lower energies. For different centrality classes, the produced number of particles is generated proportional to the average number of nucleons participating in the collision, $\langle N_{part} \rangle$, in agreement with earlier RHIC data [269]. The $\langle N_{part} \rangle$ and the number of binary sub-collisions $\langle N_{coll} \rangle$ are connected to the impact parameter, $b$, through a standard Glauber model calculation.

"Hard" particle production in the HYDJET model is generated according to the PYTHIA Monte Carlo [159, 270]. The mean number of jets produced in AA events at a given impact parameter $b$ is calculated as a product of the number of binary nucleon-nucleon sub-collisions and the integral cross section of $2\rightarrow 2$ parton scattering in pp collisions with momentum transfer $p_T > p_T^{min}$ ($p_T^{min} \approx 7$ GeV/c was used). In the HYDJET framework, partons produced in (semi)hard processes with momentum transfer less than $p_T^{min}$ are considered thermalized in the medium, so their hadronization products are "automatically" included in the soft part of the event. The probability that a randomly generated minimum bias PYTHIA event will fall in this hard category is $p(p_T^{min})$. Thus, the generation of the hard component of the heavy ion event consists in producing $p \times \langle N_{coll} \rangle$ proton-proton collisions according to a binomial distribution. Parton energy loss as described in the BDMPS approach [93], leading to the quenching of the generated jet energy can also be turned on in the HYDJET model [174]. The approach is based on an accumulated energy loss. The gluon radiation is associated with each parton scattering in the expanding medium and includes the interference effect of the modified radiation spectrum as a function of decreasing temperature as the medium expands. The simulation procedure includes the event-by-event generation of the initial parton spectra with PYTHIA and production vertexes according to a realistic nuclear geometry, rescattering-by-rescattering simulation of the parton path length in a dense zone, radiative and collisional energy loss per rescattering, momentum and angular fluctuations of medium-induced gluon radiation, final hadronization with the Lund string model for hard partons.
and in-medium emitted gluons. This model is capable of reproducing hadron momentum spectra and main features of the jet quenching pattern in heavy ion collisions observed at RHIC energies: the $p_T$ dependence of the nuclear modification factor and the suppression of azimuthal back-to-back correlations [174].

HYDJET has been implemented in CMS generator package CMKIN (to obtain CMS detector responses using ORCA/Oscar frames) and is under including in new CMS Software package CMSSW.
Appendix B

HIROOT framework

The HIROOT [271] framework developed by a few of the authors of this report provides a convenient environment to study and compare event generators and to benchmark reconstruction and analysis algorithms at the generator level.

HIROOT is written in C++ and based on the powerful ROOT analysis framework [272]. It incorporates (interfaces) various FORTRAN-based event generators, among them the PYTHIA and HYDJET generators used in the presented studies. It provides a convenient way to generate, store and read back events using a flexible and extendable event data model layered on top of the highly efficient ROOT TTree mechanism. It maintains the full information about generator parameters, tracks, vertices and decay history. The mixing module provides a flexible way to mix events on the fly and/or using pre-generated samples, while giving the user access to the full event provenance.

The user-defined analysis makes use of TAM [273], the Tree Analysis Modules facility, an extension of the ROOT analysis tool that allows a sequence or hierarchy of TSelector like modules to be created and executed in a pre-defined order. This way modules can be used to trigger on certain events, filter data in the event, produce derived data for down stream modules, etc. TAM provides a simple interface to select data (branches) from the Tree, decoupling the modules from the exact tree structure.

Examples of simple usage of the HIROOT tool include generating single PYTHIA events (high \( E_T \) dijets, etc.) and mixing them into unbiased full heavy ion events; generating heavy ion events with user-defined multiplicity and scaling properties; generating heavy ion events with one pp subevent that is restricted to have a predefined minimum \( \hat{p}_T \) value, etc.
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